

## NOTE ON MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS SATISFYING CONGRUENCE PROPERTY II.

Bui Minh **Phong**

*Department of Computer Algebra, Eötvös Loránd University,  
H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány I/D, Hungary*

János **Fehér**

*Department of Mathematics, Janus Pannonius University, H-7624  
Pécs, Ifjúság u. 6., Hungary*

**Dedicated to Professor Ferenc Schipp on his 60th birthday**

*Received:* October 1998

*MSC 1991:* 11 A 25, 11 A 07

*Keywords:* Multiplicative functions, completely multiplicative functions, congruence property.

**Abstract:** We solve the congruence

$$f(An + B) \equiv Cf(n) + D \pmod{n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

for complete multiplicative function  $f$ , where  $A > 0$ ,  $B > 0$ ,  $C, D \neq 0$  are given integers.

An arithmetical function  $f(n) \neq 0$  is said to be *multiplicative* if  $(n, m) = 1$  implies

$$f(nm) = f(n)f(m),$$

and it is called *completely multiplicative* if this equation holds for all pairs of positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ . In the following we denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^*$  the set of all integer-valued multiplicative and completely multiplicative

functions, respectively. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of all positive integers. For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $\chi_k$  the Dirichlet character (mod  $k$ ).

The problem concerning the characterization of some arithmetical functions by congruence properties was studied by several authors. The first result of this type was found by M. V. Subbarao [6], namely he proved in 1966 that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfies the relation

$$(1) \quad f(n+m) \equiv f(m) \pmod{n} \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then  $f(n)$  is a power of  $n$  with non-negative integer exponent. A. Iványi in [1] extended this result proving that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$  and (1) holds for a fixed  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $f(n)$  has also the same form. In [5] we improved the results of Subbarao and Iványi mentioned above by proving the following

**Theorem A.** *Assume that  $M$  is a fixed positive integer and  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $f(M) \neq 0$  and  $f$  satisfies the relation*

$$f(n+M) \equiv f(M) \pmod{n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

*then there is a non-negative integer  $\alpha$  such that  $f(n) = n^\alpha$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

In 1993 the first named author proved in [4] the following

**Theorem B.** *Let  $A > 0, B > 0, C \neq 0$  and  $N > 0$  be integers with the condition  $(A, B) = 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfies the relation*

$$f(An+B) \equiv C \pmod{n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N,$$

*then there are a non-negative integer  $\alpha$  and a real-valued Dirichlet character  $\chi_A$  such that*

$$f(n) = \chi_A(n)n^\alpha \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, A) = 1.$$

A generalization of Th. B was obtained in [2]. Another characterization of the function  $f(n) = n^\alpha$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) by using congruence property was found by A. Iványi [1]. In 1972, he proved that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  satisfies the relation

$$(2) \quad f(n+m) \equiv f(n) + f(m) \pmod{n} \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then  $f(n)$  is a power of  $n$  with positive integer exponent. It is proved in [3] that this result continues to hold even if the relation (2) is valid for all primes  $m$  instead of for all positive integers  $m$ .

Our purpose in this paper is to prove the following

**Theorem.** *Assume that  $A > 0, B > 0, C, D \neq 0$  are fixed integers with  $(A, B) = 1$  and a function  $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$  satisfies the congruence*

$$(3) \quad f(An+B) \equiv Cf(n) + D \pmod{n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Then the following assertions hold:*

(I) *If  $f(p) = 0$  for some prime  $p$  with  $(p, A) = 1$ , then  $p = 2$ ,  $C = -1$ ,  $D = 1$ ,  $(2, AB) = 1$  and  $f(n) = \chi_2(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

(II) If  $f(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n, A) = 1$ , then either  
 $C + D = 1$  and  $f(n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

or there are a non-negative integer  $\alpha$  and a real-valued Dirichlet character  $\chi_A$  such that

$$(4) \quad f(n) = \chi_A(n)n^\alpha \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, A) = 1.$$

**Proof.** First we note from (3) and Th. B that (4) is satisfied if  $C = 0$ . In the following we assume that  $C \neq 0$ . The proof is based on Lemma 1 and 2.

**Lemma 1.** Assume that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. If there is a prime  $p$  such that  $(p, A) = 1$  and  $f(p) = 0$ , then

$$p = 2, C = -1, D = 1, (2, AB) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(n) = \chi_2(n).$$

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Since  $(p, A) = 1$ , one can deduce that there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that  $p|An_0 + B$ , and so by (3)

$$0 = f[A(pn + n_0) + B] \equiv Cf(pn + n_0) + D \pmod{pn + n_0}$$

holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  be a positive integer. Then we infer from the above relation that

$$-Df(m) \equiv Cf(m)f(pn + n_0) = Cf[m(pn + n_0)] \equiv -D \pmod{pn + n_0},$$

consequently

$$f(m) = 1 \quad \text{for all } m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

This shows that  $f(n) = \chi_p(n)$  is satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here we have used the fact  $f(n) = \chi_p(n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p|n$ . It is clear that  $|f(n)| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consequently

$$|f(An + B) - Cf(n) - D| \leq 1 + |C| + |D| := E \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (3) we have

$$f(An + B) = Cf(n) + D \quad \text{for all } n > E,$$

which gives

$$(5) \quad f(AMn + B) = Cf(M)f(n) + D$$

for all  $n > E$  and for all  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . By using induction on  $k$ , (5) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left((AM)^k n + B \left((AM)^{k-1} + \dots + AM + 1\right)\right) = \\ & = (Cf(M))^k f(n) + D \left[(Cf(M))^{k-1} + \dots + Cf(M) + 1\right] \end{aligned}$$

is valid for all integers  $k, M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > E$ . Therefore for  $n = p^s > E$ , the above relation shows that

$$|D| \cdot |(Cf(M))^{k-1} + \dots + Cf(M) + 1| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $(M, p) = 1$ , then  $f(M) \neq 0$  and so the above relation implies  $Cf(M) \neq \neq 1$  and  $Cf(M) = -1$  for all  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(M, p) = 1$ . Thus  $f(m) = 1$  if  $(m, p) = 1$  and  $f(m) = 0$  if  $p|m$ , furthermore  $C = -1$ ,  $D = 1$ . Since

$$f(Apn + B) \equiv Cf(pn) + D = 1 \pmod{n},$$

we have  $(p, B) = 1$ . If  $p > 2$ , then there is a positive integer  $l \leq p - 1$  such that  $(l, p) = (Al + B, p) = 1$ , which with (3) implies

$$1 = f[A(pn + l) + B] \equiv -f(pn + l) + 1 = 0 \pmod{pn + l}.$$

This is impossible. Thus we have  $p = 2$  and so  $f(n) = \chi_2(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Lemma 1 is proved.  $\diamond$

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied and  $f(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n, A) = 1$ . If there is prime  $p$  such that  $p|A$  and  $f(p) = 0$ , then  $n | f(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof of Lemma 2.** Assume that there is a prime  $p$  such that  $f(p) = 0$  with  $p|A$ . Then for each  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , by (3) and our assumptions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(B)^2 f(An + 1) f[A(pM - 1)n + 1] = \\ & = f(B) f[ABn(A(pM - 1) + pM) + B] \equiv \\ & \equiv Cf(B)^2 f(p) f(n) f\left[\frac{A}{p}(pM - 1)n + M\right] + Df(B) = Df(B) \pmod{n}, \end{aligned}$$

consequently

$$[Cf(B)f(n) + D][Cf(B)f(pM - 1)f(n) + D] \equiv Df(B) \pmod{n}.$$

This with  $n = p^s$ ,  $s \rightarrow \infty$  shows that  $D = f(B)$ . Thus, we have

$$C^2 D^2 f(pM - 1) f(n)^2 + CD^2 [f(pM - 1) + 1] f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

for all  $n$ ,  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & C^2 D^2 f(pM - 1) f(n)^2 f(m)^2 + \\ & + CD^2 [f(pM - 1) + 1] f(n) f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}. \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$C^2 D^2 f(pM - 1) [f(m)^2 - f(m)] f(n)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

and

$$CD^2 [f(pM - 1) + 1] [f(m)^2 - f(m)] f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

These imply

$$C^2 D^2 [f(m)^2 - f(m)] f(n)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Assume that

$$f(m)^2 = f(m) \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $f(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n, A) = 1$ , the last relation implies

$$f(n) = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, A) = 1.$$

Thus,  $D = f(B) = 1$ . Applying (3) with  $(n, A) = 1$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $1 = C + D = C + 1$ , i.e.  $C = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

Thus, we have proved that there is a positive integer  $m_0$  such that  $M_0 := f(m_0)^2 - f(m_0) \neq 0$  and so

$$C^2 D^2 M_0 f(n)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This with the complete multiplicativity of  $f$  shows that

$$n | f(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 2 is proved.  $\diamond$

Now we prove our theorem. By using Th. B and Lemma 1-2, the theorem is proved if  $f(p) = 0$  for some prime number  $p$ .

In the next part we assume that  $f(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall prove that either  $f(n) \equiv 1$  identically, or

$$f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Assume the contrary, that  $f(n) \not\equiv 1$  and that there exists a prime  $\pi$  such that

$$(6) \quad (\pi, f(\pi)) = 1.$$

Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Then, we get from (3) the relations

$$f[ABk(k+1)n+B] \equiv Cf(B)f(k)f(k+1)f(n)+D \pmod{n}$$

and

$$f[AB(k+1)n+B] \equiv Cf(B)f(k+1)f(n)+D \pmod{n},$$

consequently

$$\begin{aligned} f[ABk(k+1)n+B] f[AB(k+1)n+B] &\equiv \\ &\equiv C^2 f(B)^2 f(k) f(k+1)^2 f(n)^2 + \\ &+ CD f(B) f(k) f(k+1) f(n) + CD f(B) f(k+1) f(n) + D^2 \pmod{n}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f[ABk(k+1)n+B] f[AB(k+1)n+B] &= \\ &= f(B) f[A(k+1)^2 n(ABkn+B)+B] \equiv \\ &\equiv C^2 f(B)^2 f(k) f(k+1)^2 f(n)^2 + \\ &+ CD f(B) f(k+1)^2 f(n) + D f(B) \pmod{n}. \end{aligned}$$

The last two relations imply

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} CDf(B)f(k+1)[f(k+1) - f(k) - 1]f(n) &\equiv \\ &\equiv D(D - f(B)) \pmod{n} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we also have

$$\begin{aligned} CDf(B)f(k+1)[f(k+1) - f(k) - 1]f(n)f(m) &\equiv \\ &\equiv D(D - f(B)) \pmod{n}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$D(D - f(B))f(m) = D(D - f(B)) \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $f(n) \not\equiv 1$ , we have  $D = f(B)$ . Applying (7) with  $n = \pi^s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\pi$  is the prime in (6), we have

$$CDf(B)f(k+1)[f(k+1) - f(k) - 1] \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^s}$$

for all  $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Setting  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , the above relation gives

$$CDf(B)f(k+1)[f(k+1) - f(k) - 1] = 0$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By our assumption, we have  $Cf(B)f(k+1) \neq 0$ , consequently  $f(k+1) = f(k) + 1$ . Therefore  $f(n) = n$  is satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which contradicts to (6).

Thus, we have proved that either  $f(n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $f(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the first case we have  $1 = C + D$ . In the second case, (3) and Th. B imply that there are a non-negative integer  $\alpha$  and a real-valued Dirichlet character  $\chi \pmod{A}$  for which  $f(n) = \chi(n)n^\alpha$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n, A) = 1$ . It is clear that in this case  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

The proof of Th. is complete.  $\diamond$

## References

- [1] IVÁNYI, A.: On multiplicative functions with congruence property, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös, Sect. Math.* **15** (1972), 133–137.
- [2] JOÓ, I. and PHONG, B.M.: Arithmetical functions with congruence properties, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös, Sect. Math.* **35** (1992), 151–155.
- [3] PHONG, B.M.: Multiplicative functions satisfying a congruence property, *Periodica Math. Hungar.* **26** (1991), 123–128.
- [4] PHONG, B.M.: Multiplicative functions satisfying a congruence property V, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **62** (1993), 81–87.
- [5] PHONG, B.M. and FEHÉR, J.: Note on multiplicative functions satisfying congruence property, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös, Sect. Math.* **33** (1990), 261–265.
- [6] SUBBARAO, M.V.: Arithmetic functions satisfying congruence property, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **9** (1966), 143–146.