

# A REMARK ON CERTAIN ANALYTIC CLASS BY USING RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVES

M. K. Aouf

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of  
Mansoura, Mansoura, Egypt*

H. E. Darwish

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of  
Mansoura, Mansoura, Egypt*

A. A. Attiya

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of  
Mansoura, Mansoura, Egypt*

**Dedicated to Professor Ludwig Reich on his 60th birthday**

*Received:* September 1999

*MSC 2000:* 30 C 45

*Keywords:* Analytic, Hadamard product, Ruscheweyh derivatives, starlike, convex.

**Abstract:** A class  $T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b)$  of certain analytic functions defined by using Ruscheweyh derivatives, is introduced. The object of the present paper is to derive some properties of the class  $T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b)$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic in the unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . We denote by  $S$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions which are univalent in  $U$ . Then a function  $f(z)$  in  $S$  is said to be *starlike* of order  $\alpha$  in  $U$  if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

We denote by  $S^*(\alpha)$  the class of all functions in  $S$  which are starlike of order  $\alpha$  in  $U$ . Further, a function  $f(z) \in S$  is said to be *convex* of order  $\alpha$  in  $U$  if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

We denote by  $K(\alpha)$  the class of all functions in  $S$  which are convex of order  $\alpha$  in  $U$ . It is well known that

$$S^*(\alpha) \subseteq S^*(0) = S^*$$

and

$$K(\alpha) \subset K(0) = K.$$

The classes  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $K(\alpha)$  introduced by Robertson [5] were studied by Schild [8], MacGregor [3], and Pinchuk [5]. In particular, the class  $S^*(\frac{1}{2})$  was studied by Schild [9] and MacGregor [3].

Recently, Ruscheweyh [7] introduced the classes  $K_n$  of functions  $f(z) \in A$  satisfying

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(z^n f(z))^{(n+1)}}{(z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n)}} \right\} > \frac{n+1}{2} \quad (z \in U)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  ( $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ). Ruscheweyh [7] showed the basic property

$$(1.5) \quad K_{n+1} \subset K_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We can observe that  $K_0 \equiv S^*(\frac{1}{2})$  and  $K_1 \equiv K$ . Let

$$(1.6) \quad D^n f(z) = \frac{z(z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n)}}{n!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

This symbol  $D^n f(z)$  was introduced by Ruscheweyh [7] and was named the *n-th Ruscheweyh derivative* of  $f(z)$  by Al-Amiri [1]. We note that  $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$  and  $D^1 f(z) = z f'(z)$ . The Hadamard product of two

functions  $f(z) \in A$  and  $g(z) \in A$  will be denoted by  $f * g(z)$ , that is, if  $f(z)$  is given by (1.1) and  $g(z)$  by

$$(1.7) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

then

$$(1.8) \quad f * g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

By using the Hadamard product, Ruscheweyh [7] observed that if

$$(1.9) \quad D^\gamma f(z) = \frac{z}{(a-z)^{\gamma+1}} * f(z) \quad (\gamma \geq -1)$$

then (1.6) is equivalent to (1.9) when  $\gamma = n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Thus it follows from (1.4) that the necessary and sufficient condition for  $f(z) \in A$  to belong to  $K_n$  is

$$(1.10) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U).$$

Note that  $K_{-1}$  is the class of functions  $f(z) \in A$  satisfying

$$(1.11) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in U).$$

Since  $K_0 \equiv S^*(\frac{1}{2})$ , Ruscheweyh's result implies that  $K_n \subset S^*$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Let  $T(n, \alpha)$  denote the class of functions satisfying the condition

$$(1.12) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U).$$

for some  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The class  $T(n, \alpha)$  was introduced by Goel and Sohi [2]. We observe that  $T(n, \frac{1}{2}) \equiv K_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Further, Goel and Sohi [2] showed that  $T(n+1, \alpha) \subset T(n, \alpha)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now, we define the following class  $T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b)$  by using the  $n$ -th order Ruscheweyh derivative of  $f(z)$ .

**Definition.** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $A$  and

$$(1.13) \quad P(f(z); n, \alpha, \beta; a, b) = \left( \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha \right)^a \left( \frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)} - \beta \right)^b,$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are real numbers,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We say that

$f(z)$  belongs to the class  $T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  if  $f(z)$  satisfies the following condition

$$(1.14) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{P(f(z); n, \alpha, \beta; a, b)\} > 0 \quad z \in U.$$

The powers appearing in (1.14) are meant as principle values.

We note that:

- (i)  $T(n, \alpha, \beta; 1, 0) = T(n, \alpha),$
- (ii)  $T(n, \alpha, \beta; 0, 1) = T(n + 1, \beta),$
- (iii)  $T(n, \frac{1}{2}, \beta; 1, 0) = K_n,$
- (iv)  $T(n, \alpha, \frac{1}{2}; 0, 1) = K_{n+1},$
- (v)  $T(n, \alpha, \alpha; a, b) = T(n, \alpha; a, b),$  was introduced in [4].

## 2. Results

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b) \cap T(n, \alpha) \subset T(n, \alpha, \beta; at_1 + t_2, bt_1),$$

where  $|t_1| + |t_2| \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** Let the function  $f(z)$  be in the class  $T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b) \cap T(n, \alpha)$  and

$$(2.2) \quad \left( \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha \right)^a \left( \frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \beta \right)^b = v(z).$$

Then we see that  $\operatorname{Re}\{V(z)\} > 0$  for all  $z \in U$ . Further let

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha = u(z).$$

Then  $f(z) \in T(n, \alpha)$  implies that  $\operatorname{Re}\{u(z)\} > 0$  for all  $z \in U$ . It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$(2.4) \quad \left( \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha \right)^{at_1+t_2} \left( \frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \beta \right)^{bt_1} = (u(z))^{t_2} (v(z))^{t_1}.$$

Defining the function  $F(z)$  by

$$(2.5) \quad F(z) = (u(z))^{t_2} (v(z))^{t_1}, \quad |t_1| + |t_2| \leq 1,$$

we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad F(0) = (1 - \alpha)^{at_1+t_2} (1 - \beta)^{bt_1} > 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad |\arg(F(z))| &= |\arg((u(z))^{t_2}(v(z))^{t_1})| \leq \\
 &\leq |t_2| |\arg(u(z))| + |t_1| |\arg(v(z))| \leq \\
 &\leq (|t_1| + |t_2|) \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\operatorname{Re}\{F(z)\} > 0 (z \in U)$  which implies that  $f(z) \in T(n, \alpha, \beta; at_1 + t_2, bt_1)$ ,  $|t_1| + |t_2| \leq 1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\diamond$

Putting  $t_1 = t$   $t_2 = 1 - t$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  in Th. 1, we obtain

**Corollary 1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Then

$$(2.8) \quad T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b) \cap T(n, \alpha) \subset T(n, \alpha, \beta; (a - 1)t + 1, bt).$$

**Remark.** Putting  $\alpha = \beta$  in Cor. 1, we have the main theorem due to Owa [4].

Putting  $a = 0$  and  $b = 1$  in Th. 1, we obtain:

**Corollary 2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $|t_1| + |t_2| \leq 1$ . Then we have

$$(2.9) \quad T(n + 1, \beta) \cap T(n, \alpha) \subset T(n, \alpha, \beta; t_2, t_1).$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Then

$$(2.10) \quad T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b) \cap T(n + 1, \beta) \subset T(n, \alpha, \beta; at_1, bt_1 + t_2),$$

where  $|t_1| + |t_2| \leq 1$ .

Putting  $t_1 = t$ ,  $t_2 = 1 - t$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  in Th. 2, we obtain:

**Corollary 3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Then

$$(2.11) \quad T(n, \alpha, \beta; a, b) \cap T(n + 1, \beta) \subset T(n, \alpha, \beta; at, (b - 1)t + 1),$$

## References

- [1] AL-AMIRI, H.S.: On Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **38** (1980), 87-94.
- [2] GOEL, R.M. and SOHI, N.S.: A new criterion for univalent and its applications, *Glas. Mat.* **16** (1981), 39-49.
- [3] MacGREGOR, T. H.: The radius of convexity for starlike functions of order  $\frac{1}{2}$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (1963), 71-76.
- [4] OWA, S.: On certain class defined by using the Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Math. Japon.* **30/2** (1985), 301-306.
- [5] PINCHUK, B.: On starlike and convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , *Duke Math. J.* **35** (1968), 721-734.

- [6] ROBERTSON, M. S.: On the theory of univalent functions, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1936), 374-408.
- [7] RUSCHEWEYH, St.: New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [8] SCHILD, A.: On starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ , *Amer. J. Math.* **87** (1965), 65-70.
- [9] SCHILD, A.: On a class of univalent, starshaped mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1958), 751-757.