

ON THE INVERTIBILITY OF A LINEAR FUNCTION ON THE QUATERNIONS

Marjeta Škapin-Rugelj

Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Jamova 2, pp. 3422, Ljubljana 1115, Slovenia

Received: April 2005

MSC 2000: 11 R 52, 15 A 06

Keywords: Quaternions, linear functions, iteration.

Abstract: Since multiplication of quaternions is not commutative, the general quadratic function in quaternions reads

$$q(X) = \sum_m F_m X G_m X H_m + \sum_n J_n X K_n + C.$$

Contrary to the complex case, this form cannot be reduced to the one-parameter family $g_Q(X) = X^2 + Q$. Since we expect that some reduction in the number of parameters is possible by using linear conjugation, the inverse of a linear function on the quaternions is considered.

1. Introduction

We are interested in the dynamics of quadratic functions in quaternions. In contrast to the complex case very little is known about iteration of quaternionic functions (see, however [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [9], [10], [11], [12], [14], [15], [17], [18]).

Since multiplication in quaternions is not commutative, a general quadratic polynomial has the form

$$q(X) = \sum_m F_m X G_m X H_m + \sum_n J_n X K_n + C$$

and can be rewritten (see [17]) as

$$\begin{aligned} q(X) = & A_1 X^2 + A_2 X i X i + A_3 X j X j + A_4 X k X k + A_5 X i X j + \\ & + A_6 X j X j + A_7 X k X j + A_8 X i X k + A_9 X j X k + A_{10} X k X k + \\ & + B_1 X + B_2 X i + B_3 X j + B_4 X k + C. \end{aligned}$$

If only one monomial of the highest degree is present in a polynomial p , then the function $p : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is surjective by the fundamental theorem of algebra for quaternions (see [8]). In quaternions there exist quadratic polynomials that are not surjective. For example, $X^2 + X i X i + X j X j + X k X k = -2 \|X\|^2$. It is well known that in the complex case any quadratic function is linearly conjugate to a member of the one-parametric family $g_Q(X) = X^2 + Q$. (Two quaternionic quadratic functions R and S are linearly conjugate if and only if there is a nonsingular (bijective) linear function $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ such that $S = \mathcal{L} R \mathcal{L}^{-1}$.) But in quaternions even elimination of linear terms is not possible. For example, family $q_\Omega(X) = X^2 + \Omega X$ is not linearly conjugate to any quadratic function without linear terms (see [17]). Anyway, we still expect that some reduction in the number of parameters is possible by using linear conjugation. Hence we focus our attention on nonsingular linear functions.

2. Linear functions in quaternions

The field of quaternions can be represented as a direct sum $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$.

We take a general quaternion to have the form

$$X = (x_1, \vec{x}), \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \vec{x} = (x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where x_1 is the real part and \vec{x} is the vector part of X . We choose an orthonormal, positively oriented basis $\{i, j, k\}$ for \mathbb{R}^3 and write a typical quaternion as

$$X = x_1 + x_2 i + x_3 j + x_4 k.$$

Multiplication of quaternions, given by

$$XY = (x_1 y_1 - \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle, x_1 \vec{y} + y_1 \vec{x} + \vec{x} \times \vec{y}),$$

is associative and distributive, but not commutative.

Let us define the scalar product of two quaternions by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_4y_4, \quad \|X\|^2 = \langle X, X \rangle,$$

and denote

$$\bar{X} = (x_1, -\vec{x}).$$

Then

$$X^{-1} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\|X\|^2} \text{ if } \|X\| \neq 0.$$

Lemma 1. $X\bar{Y} + Y\bar{X} = 2\langle X, Y \rangle$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} X\bar{Y} + Y\bar{X} &= (x_1y_1 - \langle \vec{x}, -\vec{y} \rangle, x_1(-\vec{y}) + y_1\vec{x} + \vec{x} \times (-\vec{y})) + \\ &\quad + (y_1x_1 - \langle \vec{y}, -\vec{x} \rangle, y_1(-\vec{x}) + x_1\vec{y} + \vec{y} \times (-\vec{x})) = \\ &= 2(x_1y_1 + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle, 0) = 2\langle X, Y \rangle. \quad \diamond \end{aligned}$$

The general linear polynomial has the form

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \sum_m F_m X G_m + E$$

and can be rewritten as

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{L}(X) = D_1X + D_2Xi + D_3Xj + D_4Xk + E$$

for unique quaternions $D_r, r = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

By straightforward computation we can prove Lemma 2.

Lemma 2. *Let us write*

$$D_i = (d_{i1}, d_{i2}, d_{i3}, d_{i4}),$$

$$E = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4),$$

$$X = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4),$$

and define

$$\tilde{D} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} d_{11}-d_{22}-d_{33}-d_{44} & -d_{12}-d_{21}-d_{34}+d_{43} & -d_{13}+d_{24}-d_{31}-d_{42} & -d_{14}-d_{23}+d_{32}-d_{41} \\ d_{12}+d_{21}-d_{34}+d_{43} & +d_{11}-d_{22}+d_{33}+d_{44} & -d_{14}-d_{23}-d_{32}+d_{41} & +d_{13}-d_{24}-d_{31}-d_{42} \\ d_{13}+d_{24}+d_{31}-d_{42} & +d_{14}-d_{23}-d_{32}-d_{41} & +d_{11}+d_{22}-d_{33}+d_{44} & -d_{12}+d_{21}-d_{34}-d_{43} \\ d_{14}-d_{23}+d_{32}+d_{41} & -d_{13}-d_{24}+d_{31}-d_{42} & +d_{12}-d_{21}-d_{34}-d_{43} & +d_{11}+d_{22}+d_{33}-d_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

The linear function (1) can be expressed in the form

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = (\tilde{D}X^T + E^T)^T,$$

where T means transposition.

In contrast to the complex case, the coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 can themselves be written as linear quaternionic polynomials (see [16]). Hence a quaternionic linear function is not always bijective. Note that if a linear function has only one monomial of degree 1, then it is bijective.

Denote the determinant of square matrix A by $|A|$.

Definition 1. A quaternionic linear function is *singular* iff $|\tilde{D}| = 0$.

Let us define

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & d_{13} & d_{14} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & d_{23} & d_{24} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} & d_{34} \\ d_{41} & d_{42} & d_{43} & d_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A rather cumbersome computation shows the next theorem.

Theorem 1. For \tilde{D} as in Lemma 2 the next formula is valid:

$$|\tilde{D}| = \sum_{n=1}^3 \sum_{m=n+1}^4 [(\|D_m\|^2 - \|D_n\|^2)^2 + 4\langle D_m, D_n \rangle^2] - 2 \sum_{m=1}^4 \|D_m\|^4 - 8|D|.$$

Lemma 3. Every nonsingular linear function

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = D_1X + D_2Xi + D_3Xj + D_4Xk + E = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(X) + E$$

can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \circ T,$$

where T is a translation

$$T(X) = X + F$$

and F is the unique solution of the equation $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(F) = E$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(T(X)) &= \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(X + F) = \\ &= D_1(X + F) + D_2(X + F)i + D_3(X + F)j + D_4(X + F)k = \\ &= D_1X + D_2Xi + D_3Xj + D_4Xk + D_1F + \\ &\quad + D_2Fi + D_3Fj + D_4Fk = \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(X) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(F) = \mathcal{L}(X). \quad \diamond \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. Let

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = D_1X + D_2Xi + D_3Xj + D_4Xk + E = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(X) + E$$

be a nonsingular linear function and

$$\mathcal{J}(X) = F_1X + F_2Xi + F_3Xj + F_4Xk + G$$

its inverse function. Let D_{rs} denote the matrix obtained from the matrix D by removing the elements of its r -th row and s -th column. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1 = & \frac{1}{|\bar{D}|} [(\|D_1\|^2 - \|D_2\|^2 - \|D_3\|^2 - \|D_4\|^2)\bar{D}_1 + \\
 & + 2\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle \bar{D}_2 + 2\langle D_1, D_3 \rangle \bar{D}_3 + 2\langle D_1, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_4 - \\
 & - 2(|D_{11}|, |D_{12}|, -|D_{13}|, |D_{14}|)],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_2 = & \frac{1}{|\bar{D}|} [(\|D_1\|^2 - \|D_2\|^2 + \|D_3\|^2 + \|D_4\|^2)\bar{D}_2 - \\
 & - 2\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle \bar{D}_1 - 2\langle D_2, D_3 \rangle \bar{D}_3 - 2\langle D_2, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_4 + \\
 & + 2(-|D_{21}|, -|D_{22}|, |D_{23}|, -|D_{24}|)],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_3 = & \frac{1}{|\bar{D}|} [(\|D_1\|^2 + \|D_2\|^2 - \|D_3\|^2 + \|D_4\|^2)\bar{D}_3 - \\
 & - 2\langle D_1, D_3 \rangle \bar{D}_1 - 2\langle D_2, D_3 \rangle \bar{D}_2 - 2\langle D_3, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_4 + \\
 & + 2(|D_{31}|, |D_{32}|, -|D_{33}|, |D_{34}|)],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_4 = & \frac{1}{|\bar{D}|} [(\|D_1\|^2 + \|D_2\|^2 + \|D_3\|^2 - \|D_4\|^2)\bar{D}_4 - \\
 & - 2\langle D_1, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_1 - 2\langle D_2, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_2 - 2\langle D_3, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_3 + \\
 & + 2(-|D_{41}|, -|D_{42}|, |D_{43}|, -|D_{44}|)],
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G = -F_1E - F_2Ei - F_3Ej - F_4Ek.$$

Proof. To prove that \mathcal{J} is the inverse function of \mathcal{L} , we have to prove that the next ten equations are valid:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1D_1 - F_2D_2 - F_3D_3 - F_4D_4 &= (1, 0, 0, 0) \\
 F_1D_2 + F_2D_1 - F_3D_4 + F_4D_3 &= (0, 0, 0, 0) \\
 F_1D_3 + F_2D_4 + F_3D_1 - F_4D_2 &= (0, 0, 0, 0) \\
 F_1D_4 - F_2D_3 + F_3D_2 + F_4D_1 &= (0, 0, 0, 0) \\
 F_1E + F_2Ei + F_3Ej + F_4Ek + G &= (0, 0, 0, 0) \\
 D_1F_1 - D_2F_2 - D_3F_3 - D_4F_4 &= (1, 0, 0, 0) \\
 D_1F_2 + D_2F_1 - D_3F_4 + D_4F_3 &= (0, 0, 0, 0) \\
 D_1F_3 + D_2F_4 + D_3F_1 - D_4F_2 &= (0, 0, 0, 0) \\
 D_1F_4 - D_2F_3 + D_3F_2 + D_4F_1 &= (0, 0, 0, 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$D_1G + D_2Gi + D_3Gj + D_4Gk + E = (0, 0, 0, 0).$$

We will prove only the first equation using Lemma 1, Th. 1 and standard property of the determinant: the value of the determinant $|M|$ of an n -square matrix M is the sum of products obtained by multiplying each element of a row (column) of M by its cofactor $(-1)^{r+s}|M_{rs}|$. In the proof we will also use the fact that the sum of the products formed by multiplying the elements of a column of square matrix M by the corresponding cofactors of another column of M is zero.

Writing $Y = F_1D_1 - F_2D_2 - F_3D_3 - F_4D_4$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \frac{1}{|\bar{D}|} [(\|D_1\|^2 - \|D_2\|^2 - \|D_3\|^2 - \|D_4\|^2)\|D_1\|^2 + \\ &\quad + 2\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle \bar{D}_2 D_1 + 2\langle D_1, D_3 \rangle \bar{D}_3 D_1 + 2\langle D_1, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_4 D_1 - \\ &\quad - 2(d_{11}|D_{11}| - d_{12}|D_{12}| + d_{13}|D_{13}| - d_{14}|D_{14}|, d_{12}|D_{11}| + d_{11}|D_{12}| - \\ &\quad - d_{14}|D_{13}| - d_{13}|D_{14}|, d_{13}|D_{11}| - d_{14}|D_{12}| - d_{11}|D_{13}| + \\ &\quad + d_{12}|D_{14}|, d_{14}|D_{11}| + d_{13}|D_{12}| + d_{12}|D_{13}| + d_{11}|D_{14}|) - \\ &\quad - (\|D_1\|^2 - \|D_2\|^2 + \|D_3\|^2 + \|D_4\|^2)\|D_2\|^2 + \\ &\quad + 2\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle \bar{D}_1 D_2 + 2\langle D_2, D_3 \rangle \bar{D}_3 D_2 + 2\langle D_2, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_4 D_2 - \\ &\quad - 2(-d_{21}|D_{21}| + d_{22}|D_{22}| - d_{23}|D_{23}| + d_{24}|D_{24}|, -d_{22}|D_{21}| - d_{21}|D_{22}| + \\ &\quad + d_{24}|D_{23}| + d_{23}|D_{24}|, -d_{23}|D_{21}| + d_{24}|D_{22}| + d_{21}|D_{23}| - \\ &\quad - d_{22}|D_{24}|, -d_{24}|D_{21}| - d_{23}|D_{22}| - d_{22}|D_{23}| - d_{21}|D_{24}|) - \\ &\quad - (\|D_1\|^2 + \|D_2\|^2 - \|D_3\|^2 + \|D_4\|^2)\|D_3\|^2 + \\ &\quad + 2\langle D_1, D_3 \rangle \bar{D}_1 D_3 + 2\langle D_2, D_3 \rangle \bar{D}_2 D_3 + 2\langle D_3, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_4 D_3 - \\ &\quad - 2(d_{31}|D_{31}| - d_{32}|D_{32}| + d_{33}|D_{33}| - d_{34}|D_{34}|, d_{32}|D_{31}| + d_{31}|D_{32}| - \\ &\quad - d_{34}|D_{33}| - d_{33}|D_{34}|, d_{33}|D_{31}| - d_{34}|D_{32}| - d_{31}|D_{33}| + d_{32}|D_{34}|, \\ &\quad d_{34}|D_{31}| + d_{33}|D_{32}| + d_{32}|D_{33}| + d_{31}|D_{34}|) - \\ &\quad - (\|D_1\|^2 + \|D_2\|^2 + \|D_3\|^2 - \|D_4\|^2)\|D_4\|^2 + \\ &\quad + 2\langle D_1, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_1 D_4 + 2\langle D_2, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_2 D_4 + 2\langle D_3, D_4 \rangle \bar{D}_3 D_4 - \\ &\quad - 2(-d_{41}|D_{41}| + d_{42}|D_{42}| - d_{43}|D_{43}| + d_{44}|D_{44}|, -d_{42}|D_{41}| - \\ &\quad - d_{41}|D_{42}| + d_{44}|D_{43}| + d_{43}|D_{44}|, -d_{43}|D_{41}| + d_{44}|D_{42}| + d_{41}|D_{43}| - \\ &\quad - d_{42}|D_{44}|, -d_{44}|D_{41}| - d_{43}|D_{42}| - d_{42}|D_{43}| - d_{41}|D_{44}|)] = \\ &= \frac{1}{|\bar{D}|} [\|D_1\|^4 - 2\|D_1\|^2\|D_2\|^2 - 2\|D_1\|^2\|D_3\|^2 - \\ &\quad - 2\|D_1\|^2\|D_4\|^2 + \|D_2\|^4 - 2\|D_2\|^2\|D_3\|^2 - \\ &\quad - 2\|D_2\|^2\|D_4\|^2 + \|D_3\|^4 - 2\|D_3\|^2\|D_4\|^2 + \|D_4\|^4 + \\ &\quad + 4\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle^2 + 4\langle D_1, D_3 \rangle^2 + 4\langle D_1, D_4 \rangle^2 + 4\langle D_2, D_3 \rangle^2 + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 4 \langle D_2, D_4 \rangle^2 + 4 \langle D_3, D_4 \rangle^2 + 8(|D|, 0, 0, 0) = (1, 0, 0, 0).$$

Proof of the sixth equation is analogous, proofs of the others are either more cumbersome or trivial. \diamond

Since the formulae for the inverse of a quaternionic linear function are quite complicated, we try to find some other form of linear function. For example, $\mathcal{J}(X) = MXN + PXR + E$. Note that in this case parameters M, N, P and Q are not uniquely determined (for example, we get the same linear function if we multiply M by nonzero real a and divide N by a). The question is, whether every linear function can be written in this form. Although the number of parameters is the same as in the form (1), the answer is no.

Lemma 4. *If $\mathcal{J}(X) = MXN + PXR + E$ is rewritten as $\mathcal{J}(X) = D_1X + D_2Xi + D_3Xj + D_4Xk + E$, then $|D| = 0$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(X) &= MXN + PXR + E = \\ &= MX(n_1 + n_2i + n_3j + n_4k) + PX(r_1 + r_2i + r_3j + r_4k) = \\ &= (n_1M + r_1P)X + (n_2M + r_2P)Xi + (n_3M + r_3P)Xj + \\ &\quad + (n_4M + r_4P)Xk. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \begin{bmatrix} n_1m_1 + r_1p_1 & n_1m_2 + r_1p_2 & n_1m_3 + r_1p_3 & n_1m_4 + r_1p_4 \\ n_2m_1 + r_2p_1 & n_2m_2 + r_2p_2 & n_2m_3 + r_2p_3 & n_2m_4 + r_2p_4 \\ n_3m_1 + r_3p_1 & n_3m_2 + r_3p_2 & n_3m_3 + r_3p_3 & n_3m_4 + r_3p_4 \\ n_4m_1 + r_4p_1 & n_4m_2 + r_4p_2 & n_4m_3 + r_4p_3 & n_4m_4 + r_4p_4 \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & r_1 & 0 & 0 \\ n_2 & r_2 & 0 & 0 \\ n_3 & r_3 & 0 & 0 \\ n_4 & r_4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & m_4 \\ p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $|D| = 0$. \diamond

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Professor Peter Petek for helpful discussions throughout the work on this paper. This work was supported in part by a grant from the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia.

References

- [1] BEDDING, S. and BRIGGS, K.: Iteration of Quaternion Maps, *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* 5/3 (1995), 877–881.

- [2] BEDDING, S. and BRIGGS, K.: Iteration of Quaternion Functions, *American Mathematical Monthly* **103** (1996), 654–664.
- [3] GALEEVA, R. and VERJOVSKY, A.: Quaternion dynamics and fractals in \mathbb{R}^4 , *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* **9/9** (1999), 1771–1775.
- [4] GOMATAM, J., DOYLE, J., STEVES, B. and McFARLANE, I.: Generalisation of the Mandelbrot set: quaternionic quadratic maps, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **5/6** (1995), 971–986.
- [5] GOMATAM, J. and McFARLANE, I.: Functional calculus for quaternionic discrete maps, *Technical reports of mathematics, Glasgow Caledonian University* (1995), 1–18.
- [6] GOMATAM, J. and McFARLANE, I.: Generalisation of the Mandelbrot set to integral functions of quaternions, *Discrete and continuous dynamical systems* **5/1** (1999), 107–116.
- [7] HOLBROOK, J. A. R.: Quaternionic Fatou–Julia sets, *Ann. sc. math. Québec* **11/1** (1987), 79–94.
- [8] KOECHER, M. and REMMERT, R.: Hamilton’s quaternions, Chapter 7 in: *Numbers*, ed. by J. Exing, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [9] KOZAK, J. and PETEK, P.: On the Iteration of a Quadratic Family in Quaternions, *Preprint Series* **30** (1992), 385, Ljubljana.
- [10] LAKNER, M. and PETEK, P.: The One-Equator Property, *Experimental Mathematics* **6/2** (1997), 109–115.
- [11] LAKNER, M., ŠKAPIN-RUGELJ, M. and PETEK, P.: Symbolic Dynamics in Investigation of Quaternionic Julia Sets, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **24/5** (2005), 1189–1201.
- [12] MANDELBROT, B. B.: *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*, Freeman and company, New York, 1983.
- [13] NAKANE, S.: Dynamics of a family of quadratic maps in the quaternions space, *Academic reports Fac. Eng. Tokyo Inst. Polytech.* **25/1** (2002), 1–5.
- [14] NORTON, A.: Julia sets in the Quaternions, *Comput. and Graphics* **13/2** (1989), 267–278.
- [15] PETEK, P.: Circles and Periodic Points in Quaternionic Julia Sets, *Open Sys. & Information Dyn.* **4** (1997), 487–492.
- [16] SUDBERY, A.: Quaternionic Analysis, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **85/I** (1979), 199–225.
- [17] ŠKAPIN-RUGELJ, M.: Iteration of a quaternionic family, *Aequationes Mathematicae* **152** (1996), 180–194.
- [18] ZEITLER, H.: Iteration over quaternions, *Mathematica Pannonica* **15/1** (2004), 85–103.