

WEAK COMPACTNESS AND CONVERGENCE IN TWO-PARAMETER MARTINGALE HARDY SPACES AND THE DUAL OF THE *VMO* SPACE

Ferenc Weisz

*Department of Numerical Analysis, Eötvös L. University, H-1518
Budapest, Pf. 32, Hungary*

Dedicated to Professor Ferenc Schipp on his 60th birthday

Received: September 1998

MSC 1991: 60 G 42, 42 B 30

Keywords: Weak sequentially compactness, weak completeness, Hardy, *BMO* and *VMO* spaces.

Abstract: A new characterization of the two-parameter *BMO* spaces is given. It is proved that a set A from the two-parameter Hardy space H_1 is weakly sequentially compact if and only if the set of the maximal functions of A is uniformly integrable. We obtain that H_1 is weakly complete. Using these facts, we give a new proof for the duality between *VMO* and H_1 .

1. Introduction

It is known that a set from L_1 is weakly sequentially compact if and only if the set is uniformly integrable (see Dunford and Schwartz [6, p. 294]). Moreover, L_1 is weakly complete (see [6, p. 290]).

The corresponding results for one-parameter Hardy spaces can be found in Dellacherie, Meyer and Yor [5] and Long [8]. Every function from the one-parameter *BMO* space can be written as a sum of bounded

This research was made while the author was visiting the Humboldt University in Berlin supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

functions (see Garsia [7]). With the help of this characterization Long [8] proved that a set $A \subset H_1$ is weakly sequentially compact if and only if the set of the maximal functions of A is uniformly integrable. Moreover, he proved that H_1 is weakly complete.

Coifman and Weiss [4] proved for the classical Hardy spaces that the dual of VMO is H_1 . This result for one-parameter martingale spaces is due to Schipp [11]. The author [13, 15] generalized this for two-parameter martingale spaces.

In this paper we generalize these results for two-parameter Hardy and BMO spaces. First we give a new characterization of the two-parameter BMO spaces, we decompose every BMO function into a sum of infinitely many bounded functions. The bounded linear functionals of H_1 are written in a new, closed form. Then we verify that $A \subset H_1$ is weakly sequentially compact if and only if the set of the maximal functions of A is uniformly integrable. We derive that H_1 is weakly complete, i.e. if $E(f^n g)$ has a limit for all $g \in BMO$, where $f^n \in H_1$, then there exists $f \in H_1$ such that f^n converges weakly to f . We prove also a weaker form of this result: if $E(f^n g)$ has a limit for all $g \in VMO$ then there exists $f \in H_1$ such that f^n converges weakly to f .

In the last section we suppose that every σ -algebra is generated by finitely many atoms. We give a new, functional analytic proof for the fact that the dual of VMO is H_1 .

2. Preliminaries and notations

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space and let $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ be a non-decreasing sequence of σ -algebras with respect to the partial ordering on \mathbb{N}^2 . Moreover, let \mathcal{A} be the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{F} , i.e. $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{F})$. Introduce the following σ -algebras:

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,\infty} := \sigma(\cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n,k}), \quad \mathcal{F}_{\infty,m} := \sigma(\cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}).$$

The expectation operator and the conditional expectation operators relative to $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$, $\mathcal{F}_{n,\infty}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\infty,m}$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) are denoted by E , $E_{n,m}$, $E_{n,\infty}$ and $E_{\infty,m}$, respectively. We briefly write L_p instead of the real or complex $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ space while the norm (or quasinorm) of this space is defined by $\|f\|_p := (E|f|^p)^{1/p}$ ($0 < p \leq \infty$). For simplicity, we assume that for a function $f \in L_1$ we have $E_{n,0}f = E_{0,n}f = 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). The space L will denote the step functions.

We suppose that the condition (F_4) introduced by Cairoli and Walsh [3] is satisfied: for arbitrary $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_{n,\infty}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\infty,m}$ are conditionally independent relative to $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ or, equivalently,

$$(F_4) \quad E_{n,m}f = E_{n,\infty}(E_{\infty,m}f) = E_{\infty,m}(E_{n,\infty}f) \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{N})$$

for all bounded functions f .

An integrable sequence $f = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ is said to be a *martingale* if

- (i) $f_{n,m}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ measurable for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$
- (ii) $E_{k,l}f_{n,m} = f_{k,l}$ for all $k \leq n$ and $l \leq m$.

For simplicity, we always suppose that for a martingale f we have $f_{n,0} = f_{0,n} = 0$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Of course, the theorems that are to be proved later are true with a slightly modification without this condition, too.

In this paper we suppose that the stochastic basis \mathcal{F} is *regular*, i.e. there exists a number $R > 0$ such that $f_{n,m} \leq Rf_{n-1,m}$, $f_{n,m} \leq Rf_{n,m-1}$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) hold for all non-negative martingales $(f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$.

The easiest example for a regular \mathcal{F} is the sequence of dyadic σ -algebras where $\Omega = [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$, \mathcal{A} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets, P is Lebesgue measure and

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,m} := \sigma \left\{ \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n} \right) \times \left[\frac{l}{2^m}, \frac{l+1}{2^m} \right) : 0 \leq k < 2^n, 0 \leq l < 2^m \right\}.$$

The stochastic basis generated by bounded Vilenkin systems are also regular (cf. Weisz [15]).

We say that a sequence $X = (X_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ is *uniformly integrable* if

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{|f_{n,m}| > y\}} |f_{n,m}| dP = 0.$$

The *maximal function* of a sequence $X = (X_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ is denoted by

$$X^* := \sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} |X_{n,m}|.$$

The *martingale Hardy space* H_p ($0 < p \leq \infty$) denotes the space of all martingales $f = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

It is proved in Brossard [1, 2] and Weisz [15] that, for all $0 < p < \infty$, H_p is equivalent to the space generated by the *quadratic variation*, i.e. by

$$S(f) := \left(\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} |f_{n,m} - f_{n-1,m} - f_{n,m-1} + f_{n-1,m-1}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Moreover, it is known that $H_p \sim L_p$ for all $1 < p < \infty$, where \sim denotes the equivalence of the spaces and norms.

The dual of H_1 is the *BMO* space (see Weisz [13, 15]) where *BMO* denotes the space of those functions $f \in L_2$ for which

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup_{\nu} P(\nu \neq \infty)^{-1/2} \|f - f^{\nu}\|_2 < \infty.$$

Here the supremum is taken over all two-parameter stopping times and f^{ν} denotes the stopped martingale.

For an arbitrary space \mathbf{Z} we denote by $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$ the $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ measurable functions from \mathbf{Z} .

3. A characterization of BMO

In this section we characterize the dual of H_1 . Let $\theta = (\theta_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of functions such that there exist N and M for which

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{n,m} &= \theta_{N,m} && \text{for all } n \geq N, m \leq M - 1 \\ \theta_{n,m} &= \theta_{n,M} && \text{for all } m \geq M, n \leq N - 1 \\ \theta_{n,m} &= \theta_{N,M} && \text{for all } n \geq N, m \geq M. \end{aligned}$$

We say that a sequence θ of this type is in the space \mathcal{H} if

$$\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}} := \|\theta^*\|_1 < \infty.$$

Now we give the dual of \mathcal{H} .

Lemma 1. *For all bounded linear functionals l of \mathcal{H} there exist sequences $(\epsilon_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$, $(\xi_{n,\infty}; n \in \mathbb{N})$, $(\xi_{\infty,m}; m \in \mathbb{N})$ of functions and a function ψ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \|l\| &\sim \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{n,\infty}| + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{\infty,m}| + |\psi| \right\|_{\infty}, \\ l(\theta) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E(\theta_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} E \left[\theta_{n,M} (\xi_{n,\infty} + \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n,m}) \right] + \\ (2) \quad &+ \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E \left[\theta_{N,m} (\xi_{\infty,m} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n,m}) \right] + \\ &+ E \left[\theta_{N,M} \left(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n,m} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \xi_{n,\infty} + \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \xi_{\infty,m} + \psi \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that if l is of the form (2) then l is a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{H} and $\|l\|$ can be estimated by the right hand side of (1).

Let l be an arbitrary bounded linear functional on \mathcal{H} . It follows from the duality between L_1 and L_{∞} and from the linearity of l , that there exist $\epsilon_{n,m}, \xi_{n,m}, \psi_{n,m} \in L_{\infty}$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} l(\theta) = & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E(\theta_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} E(\theta_{n,M} \xi_{n,M}) + \\ & + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E(\theta_{N,m} \xi_{N,m}) + E(\theta_{N,M} \psi_{N,M}). \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\theta_{n,m} := \text{sign } \epsilon_{n,m}$ ($n \leq N-1, m \leq M-1$), $\theta_{n,M} := \text{sign } \xi_{n,M}$ ($n \leq N-1$), $\theta_{N,m} := \text{sign } \xi_{N,m}$ ($m \leq M-1$) and $\theta_{N,M} := \text{sign } \psi_{N,M}$, we can see that

$$(4) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\epsilon_{n,m}| + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\xi_{n,M}| + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\xi_{N,m}| + |\psi_{N,M}| \right\|_{\infty} \leq \|l\|$$

for all $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$. If $N = 0$ then we have

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\xi_{0,m}| + |\psi_{0,M}| \right\|_{\infty} \leq \|l\|.$$

The bounded linear functional l from (3) can be rewritten in

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} l(\theta) = & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E(\theta_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} E(\theta_{n,M} \xi_{n,L}) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=M}^{L-1} E(\theta_{n,M} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \\ & + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E(\theta_{N,m} \xi_{K,m}) + \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E(\theta_{N,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \sum_{m=M}^{L-1} E(\theta_{N,M} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \\ & + \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} E(\theta_{N,M} \xi_{n,L}) + \sum_{m=M}^{L-1} E(\theta_{N,M} \xi_{K,m}) + E(\theta_{N,M} \psi_{K,L}) \end{aligned}$$

where $K \geq N$ and $L \geq M$. (3) and (6) imply

$$(7) \quad \xi_{n,M} = \xi_{n,L} + \sum_{m=M}^{L-1} \epsilon_{n,m},$$

$$(8) \quad \xi_{N,m} = \xi_{K,m} + \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \epsilon_{n,m},$$

$$(9) \quad \psi_{N,M} = \psi_{K,L} + \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \sum_{m=M}^{L-1} \epsilon_{n,m} + \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \xi_{n,L} + \sum_{m=M}^{L-1} \xi_{K,m}.$$

We can conclude from (4) and (7) that there exists the limit $\xi_{n,\infty} := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{n,L}$ and

$$(10) \quad |\xi_{n,\infty} - \xi_{n,M}| \leq \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}|.$$

Similarly,

$$(11) \quad |\xi_{\infty,m} - \xi_{N,m}| \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}|.$$

Since N and M are arbitrary, (10) and (11) hold for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

We prove now that

$$(12) \quad \lim_{K,L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \xi_{n,L} = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \xi_{n,\infty}.$$

Indeed, (4), (5) and (10) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \xi_{n,\infty} - \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \xi_{n,L} \right| &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} |\xi_{n,\infty} - \xi_{n,L}| + \sum_{n=K}^{\infty} |\xi_{n,\infty}| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \sum_{m=L}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}| + \sum_{n=K}^{\infty} |\xi_{n,\infty} - \xi_{n,0}| + \sum_{n=K}^{\infty} |\xi_{n,0}| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=L}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}| + \sum_{n=K}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}| + \sum_{n=K}^{\infty} |\xi_{n,0}| \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

if K and L are large enough. Similarly,

$$(13) \quad \lim_{K,L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=M}^{L-1} \xi_{K,m} = \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \xi_{\infty,m}.$$

Substituting (12) and (13) in (9) we get that $\lim_{K,L \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{K,L} = \psi$ does exist and

$$\psi_{N,M} = \psi + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n,m} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \xi_{n,\infty} + \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \xi_{\infty,m}.$$

This together with (3), (7) and (8) prove (2). In the same way we can verify that

$$\lim_{K,L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} |\xi_{n,L}| = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |\xi_{n,\infty}|, \quad \lim_{K,L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=M}^{L-1} |\xi_{K,m}| = \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} |\xi_{\infty,m}|,$$

which finishes the proof of (1). \diamond

Now we formulate the main result of this section. Its one-parameter version can be found in Garsia [7] and Long [8].

Theorem 1. *A function g is in BMO if and only if there exist functions $\epsilon_{n,m}$, $\xi_{n,\infty}$, $\xi_{\infty,m}$ and ψ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) such that*

$$(14) \quad g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\infty} \xi_{n,\infty} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{\infty,m} \xi_{\infty,m} + \psi,$$

$$(15) \quad \|g\|_{BMO} \sim \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{n,\infty}| + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{\infty,m}| + |\psi| \right\|_{\infty}.$$

Proof. It is known that for all $g \in BMO$ there exists a bounded linear functional l on H_1 such that $\|l\| \sim \|g\|_{BMO}$ and

$$(16) \quad l_g(f) = l(f) = E(fg) \quad (f \in L_2),$$

where L_2 is dense in H_1 (see Weisz [15]). We shall use also the notation $\langle f, g \rangle := l_g(f)$ ($f \in H_1$). The subspace $\cup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} L_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$, which is dense in H_1 , can be embedded isometrically into \mathcal{H} . If $g \in BMO$ then the bounded linear functional l given in (16) can be extended onto \mathcal{H} norm preserving. By Lemma 1 then there exist $\epsilon_{n,m}$, $\xi_{n,\infty}$, $\xi_{\infty,m}$ and ψ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) such that (1) and (2) hold. Since $\|l\| \sim \|g\|_{BMO}$, (15) is proved. By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_{N,M}g) &= l(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E(f_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} E \left[f_{n,M} \left(\xi_{n,\infty} + \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n,m} \right) \right] + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E \left[f_{N,m} \left(\xi_{\infty,m} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n,m} \right) \right] + \\ &+ E \left[f_{N,M} \left(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \epsilon_{n,m} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \xi_{n,\infty} + \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \xi_{\infty,m} + \psi \right) \right] = \\ &= E \left[f_{N,M} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\infty} \xi_{n,\infty} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{\infty,m} \xi_{\infty,m} + \psi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_{N,M}$ is arbitrary, (14) is proved.

On the other hand, if g can be written in (14) then $\epsilon_{n,m}$, $\xi_{n,\infty}$, $\xi_{\infty,m}$ and ψ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) give a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{H} and hence on the image of $\cup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} L_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$. By the density this yields that $E(f_{N,M}g)$ can be extended to a bounded linear functional on H_1 . Consequently, $g \in BMO$. The proof of Th. 1 is complete. \diamond

Theorem 2. *If $g \in BMO$ then there exist $\epsilon_{n,m}$, $\xi_{n,\infty}$, $\xi_{\infty,m}$ and ψ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) such that (15) hold and the corresponding bounded linear functional can be written in the form*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f, g \rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,\infty} \xi_{n,\infty}) + \\
 (17) \quad &+ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{\infty,m} \xi_{\infty,m}) + E(f\psi)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in H_1$.

Proof. We apply Th. 1. By (15) the series (14) converges also in L_1 norm. Hence, for $f \in L_\infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f, g \rangle &= E(fg) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f E_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(f E_{n,\infty} \xi_{n,\infty}) + \\
 &+ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f E_{\infty,m} \xi_{\infty,m}) + E(f\psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \\
 &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,\infty} \xi_{n,\infty}) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{\infty,m} \xi_{\infty,m}) + E(f\psi).
 \end{aligned}$$

In case $f \in H_1$ is arbitrary, we choose $f^k \in L_\infty$ such that $f^k \rightarrow f$ in H_1 norm. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f, g \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(f^k g) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,m}^k \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,\infty}^k \xi_{n,\infty}) + \right. \\
 &\left. + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{\infty,m}^k \xi_{\infty,m}) + E(f^k \psi) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $|f_{n,m}^k - f_{n,m}| \leq (f^k - f)^*$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$), (15) completes the proof of (17). \diamond

4. Weak compactness and convergence in H_1

We denote the weak topology in H_1 by $\sigma(H_1, BMO)$ and the weak* topology by $\sigma(BMO, H_1)$. The following lemma can be found in Long [8, p. 61].

Lemma 2. *Let A be a set of sequences $X = (X_{n,m})$ such that*

$$\sup_{X \in A} E(X^*) \leq C.$$

Suppose that for all measurable functions T from Ω to \mathbb{N}^2 , $A_T := \{X_T : X \in A\}$ is uniformly integrable, where $X_T := \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} X_{n,m} 1_{\{T=(n,m)\}}$. Then $A^ := \{X^* : X \in A\}$ is uniformly integrable, too.*

Now we show that under some conditions the sum in (17) is uniformly.

Lemma 3. *Let $g \in BMO$, $A \subset H_1$ and A^* be uniformly integrable. Then for all $\delta > 0$ there exist N_0 and M_0 such that for $N \geq N_0$ and $M \geq M_0$,*

$$\sup_{f \in A} \left(\left| \sum_{\substack{n \geq N \text{ or} \\ m \geq M}} E(f_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) \right| + \left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} E(f_{n,\infty} \xi_{n,\infty}) \right| + \left| \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} E(f_{\infty,m} \xi_{\infty,m}) \right| \right) \leq \delta,$$

where $\epsilon_{n,m}$, $\xi_{n,\infty}$ and $\xi_{\infty,m}$ appear in Th. 2.

Proof. We prove the lemma for the first sum, only. Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\epsilon_{n,m}|$$

converges to $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}|$ also in measure, for all $\eta > 0$ there exist N_0 and M_0 such that for $N \geq N_0$ and $M \geq M_0$ we have

$$F_{N,M} := \left| \left\{ \omega : \sum_{\substack{n \geq N \text{ or} \\ m \geq M}} |\epsilon_{n,m}| > \delta/(6C) \right\} \right| \leq \eta$$

where

$$C \geq \sup_{f \in A} \left(\sup E(f^*), \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_{n,m}| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{n,\infty}| + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{\infty,m}| + |\psi| \right\|_{\infty} \right).$$

By the uniform integrability of A^* , η can be chosen such that

$$\sup_{f \in A} \int_F f^* dP \leq \delta/(6C) \quad \text{if} \quad P(F) \leq \eta.$$

Thus we obtain for all $f \in A$ that

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{n \geq N \text{ or} \\ m \geq M}} E(f_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) \right| \leq C \int_{F_{N,M}} f^* dP + \delta/(6C) \int_{\Omega \setminus F_{N,M}} f^* dP \leq \delta/3,$$

which finishes the proof of Lemma 3. \diamond

Lemma 4. *If f^k is a weak Cauchy sequence of H_1 then for all measurable $T : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{N}^2$, f_T^k is weakly convergent in L_1 .*

Proof. For all $h \in L_{\infty}$,

$$E(f_T^k h) = E\left(\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n,m}^k h 1_{\{T=(n,m)\}} \right) = E\left(\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n,m}^k \epsilon_{n,m} \right) = \langle f^k, g \rangle$$

where $\epsilon_{n,m} := h1_{\{T=(n,m)\}}$ and $g := \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} E_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m} \in BMO$ by Th. 1. Since $\langle f^k, g \rangle$ converges, we conclude that f_T^k is a weak Cauchy sequence in L_1 . The weak completeness of L_1 (see Dunford, Schwartz [6, p. 290]) shows the lemma. \diamond

Theorem 3. *A set $A \subset H_1$ is weakly sequentially compact if and only if A^* is uniformly integrable.*

Proof. The uniform integrability of A^* implies that A is also uniformly integrable. This means that $A \subset L_1$ is weakly sequentially compact in the topology $\sigma(L_1, L_\infty)$ (see Dunford and Schwartz [6, p. 294]). Thus for all infinite sequence from A there exist a subsequence $(f^k) \subset A$ and a function $f \in L_1$ such that $f^k \rightarrow f$ in $\sigma(L_1, L_\infty)$, i.e. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(f^k h) = E(fh)$ for all $h \in L_\infty$. It is easy to see that also $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(f_{n,m}^k h) = E(f_{n,m} h)$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $h \in L_\infty$. For an arbitrary $g \in BMO$, Th. 2 and Lemma 3 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f^k, g \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,m}^k \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,\infty}^k \xi_{n,\infty}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{\infty,m}^k \xi_{\infty,m}) + E(f^k \psi) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(f_{n,m}^k \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(f_{n,\infty}^k \xi_{n,\infty}) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(f_{\infty,m}^k \xi_{\infty,m}) + E(f \psi) + \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(f_{n,\infty} \xi_{n,\infty}) = \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E(f_{\infty,m} \xi_{\infty,m}) + E(f \psi) = \langle f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We only have to show that $f \in H_1$. For any measurable $T : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{N}^2$ the last equation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E(|f_T|) &= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} E(f_{n,m} \epsilon_{n,m}) \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} E(f_{n,m}^k \epsilon_{n,m}) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} E((f^k)^* |\epsilon_{n,m}|) \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_{n,m} := \text{sign } f_{n,m} 1_{\{T=(n,m)\}}$. Taking the supremum over all T 's we obtain $f \in H_1$.

Now assume that A is weakly sequentially compact in $\sigma(H_1, BMO)$. If a subsequence $(f^k) \subset H_1$ converges weakly to f , then, by Lemma 4,

$$E(f_T^k h) = \langle f^k, g \rangle \longrightarrow \langle f, g \rangle = E(f_T h),$$

where $h \in L_\infty$ is arbitrary. Consequently, A_T is weakly sequentially compact in $\sigma(L_1, L_\infty)$, and so A_T is uniformly integrable. Since A is bounded in H_1 , Lemma 2 shows the uniform integrability of A^* . The proof of the theorem is complete. \diamond

Now we can verify the weak completeness of H_1 .

Theorem 4. *The space H_1 is weakly complete, i.e. if f^k is a sequence from H_1 such that $\langle f^k, g \rangle$ has a limit for all $g \in BMO$, then there exists $f \in H_1$ such that $\langle f^k, g \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$.*

Proof. Every f^k defines a bounded linear functional on BMO with equivalent norm $\|f^k\|_{H_1}$. By the convergence, these functionals are pointwise bounded. The Banach-Steinhaus theorem implies that they are uniformly bounded, thus $A := \{f^k\}$ is a bounded set in H_1 . It follows from Lemma 4 that A_T is weakly sequentially compact in $\sigma(L_1, L_\infty)$. Then A_T is uniformly integrable and hence A^* is also uniformly integrable (see Lemma 2). Th. 3 yields the weak sequentially compactness of A in $\sigma(H_1, BMO)$. Thus there is a subsequence (f^{k_n}) and $f \in H_1$ such that $\langle f^{k_n}, g \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$ for all $g \in BMO$. The proof can be finished as usual. \diamond

We formulate a relation between weak and strong convergence in H_1 .

Theorem 5. *Suppose that the sequence (f^k) from H_1 converges weakly to $f \in H_1$. Then the convergence holds in H_1 norm if and only if*

$$\left\| \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |E_{n, \infty}(f - f^k)| \right\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The "only if" part is clear. To prove the other part observe that $A := \{f^k\}$ is weakly sequentially compact in H_1 , hence A^* is uniformly integrable (see Th. 2). The convergence $f^k \rightarrow f$ in H_1 norm follows from the uniform integrability of A^* and from the inequality

$$P((f - f^k)^* > \delta) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left\| \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |E_{n, \infty}(f - f^k)| \right\|_1.$$

Note that this inequality was proved by the author in [15, p. 85]. \diamond

Now we verify a weaker version of Th. 4.

Theorem 6. *Let \mathbf{Z} be the closure of $\cup_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} BMO(\mathcal{F}_{n, m})$ in BMO norm. If f^k is a sequence from H_1 such that $\langle f^k, g \rangle$ has a limit for all $g \in \mathbf{Z}$, then there exists $f \in H_1$ such that $\langle f^k, g \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$.*

Proof. Every f^k defines a bounded linear functional on \mathbf{Z} . Since

$$(18) \quad \|f_{n,m}\|_{H_1} \sim \sup_{\substack{g \in BMO(\mathcal{F}_{n,m}) \\ \|g\|_{BMO} \leq 1}} |\langle f_{n,m}, g \rangle|$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_{n,m}\|_{H_1} = \|f\|_{H_1}$, we have $A := \{f^k\}$ is again a bounded set in H_1 . If $\langle f^k, g \rangle$ converges for all $g \in \mathbf{Z}$ then it converges also for all $g \in BMO(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$. By Th. 4 there exists a function $f_{n,m} \in H_1$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$(19) \quad \langle f^k, g \rangle = \langle f_{n,m}^k, g \rangle \longrightarrow \langle f_{n,m}, g \rangle \quad (g \in BMO(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})).$$

Then $\langle f^k, g \rangle = \langle f_{n+1,m}^k, g \rangle \longrightarrow \langle f_{n+1,m}, g \rangle$ ($g \in BMO(\mathcal{F}_{n+1,m})$). Since $\langle f_{n,m}^k, g \rangle = \langle f_{n+1,m}^k, g \rangle$ for all $g \in BMO(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$, we can see that $f_{n,m} = E_{n,m} f_{n+1,m}$. Consequently, $f := (f_{n,m})$ is a martingale. (18) and (19) imply that

$$(20) \quad \|f_{n,m}\|_{H_1} \leq C \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_{n,m}^k\|_{H_1} \leq C \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f^k\|_{H_1} \leq C.$$

Thus $f \in H_1$. For $g \in \mathbf{Z}$ we can choose $g_0 \in \cup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} BMO(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$ such that $\|g - g_0\|_{BMO} \leq \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, g \rangle - \langle f^k, g \rangle| &\leq |\langle f, g \rangle - \langle f, g_0 \rangle| + |\langle f, g_0 \rangle - \langle f^k, g_0 \rangle| + \\ &\quad + |\langle f^k, g_0 \rangle - \langle f^k, g \rangle| \leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

if k is large enough. The proof of the theorem is complete. \diamond

5. The dual of VMO

In this section we suppose that every σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ is generated by finitely many atoms. Let VMO denote the closure of $\cup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} L(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$ in the BMO norm. Recall that $L(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$ denotes the $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ measurable step functions.

Theorem 7. *The dual of VMO is H_1 .*

Proof. It follows from the duality between H_1 and BMO that every $g \in VMO$ defines a bounded linear functional $l_f(g) := \langle f, g \rangle$ on VMO with $\|l_f\| \leq C \|f\|_{H_1}$.

To prove the other side let $l \in VMO^*$ be an arbitrary bounded linear functional. By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem

$$Y := \{k \in VMO^* : \|k\| \leq \|l\|\}$$

is compact with respect to the weak*, i.e. the $\sigma(VMO^*, VMO)$ topology (see e.g. Dunford and Schwartz [6, p. 424]). Since VMO is separable,

the weak* topology on Y is metrizable (cf. [6, p. 426]). The natural embedding of H_1 into VMO^* is dense in VMO^* with respect to $\sigma(BMO^*, BMO)$ (cf. [6, p. 425]). Hence $H_1 \cap Y$ is dense in Y with respect to $\sigma(VMO^*, VMO)$. From this it follows that there exists a sequence $(f^k) \subset H_1 \cap Y$ such that $f^k \rightarrow l$ in the $\sigma(VMO^*, VMO)$ topology. In other words, $\langle f^k, g \rangle \rightarrow \langle l, g \rangle$ for all $g \in VMO$. By Th. 6 there exists $f \in H_1$ such that $\langle f^k, g \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$ for all $g \in VMO$. Hence $l = f$. Moreover, (20) implies that $\|f\|_{H_1} \leq C\|l\|$, which completes the proof. \diamond

References

- [1] BROSSARD, J.: Comparaison des "normes" L_p du processus croissant et de la variable maximale pour les martingales régulières à deux indices. Théorème local correspondant, *Annals of Prob.* **8** (1980), 1183-1188.
- [2] BROSSARD, J.: Régularité des martingales à deux indices et inégalités de normes, in: *Processes Aléatoires à Deux Indices, Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer, Berlin, **863** (1981), 91-121.
- [3] CAIROLI, R. and WALSH, J.B.: Stochastic integrals in the plane, *Acta Math.* **134** (1975), 111-183.
- [4] COIFMAN R.R. and WEISS, G.: Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 569-645.
- [5] DELLACHERIE, A., MEYER, P.A. and YOR, M.: Sur certains propriétés de espaces de Banach H_1 et BMO , in: *Sem. Prob. XII, Lecture Notes in Math.* **649** (1978), 98-113.
- [6] DUNFORD, N. and SCHWARTZ, J.T.: *Linear operators 1*, John Wiley, New York, 1988.
- [7] GARSIA, A.M.: *Martingale inequalities. Seminar Notes on Recent Progress, Math. Lecture Note*, Benjamin, New York, 1973.
- [8] LONG, R.: *Martingale spaces and inequalities*, Peking University Press and Vieweg Publishing, 1993.
- [9] NEVEU, J.: *Discrete-parameter martingales*, North-Holland, 1971.
- [10] SCHIPP, F.: Multiple Walsh analysis, in: *Theory and applications of Gibbs derivatives*, (eds. P.L. Butzer and R.S. Stankovic), Mathematical Institute, Beograd, 1989, 73-90.
- [11] SCHIPP, F.: The dual space of martingale VMO space, in: *Proc. Third Panonian Symp. Math. Stat.* 1982, 305-315.
- [12] SCHIPP, F., WADE, W.R., SIMON, P. and Pál, J.: *Walsh series: An introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1990.
- [13] WEISZ, F.: On duality problems of two-parameter martingale Hardy spaces, *Bull. Sc. math.* **114** (1990), 395-410.
- [14] WEISZ, F.: Martingale Hardy spaces for $0 < p \leq 1$, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **84** (1990), 361-376.
- [15] WEISZ, F.: *Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier-analysis*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1568**, Springer, Berlin, 1994.