

ON THE IMPOSSIBILITY OF APPROXIMATING CONVEX FUNCTIONS WITH C^2 ONES

Zoltán Finta

*Babeş - Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer
Science, str. M. Kogălniceanu 1, 3400 Cluj, Romania*

Dedicated to Professor Ludwig Reich on his 60th birthday

Received: July 1999

MSC 2000: 26 A 51, 26 A 48

Keywords: Convex functions, uniform convergence.

Abstract: We consider the problem of approximating continuous convex functions $f \in C[a, b]$ in the sup-norm by a sequence of twice continuously differentiable functions $\{f_n\}$ such that $\{\|f_n''\|\}$ is bounded. The same problem is studied for convex functions over normed spaces.

In many papers are studied the uniform approximation of a convex and continuous function by convex polynomials using the moduli of smoothness. On the other hand, it is known the equivalence between the moduli of smoothness and a certain Peetre K -functional (see [2]). For example, the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness $\omega_\phi^2(f, t)$ is equivalent to the following K -functional : $K_\phi^2(f, t) = \inf_{g \in C^2[0,1]} \{\|f - g\| + t^2 \|\phi^2 g''\|\}$, where $f \in C[0, 1]$, $\phi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$ and $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{C[0,1]}$ is the sup-norm on $[0, 1]$.

Taking into consideration the above results we propose to study the following problem: is it possible to find for a continuous convex function $f \in C[a, b]$ a sequence of twice continuously differentiable convex

functions $\{f_n\}$ such that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ uniformly over $[a, b]$ and $\{\|f_n''\|\}$ is bounded from above?

The answer is negative to this question. More exactly we have the following theorems established not necessary for a sequence of convex functions $\{f_n\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in C[a, b]$ be convex. If there exists $x_0 \in (a, b)$ at which f is nondifferentiable and attains at least the one sided strict local minimum over $[a, b]$, then there is no sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2[a, b]$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\|f - f_n\| \rightarrow 0$,
- (ii) $\{\|f_n''\|\}$ is bounded.

Proof. We distinguish two cases depending on whether x_0 is a strict local minimum point or not.

a) Let x_0 be a strict local minimum point. Furthermore, let us suppose that there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2[a, b]$ with the properties (i) and (ii). Then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|f_n''\| \leq \alpha$$

for all n . The convexity of f implies the existence of the right hand derivative $f'_r(x_0)$ and the hypothesis assures that $f'_r(x_0) > 0$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $a < x_0 - \delta < x_0 + \delta < b$ and

$$(2) \quad \alpha < \frac{f'_r(x_0)}{2\delta}.$$

Furthermore, let $\beta > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \beta < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min \left\{ f(x_0 - \delta) - f(x_0), f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0), f'_r(x_0)\delta - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \delta^2 \right\}.$$

By virtue of (i) there exists $n_\beta \geq 1$ such that $\|f - f_n\| \leq \beta$ for every $n > n_\beta$. Then

$$f(x) - \beta \leq f_n(x) \leq f(x) + \beta$$

for $x \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]$. Hence, by (3)

$$(4) \quad f_n(x_0 - \delta) \geq f(x_0 - \delta) - \beta > f(x_0) + \beta \geq f_n(x_0)$$

and

$$(5) \quad f_n(x_0 + \delta) \geq f(x_0 + \delta) - \beta > f(x_0) + \beta \geq f_n(x_0).$$

Then (4) and (5) imply the existence of $x_1^{(n)} \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0]$ and $x_2^{(n)} \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ such that $f_n(x_1^{(n)}) = f_n(x_2^{(n)})$. Therefore there exists $\bar{x}^{(n)} \in (x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)})$ such that

$$(6) \quad f'_n(\bar{x}^{(n)}) = 0.$$

Moreover, there exists $n_0 > n_\beta$ such that

$$(7) \quad \bar{x}^{(n_0)} \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta].$$

Indeed, in the opposite case $\bar{x}^{(n)} \in (x_1^{(n)}, x_0)$ for every $n > n_\beta$. So either $f'_n(x) > 0$ or $f'_n(x) < 0$ for all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ and $n > n_\beta$. If there is no $n, n > n_\beta$ such that $f'_n(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ then $f'_n(x) < 0$ for all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ and for all $n > n_\beta$. By Lagrange's mean value theorem for every $x \in (x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ there exists $c^{(n)} = c^{(n)}(x_0, x) \in (x_0, x)$ such that $f_n(x) - f_n(x_0) = f'_n(c^{(n)}) \cdot (x - x_0)$. Then $f(x) - f_n(x) = f(x) - f_n(x_0) - f'_n(c^{(n)}) \cdot (x - x_0) > f(x) - f_n(x_0)$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x) - f_n(x)) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x) - f_n(x_0))$. By (i) we obtain

$$(8) \quad f(x_0) \geq f(x), \quad x \in (x_0, x_0 + \delta].$$

But x_0 is a strict local minimum point, therefore $f(x_0) < f(x)$ for every $x \in (x_0, x_0 + \delta]$, contradiction with (8).

Thus there exists $n_1, n_1 > n_\beta$ such that $f'_{n_1}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$. In the same way we obtain the existence of the sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ and

$$(9) \quad f'_{n_k}(x) > 0$$

for every $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ and for every $k \geq 1$. Again, by Lagrange's mean value theorem and (1) we obtain

$$|f'_{n_k}(x) - f'_{n_k}(\bar{x}^{(n_k)})| \leq \alpha |x - \bar{x}^{(n_k)}|$$

for all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$. In view of (6) and (9) we have $f'_{n_k}(x) \leq \alpha |x - \bar{x}^{(n_k)}|$ for all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$. But $\bar{x}^{(n_k)} \in (x_1^{(n_k)}, x_0)$ thus $|x - \bar{x}^{(n_k)}| \leq 2\delta$. Therefore we get $f'_{n_k}(x) \leq 2\alpha\delta$ for all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$. Hence

$$\int_{x_0}^x f'_{n_k}(t) dt \leq \int_{x_0}^x 2\alpha\delta dt$$

or $f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_k}(x_0) \leq 2\alpha\delta(x - x_0)$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x) - f_n(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ we get $f(x) - f(x_0) \leq 2\alpha\delta(x - x_0)$ for all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$. In particular $f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0) \leq 2\alpha\delta^2$ or $[f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0)]/\delta \leq 2\alpha\delta$. By (2) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \frac{f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0)}{\delta} < f'_r(x_0).$$

On the other hand, by convexity of f we get

$$\frac{f(x_0 + \delta) - f(x_0)}{\delta} \geq f'_r(x_0),$$

contradiction with (10).

In conclusion there exists $n_0, n_0 > n_\beta$ such that

$$(11) \quad \|f - f_{n_0}\| \leq n_\beta,$$

$\bar{x}^{(n_0)} \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ and $f'_{n_0}(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) = 0$. By Taylor's formula, if $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n_0}(x) &= f_{n_0}(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) + \frac{1}{1!} f'_{n_0}(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})(x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)}) + \frac{1}{2!} f''_{n_0}(\xi)(x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)})^2 = \\ &= f_{n_0}(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) + \frac{1}{2} f''_{n_0}(\xi)(x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = \xi(x, \bar{x}^{(n_0)})$. Then by (1) and (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f_{n_0}(x)| &= |f(x) - f_{n_0}(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) - \frac{1}{2} f''_{n_0}(\xi)(x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)})^2| \geq \\ &\geq |f(x) - f_{n_0}(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})| - \frac{1}{2} |f''_{n_0}(\xi)| \cdot (x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)})^2 \geq \\ &\geq |f(x) - f_{n_0}(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})| - \frac{1}{2} \alpha (x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)})^2 \geq \\ &\geq |f(x) - f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})| - |f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) - f_{n_0}(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})| - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \alpha (x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)})^2 \geq \\ &\geq |f(x) - f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})| - \beta - \frac{1}{2} \alpha (x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (11) we have

$$(12) \quad 2\beta \geq |f(x) - f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})| - \frac{1}{2} \alpha (x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)})^2.$$

By convexity of f and the condition $\bar{x}^{(n_0)} \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$, if $x \in [x_0, \bar{x}^{(n_0)}]$ then

$$\frac{f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) - f(x)}{\bar{x}^{(n_0)} - x} \geq f'_r(x) \geq f'_r(x_0).$$

So

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})| &= f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) - f(x) \geq f'_r(x_0) \cdot (\bar{x}^{(n_0)} - x) = \\ &= f'_r(x_0) \cdot |x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)}|. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in [\bar{x}^{(n_0)}, x_0 + \delta]$ then

$$(14) \quad |f(x) - f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)})| = f(x) - f(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) \geq f'_r(\bar{x}^{(n_0)}) \cdot (x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)}) \geq f'_r(x_0) \cdot (x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)}) = f'_r(x_0)|x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)}|.$$

Plugging (13) and (14) in (12) we have

$$(15) \quad 2\beta \geq f'_r(x_0) \cdot |x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)}| - \frac{1}{2}\alpha|x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)}|^2$$

for every $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$. But $\bar{x}^{(n_0)} \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$ so $|x - \bar{x}^{(n_0)}| \leq \delta$. Therefore we can define a function g mapping $[0, \delta]$ into R as follows: $g(t) = f'_r(x_0)t - \alpha t^2/2$. Then, by (2) we obtain $g'(t) = f'_r(x_0) - \alpha t \geq f'_r(x_0) - \alpha \delta > f'_r(x_0) - f'_r(x_0)/2 = f'_r(x_0)/2 > 0$. Thus $\max\{g(t) : 0 \leq t \leq \delta\} = g(\delta) = f'_r(x_0)\delta - \alpha \delta^2/2$ and in view of (15) we have

$$(16) \quad f'_r(x_0)\delta - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \delta^2 \leq 2\beta.$$

But the choice of β assures $2\beta < f'_r(x_0)\delta - \alpha \delta^2/2$ (see (3)), contradiction with (16). This contradiction completes the proof of the first part.

b) Now, we consider the case when x_0 is a one sided strict local minimum point. Then, without loss of generality, we can suppose that $f'_r(x_0) > 0$. So there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $a < x_0 - \delta < x_0 + \delta < b$ and

$$(17) \quad f(x) = f(x_0)$$

for every $x \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0]$ and $f(x) > f(x_0)$ for every $x \in (x_0, x_0 + \delta]$. Again, let us suppose the existence of a sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2[a, b]$ with the properties (i) and (ii). By (ii) there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(18) \quad \|f''_n\| \leq \alpha$$

for every $n \geq 1$. Using Taylor's formula we obtain

$$f_n(x) = f_n(x_0) + \frac{1}{1!} f'_n(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2!} f''_n(\xi)(x - x_0)^2,$$

where $x \in [a, b]$ and $\xi = \xi(x_0, x; n) \in (a, b)$. By (18) we have

$$-\frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0)^2 \leq f_n(x) - f_n(x_0) - f'_n(x_0)(x - x_0) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0)^2.$$

Hence

$$(19) \quad \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0) \leq f'_n(x_0) \leq \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0)$$

for $x > x_0$ and

$$(20) \quad \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0) \leq f'_n(x_0) \leq \\ \leq \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0)$$

for $x < x_0$, respectively. By (i), (19) and (20) we obtain

$$(21) \quad \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) \leq \\ \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) \leq \\ \leq \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0)$$

for $x > x_0$ and the other hand

$$(22) \quad \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) \leq \\ \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) \leq \\ \leq \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0)$$

for $x < x_0$. In view of (21), and (17), (22) we have

$$f'_r(x_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) \leq f'_r(x_0)$$

and

$$0 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) \leq 0.$$

Therefore there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) = f'_r(x_0) > 0$ and there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) = 0$, respectively. This contradiction finishes the proof. \diamond

In the next theorem we prove the same result without use the hypothesis that f attains a one sided strict local minimum over $[a, b]$ in x_0 :

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in C[a, b]$ be convex. If there exists $x_0 \in (a, b)$ at which f is nondifferentiable, then there is no sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2[a, b]$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$,
- (ii) $\{\|f''_n\|\}$ is bounded.

Proof. Let us suppose that there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2[a, b]$ with the properties (i) and (ii). Then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(23) \quad \|f_n''\| \leq \alpha$$

for all $n \geq 1$. By Taylor's formula, if $x \in [a, b]$ then

$$f_n(x) = f_n(x_0) + \frac{1}{1!} f_n'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2!} f_n''(\xi)(x - x_0)^2,$$

where $\xi = \xi(x_0, x; n) \in (a, b)$. Hence, by (23) we get

$$(24) \quad -\frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0)^2 \leq f_n(x) - f_n(x_0) - f_n'(x_0)(x - x_0) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0)^2$$

for $x \in [a, b]$. If $x > x_0$ then we obtain

$$\frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0) \leq f_n'(x_0) \leq \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0).$$

Using the condition (i) we have

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(x_0) \leq \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(x_0) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0) \end{aligned}$$

for $x > x_0$. But f is a convex function and it is nondifferentiable in $x_0 \in (a, b)$, therefore we have

$$(26) \quad f_l'(x_0) < f_r'(x_0).$$

By (25) we obtain the existence of the limit

$$(27) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(x_0) = f_r'(x_0).$$

Again, by (24) and the condition (i) we obtain for $x < x_0$ the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(x_0) \leq \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(x_0) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2}(x - x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(28) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(x_0) = f_l'(x_0).$$

In view of (27) and (28) we have $f_r'(x_0) = f_l'(x_0)$, contradiction with (26). \diamond

Remark 1. Let $f \in C[a, b]$ be convex. If f is differentiable on $[a, b]$, then f' is an increasing function on (a, b) . Then there exists $f''(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$. Let

$\alpha_0 = \sup\{|f''(x)| : x \in [a, b] \text{ such that there exists } f''(x)\}.$

If $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ then there is no sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2[a, b]$ with the properties:

- (i) $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0,$
- (ii) $\|f_n''\| \leq \alpha$ for all $n.$

Indeed, in the opposite case let $x_0 \in (a, b)$ such that there exists $f''(x_0)$. By Taylor's formula, for $x \in [a, b]$ there exists $\xi = \xi(x_0, x; n) \in [a, b]$ such that

$$f_n(x) = f_n(x_0) + \frac{1}{1!}f_n'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2!}f_n''(\xi)(x - x_0)^2.$$

By reason of the proof of Th. 2 we can state

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(x) = f'(x)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$. Hence, by (i) we obtain

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^2 \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n''(\xi)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n''(\xi) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)(x - x_0)}{\frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^2} = \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f'(x) - f'(x_0)}{x - x_0} = f''(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (ii) we obtain $|f''(x_0)| \leq \alpha$. Therefore $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha$, contradiction with the choice of α .

The next theorem formulates the assertion of Remark 1 for a twice continuously differentiable function.

Theorem 3. *Let $f \in C^2[a, b]$ be a convex function and $0 < \alpha < \|f''\|$. Then there is no sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2[a, b]$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0,$
- (ii) $\|f_n''\| \leq \alpha$ for all $n.$

Proof. We suppose that there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2[a, b]$ with the properties (i) and (ii).

Repeating the proof of Th. 2 we can establish that

$$(29) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(x) = f(x)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Let $x_0, x \in [a, b]$ be arbitrary such that $x_0 < x$. In view of Taylor's formula we have

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \frac{1}{1!} f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2!} f''(c)(x - x_0)$$

where $c = c(x_0, x) \in (x_0, x)$ and

$$f_n(x) = f_n(x_0) + \frac{1}{1!} f'_n(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2!} f''_n(c^{(n)})(x - x_0)^2$$

where $c^{(n)} = c^{(n)}(x_0, x) \in (x_0, x)$, respectively. Then

$$f(x) - f_n(x) = f(x_0) - f_n(x_0) + \frac{1}{1!} [f'(x_0) - f'_n(x_0)](x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2!} [f''(c) - f''_n(c^{(n)})](x - x_0)^2.$$

Hence, by (i) and (29) we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f''_n(c^{(n)}) = f''(c)$. Thus for every subinterval $[c, d] \subseteq [a, b]$ there exist $u \in (c, d)$ and a sequence $\{u_n\}$, $u_n \in (c, d)$ for all n such that

$$(30) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f''_n(u_n) = f''(u).$$

This means that the set

$S = \{u \in [a, b] : \text{there exists a sequence } \{u_n\} \text{ such that}$

$$u_n \in [a, b] \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f''_n(u_n) = f''(u)\}$$

is dense in $[a, b]$.

On the other hand, by (ii) we have $|f''_n(u_n)| \leq \alpha$ for every $n \geq 1$. So, in view of (30) we have

$$(31) \quad |f''(u)| \leq \alpha$$

for every $u \in S$. If $u \in [a, b] \setminus S$ then the denseness of S assures the existence of a sequence $\{s_n\}$ in S such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = u$. By (31) we have $|f''(s_n)| \leq \alpha$. But $f \in C^2[a, b]$ therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f''(s_n) = f''(u)$. So

$$(32) \quad |f''(u)| \leq \alpha$$

for every $u \in [a, b] \setminus S$. In view of (31) and (32) we have $|f''(u)| \leq \alpha$ for every $u \in [a, b]$. Thus $\|f''\| \leq \alpha$. But we have the condition $\alpha < \|f''\|$, contradiction. This completes the proof. \diamond

Remark 2. If we consider the condition

$$(i') \quad f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) \text{ for all } x \in [a, b]$$

instead of (i), then the conclusions of Ths. 2 and 3 will remain valid.

The next theorems generalize the above results:

Theorem 4. Let $(E, \| \cdot \|)$ be a real normed spaces, $U \subset E$ be a nonempty, convex set and $f \in C(U, R)$ be convex. If there exists an interior point x_0 of U at which f is nondifferentiable, then there is no sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2(U, R)$ with the following properties:

- (i') $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in U$,
- (ii) $\{\|f_n''(x)\|\}$ is bounded for all n and for all $x \in U$.

Proof. Let us suppose the existence of a sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2(U, R)$ with the properties (i') and (ii). Then there exists $\alpha > 0$ with

$$(33) \quad \|f_n''(x)\| \leq \alpha$$

for every n and for every $x \in U$. Using Taylor's formula we obtain

$$f_n(x) = f_n(x_0) + \frac{1}{1!} f_n'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2!} f_n''(x_0 + \theta(x - x_0))(x - x_0)^2,$$

where $x \in U$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then, by (33) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0) - f_n'(x_0)(x - x_0)| &= \frac{1}{2} |f_n''(x_0 + \theta(x - x_0))(x - x_0)^2| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} f_n(x) - f_n(x_0) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 &\leq f_n'(x_0)(x - x_0) \leq \\ &\leq f_n(x) - f_n(x_0) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $x \in U$.

Let $h \in E$. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $x_0 + th \in U$ for every $t \in [0, t_0]$. In view of (34) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x_0 + th) - f_n(x_0) - \frac{\alpha}{2} t^2 \|h\|^2 &\leq t f_n'(x_0)(h) \leq \\ &\leq f_n(x_0 + th) - f_n(x_0) + \frac{\alpha}{2} t^2 \|h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in [0, t_0]$. Hence

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{f_n(x_0 + th) - f_n(x_0)}{t} - \frac{\alpha}{2} t \|h\|^2 &\leq f_n'(x_0)(h) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{f_n(x_0 + th) - f_n(x_0)}{t} + \frac{\alpha}{2} t \|h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$. Then, by (i') we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (36) \quad \frac{f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0)}{t} - \frac{\alpha}{2}t\|h\|^2 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0)(h) \leq \\
 &\leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0)(h) \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0)}{t} + \frac{\alpha}{2}t\|h\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$.

On the other hand, because f is a convex function, there exists its directional derivative $\delta f(x_0)(h) = \lim_{t \searrow 0} [f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0)]/t, h \in E$. Then (36) implies the existence of the limit

$$(37) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0)(h) = \delta f(x_0)(h).$$

Because $f'_n(x_0)$ are linear functions, we obtain the linearity of $\delta f(x_0)$.

Now, for every $h \in E$ such that $x_0 + h$ is an interior point of U , we obtain the following estimations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - \delta f(x_0)(h)| &\leq \\
 &\leq |f(x_0 + h) - f_n(x_0 + h)| + |f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| + \\
 &\quad + |f_n(x_0 + h) - f_n(x_0) - \delta f(x_0)(h)| \leq \\
 &\leq |f(x_0 + h) - f_n(x_0 + h)| + |f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| + \\
 &\quad + |f_n(x_0 + h) - f_n(x_0) - f'_n(x_0)(h)| + |f'_n(x_0)(h) - \delta f(x_0)(h)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Taylor's formula and (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - \delta f(x_0)(h)| &\leq \\
 &\leq |f(x_0 + h) - f_n(x_0 + h)| + |f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| + \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}|f''_n(x_0 + \theta h)(h)^2| + |f'_n(x_0)(h) - \delta f(x_0)(h)| \leq \\
 &\leq |f(x_0 + h) - f_n(x_0 + h)| + |f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| + \\
 &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|h\|^2 + |f'_n(x_0)(h) - \delta f(x_0)(h)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (i') and (37) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\|h\|} \cdot |f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - \delta f(x_0)(h)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\|h\|.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\|h\|} \cdot |f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - \delta f(x_0)(h)| = 0,$$

i.e. f is differentiable in x_0 , contradiction with the hypothesis. \diamond

Theorem 5. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed spaces, $U \subset E$ be a nonempty, open, convex set and $f \in C^2(U, R)$ be a convex function. If $0 < \alpha < \sup\{\|f''(x)\| : x \in U\}$ then there is no sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C^2(U, R)$ with the following properties:*

- (i') $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in U$,
- (ii) $\|f_n''(x)\| \leq \alpha$ for all n and for all $x \in U$.

Proof. The proof doesn't contain new ideas in comparison with Ths. 3 and 4, so we omit that. \diamond

References

- [1] BROWDER, A.: Mathematical Analysis, An Introduction, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.
- [2] DITZIAN, Z. and TOTIK, V.: Moduli of smoothness, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1987.