

UNIQUENESS OF TWO-PARAMETER DYADIC MARTINGALES AND WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

Ferenc Weisz

*Department of Numerical Analysis, Eötvös L. University, H-1088
Budapest, Múzeum krt. 6-8, Hungary*

Received: September 1997

MSC 1991: 42 C 25, 60 G 42; 42 C 10

Keywords: Quasi-measures, martingales, uniqueness theorems, Walsh system.

Abstract: We give the connection between quasi-measures, martingales and Walsh series and prove some uniqueness theorems for two-parameter dyadic martingales and Walsh series with respect to two types of almost everywhere convergence.

1. Introduction

It is well known that if a one-parameter Walsh series with coefficients tending to 0 converges to an integrable function, except possibly in a countable set, then that series is the Walsh-Fourier series of the limit function (see e.g. Crittenden, Shapiro [1]). The two-parameter analogue of this result can be found in Skvorcov [7] and Movsisjan [4].

Let \mathbf{G} denote the dyadic group and S_{2^n} the 2^n th partial sum of a Walsh series S . Wade [8], [9] proved, that if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} S_{2^n}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{G},$$

This research was supported by Eötvös Scholarship, by the Hungarian Scientific Research Funds (OTKA) No F019633 and T020497.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{2^n}(x) = f \quad \text{in measure}$$

for some $f \in L_1$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_{2^n}(x)| < \infty,$$

except possibly in a countable set, then S is the Walsh-Fourier series of f .

In this paper we generalize this result for multi-parameter dyadic martingales and Walsh series. We consider the convergence in measure or a.e. convergence in Prigsheim sense and a.e. convergence taken over a cone. We follow basically the one-parameter proof in Schipp, Wade, Simon, Pál [6], but using martingale techniques we can extend and simplify the proof. Furthermore, we give the connection between quasi-measures, martingales and Walsh series.

2. The dyadic group and martingales

Let \mathbf{Z}_2 be the discrete cyclic group of order 2, i.e., the set $\{0, 1\}$ with the discrete topology and modulo 2 addition. Clearly, \mathbf{Z}_2 is a compact abelian group. The *dyadic group* \mathbf{G} is defined to be the compact abelian group formed by taking the cartesian product of countably many copies of \mathbf{Z}_2 , say

$$\mathbf{G} := \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \dots$$

Thus \mathbf{G} consists of sequences $x = (x_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ where $x_n = 0$ or 1. The zero element of \mathbf{G} is the sequence $0 := (x_n := 0, n \in \mathbb{N})$ and the group operation is given by

$$x + y := (|x_n - y_n|, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

for any $x = (x_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ and $y = (y_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ in \mathbf{G} .

Set $I_0(x) := \mathbf{G}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{G}$. For each $x \in \mathbf{G}$ and $n \in \mathbf{P} := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ define

$$I_n(x) := \{y \in \mathbf{G} : y_i = x_i \text{ for } 0 \leq i < n\}.$$

We shall call these sets the *dyadic intervals* of \mathbf{G} . the dyadic intervals are evidently both open and closed.

Define a measure on \mathbf{Z}_2 by assigning each singleton the By definition

$$\mu(I_n(x)) = 2^{-n} \quad (x \in \mathbf{G}, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It is easy to see that μ is the Haar measure on \mathbf{G} .

The functions

$$r_n(x) := (-1)^{x_n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

are called *Rademacher functions* and the product system generated by these functions is the *one-dimensional Walsh system*:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k(x)^{n_k}$$

where $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k 2^k$, $0 \leq n_k < 2$, $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}) \in \mathbf{G}$.

For a set $\mathbf{X} \neq \emptyset$ let \mathbf{X}^2 be its cartesian product $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ taken with itself. In this paper we investigate \mathbf{G}^2 and the product measure on it, which we denote again by μ . The cartesian product of two dyadic intervals is said to be a *dyadic rectangle*. For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x, y) \in \mathbf{G}^2$ set

$$I_{n,m}(x, y) := I_n(x) \times I_m(y).$$

The Kronecker product $(w_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ of two Walsh systems is said to be the *two-dimensional Walsh system*. Thus

$$w_{n,m}(x, y) := w_n(x)w_m(y) \quad ((x, y) \in \mathbf{G}^2).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n,m}(x, y) : (x, y) \in \mathbf{G}^2\}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$). It is easy to see that the σ -algebras $(\mathcal{F}_{n,m})$ are non-decreasing with respect to the usual partial ordering of \mathbb{N}^2 . The conditional expectation operator relative to $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) is denoted by $E_{n,m}$. We briefly write L_p instead of the real $L_p(\mathbf{G}^2, \mu)$ space while the norm (or quasinorm) of this space is defined by $\|f\|_p := (\int_{\mathbf{G}^2} |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$ ($0 < p \leq \infty$).

An integrable sequence $\mathbf{f} = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ is said to be a *martingale* if

- (i) it is *adapted*, i.e. $f_{n,m}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ measurable for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $E_{k,l} f_{n,m} = f_{k,l}$ for all $k \leq n$ and $l \leq m$.

The martingale $\mathbf{f} = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ is said to be L_p -*bounded* ($0 < p \leq \infty$) if $f_{n,m} \in L_p$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$) and

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_p := \sup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_{n,m}\|_p < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(E_{n,m} f; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained from f is a martingale. Moreover, if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L_p$ then $(E_{n,m} f; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ is L_p -bounded and

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|E_{n,m} f - f\|_p = 0,$$

consequently,

$$\|(E_{n,m} f; n, m \in \mathbb{N})\|_p = \|f\|_p$$

(see Neveu [5]). The converse of the last proposition holds also if $1 < p < \infty$ (see Neveu [5]): for an arbitrary martingale $\mathbf{f} = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ there exists a function $f \in L_p$ for which $f_{n,m} = E_{n,m} f$ if and only if \mathbf{f} is L_p -bounded. If $p = 1$ then there exists a function $f \in L_1$ of the

preceding type if and only if f is *uniformly integrable* (see Neveu [5]), namely, if

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{|f_{n, m}| > c\}} |f_{n, m}| d\mu = 0.$$

Thus the map $f \mapsto (E_{n, m} f; n, m \in \mathbb{N}^2)$ is isometric from L_p onto the space of L_p -bounded martingales when $1 < p < \infty$ and from L_1 onto the space of uniformly integrable martingales. Every L_1 -bounded martingale $(f_{n, m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ converges in measure as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ and a.e. as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, $|n - m| \leq \alpha$ ($\alpha \geq 0$).

3. Quasi-measures and martingales

By a quasi-measure on \mathbf{G}^2 we mean a finitely additive real-valued set function defined on the dyadic rectangles of \mathbf{G}^2 . The collection of quasi-measures on \mathbf{G}^2 will be denoted by $\mathbf{QM}(\mathbf{G}^2) = \mathbf{QM}$. The collection of finite Borel measures on \mathbf{G}^2 will be denoted by $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{G}^2) = \mathbf{M}$.

A quasi-measure ν on \mathbf{G}^2 is said to belong to \mathbf{M} if rectangle is both open and closed, ν must be countably additive on the collection of dyadic rectangles. Hence ν can be extended to a Borel measure on \mathbf{G}^2 . In particular, if $\nu \in \mathbf{QM}$ is non-negative then ν belongs to \mathbf{M} .

For each $\nu \in \mathbf{QM}$ define the martingale $f^\nu := (f_{n, m}^\nu; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ by

$$(1) \quad f_{n, m}^\nu(x, y) := 2^n 2^m \nu(I_{n, m}(x, y)) \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{N}; x, y \in \mathbf{G}).$$

It is easy to see that the map $\nu \mapsto f^\nu$ is a 1-1 linear map from \mathbf{QM} onto the collection of martingales. For $\alpha \geq 0$ define the subset C_α of \mathbb{N}^2 by

$$C_\alpha := \{(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : |n - m| \leq \alpha\}$$

We will consider convergence over \mathbb{N}^2 and over C_α . Now we give the connection between quasi-measures and martingales.

Theorem 1. *We have*

- (i) $\nu \in \mathbf{QM}$ is of bounded variation $\iff f^\nu$ is L_1 -bounded $\iff \iff \nu \in \mathbf{M}$,
- (ii) $\nu \in \mathbf{M}$ is absolute continuous with respect to μ $\iff f^\nu$ is uniformly integrable,
- (iii) $\nu \in \mathbf{M}$ is singular with respect to μ $\iff f^\nu$ is L_1 -bounded and $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} f_{n, m}^\nu = 0$ in measure or $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty, (n, m) \in C_\alpha} f_{n, m}^\nu = 0$ a.e.

Proof. If $\nu \in \mathbf{QM}$ is of bounded variation then, by (1),

$$\int_{I_{n,m}(x,y)} |f_{n,m}^\nu| d\mu = |\nu(I_{n,m}(x,y))| \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{N}; x, y \in \mathbf{G}).$$

From this it follows that $\|\mathbf{f}^\nu\|_1 \leq \|\nu\|$ where $\|\nu\|$ denotes the total variation of ν .

For the converse suppose that R_k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) are disjoint dyadic rectangles. By the submartingale property of $(|f_{n,m}^\nu|; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$

$$\sum_{k=0}^N |\nu(R_k)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^N \int_{R_k} |f_{n,m}^\nu| d\mu \leq \|\mathbf{f}^\nu\|_1$$

where n and m are so big, such that R_k is $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ measurable for each $k = 0, \dots, N$. Hence $\|\nu\| \leq \|\mathbf{f}^\nu\|_1$.

If $\nu \in \mathbf{M}$ then it has bounded variation, thus \mathbf{f}^ν is L_1 -bounded. Conversely, if \mathbf{f}^ν is L_1 -bounded, then it can be decomposed into the difference of two non-negative martingales (see e.g. Long [2] p.15 for one parameter, for two parameters the proof is similar). The corresponding two quasi-measures are non-negative and so they are Borel measures. Hence ν is also a Borel measure.

If $\nu \in \mathbf{M}$ is absolute continuous with Radon-Nikodym derivative $f \in L_1$ then $f_{n,m}^\nu = E_{n,m}f$ ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$). The converse is also clear.

If $\nu \in \mathbf{M}$ is singular then $\nu(I_{n,m}(x,y))/\mu(I_{n,m}(x,y))$ converges to 0 a.e. as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ and $(n, m) \in C_\alpha$ and, consequently, it converges to 0 in measure as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of the theorem is complete. \diamond

4. Uniqueness of martingales

A fundamental problem in the theory of martingales and Walsh series is the problem of uniqueness. That is, when is a given martingale or Walsh series the martingale obtained from an integrable function or the Walsh-Fourier series of an integrable function? Of course, this is true if the martingale or Walsh series converges in L_1 norm. We consider here the convergence in measure and the a.e. convergence.

Lemma 1. Let $f \in L_1$, $(n_0, m_0) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{G}^2$ and M be a positive number. Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ be a martingale, set $\mathbf{g} := (g_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ with

$$g_{n,m} := f_{n,m} - E_{n,m}f \quad ((n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2),$$

and suppose $g_{n_0, m_0} \neq 0$ on $I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$. If $f_{n,m} \rightarrow f$ in mea-

sure as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a dyadic rectangle $I_{n,m}(x, y) \subset I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$ such that $g_{n,m} \neq 0$ on $I_{n,m}(x, y)$ and $|f_{n,m}| > M$ on $I_{n,m}(x, y)$.

Proof. This is immediate if there is at least one point (u, v) in some $I_{n,m}(x, y) \subset I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$ such that $|f_{n,m}(u, v)| > M + |E_{n,m}f(u, v)|$.

Suppose to the contrary that

$$|f_{n,m}(u, v)| \leq M + |E_{n,m}f(u, v)|$$

for all $(u, v) \in I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$ and $n \geq n_0, m \geq m_0$. From this it follows that f and g are uniformly integrable. The martingale $(E_{n,m}f; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to f in measure and so $g_{n,m} \rightarrow 0$ in measure as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Since g is also convergent in L_1 norm, the limit must be 0 which means that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)} g_{n,m} d\mu = 0.$$

However, since g_{n_0, m_0} is constant on $I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)} g_{n,m} d\mu &= \\ &= \int_{I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)} g_{n_0, m_0} d\mu = 2^{-n_0 - m_0} g_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq m_0$, which is a contradiction. \diamond

Lemma 2. *The same statement is true if we suppose that (n_0, m_0) , $(n, m) \in C_\alpha$ and $f_{n,m} \rightarrow f$ a.e. as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ instead of the convergence in measure.*

We say that a martingale f satisfies the C-S condition if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n-m} f_{n,m}(x, y) = 0$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbf{G}^2$.

Lemma 3. *Let $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{G}^2$, $(n_0, m_0) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and f be a martingale which satisfies the C-S condition. If $f_{n_0, m_0} \neq 0$ on $I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$, then there exists a dyadic rectangle $I_{n,m}(x, y) \subset I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$ such that $(x_0, y_0) \notin I_{n,m}(x, y)$ and $f_{n,m} \neq 0$ on $I_{n,m}(x, y)$.*

Proof. Suppose the lemma is false. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$I_k := I_{n_0+k, m_0+k}(x_0, y_0)$$

and $J_k := I_{k-1} \setminus I_k$. Since $(x_0, y_0) \notin J_k$, $f_{n_0+k, m_0+k} = 0$ on J_k ($k \in \mathbb{P}$).

We show that

$$(2) \quad f_{n_0+k, m_0+k} = 2^{2k} \beta \quad \text{on } I_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

where $\beta := f_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$. This is clear for $k = 0$. Suppose it is true for $k - 1$. Then $f_{n_0+k-1, m_0+k-1} = 2^{2k-2} \beta$ on I_k and J_k . Thus

$$f_{n_0+k, m_0+k} - f_{n_0+k-1, m_0+k-1} = -2^{2k-2}\beta \quad \text{on } J_k.$$

Since

$$E_{n_0+k-1, m_0+k-1}(f_{n_0+k, m_0+k} - f_{n_0+k-1, m_0+k-1}) = 0,$$

we conclude that

$$f_{n_0+k, m_0+k} - f_{n_0+k-1, m_0+k-1} = 3 \cdot 2^{2k-2}\beta \quad \text{on } I_k,$$

which proves (2). In particular,

$$2^{-n-m} f_{n,m}(x_0, y_0) = 2^{-n_0-m_0}\beta$$

for all $n = n_0 + k$ and $m = m_0 + k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). In other words f does not satisfy the C-S condition. This contradiction finishes the proof of the lemma. \diamond

Lemma 4. *The same lemma holds if we take the limit over C_α in the definition of the C-S condition and if we suppose that $(n_0, m_0), (n, m) \in C_\alpha$.*

Now we can state our main result.

Theorem 2. *Suppose E is a countable subset of \mathbf{G}^2 and f is a martingale satisfying the C-S condition such that*

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n,m}(x, y)| < \infty$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbf{G}^2 \setminus E$. If

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} f_{n,m} = f \quad \text{in measure}$$

for some function $f \in L_1$, then f is the martingale obtained from f .

Proof. Suppose the theorem is false. Then there exist $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbf{G}^2$ and $(n_0, m_0) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $f_{n_0, m_0} \neq E_{n_0, m_0} f$ on $I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$.

Set $E = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots\}$ and $g := f - (E_{n,m} f; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$. By (1) and Th. 1(ii) the martingales $(E_{n,m} f; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ and, consequently, g satisfy the C-S condition. It is easy to see there is a dyadic rectangle $J \in \mathcal{F}_{n', m'}$, $J \subset I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$ such that $(x_1, y_1) \notin J$ and $g_{n', m'}$ is non-zero on J . This is obvious if $(x_1, y_1) \notin I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$. If $(x_1, y_1) \in I_{n_0, m_0}(x_0, y_0)$ then use Lemma 3. Using Lemma 1 we choose a dyadic rectangle $I_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{n_1, m_1}$, $I_1 \subset J$ such that

$$|f_{n_1, m_1}| > 1 \quad \text{on } I_1.$$

Applying Lemma 3 and Lemma 1 we can get dyadic rectangles $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$ such that $(x_k, y_k) \notin I_k$ and pairs $(n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots$ such that

$$(5) \quad |f_{n_k, m_k}| > k \quad \text{on } I_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{P}).$$

Since the dyadic rectangles are compact sets, there exists $(x, y) \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$. By construction, $(x, y) \notin E$. Hence by hypothesis,

$$\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n,m}(x, y)| < \infty$$

which contradicts to (5). Therefore, f must be the martingale obtained from f . \diamond

We formulate another version of this result.

Theorem 3. *If we change (3) and (4) to*

$$\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty, (n,m) \in C_\alpha} |f_{n,m}(x, y)| < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty, (n,m) \in C_\alpha} f_{n,m} = f \quad \text{a. e.},$$

respectively, then the statement of Th. 2 holds again.

5. Uniqueness of Walsh series

Denote the (n, m) th partial sum of the formal two-parameter Walsh series

$$S := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_{k,l} w_{k,l}$$

by

$$S_{n,m} := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} c_{k,l} w_{k,l} \quad (n, m \in \mathbf{P}).$$

The Walsh-Fourier coefficients and the Walsh-Fourier series of an integrable function f are given by

$$\hat{f}(k, l) := \int_{\mathbf{G}^2} f w_{k,l} d\mu, \quad Sf := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k, l) w_{k,l},$$

respectively. We extend these definitions to quasi-measures as follows. For $\nu \in \mathbf{QM}$ define the Walsh-Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients and the Walsh-Fourier-Stieltjes series of ν by

$$\hat{\nu}(k, l) := \int_{\mathbf{G}^2} w_{k,l} d\nu, \quad S\nu := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \hat{\nu}(k, l) w_{k,l},$$

respectively. It is easy to see that if ν is absolute continuous with Radon-Nikodym derivative f , then $\hat{\nu}(k, l) = \hat{f}(k, l)$ ($k, l \in \mathbf{N}$).

We can also introduce the Walsh-Fourier-Stieltjes series of martingales. If $f = (f_{n,m}; n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale then let

$$\hat{f}(k, l) := \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{G}^2} f_{n, m} w_{k, l} d\mu, \quad Sf := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k, l) w_{k, l}.$$

Since $w_{k, l}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{n, m}$ measurable for large enough n and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it can immediately be seen that this limit does exist. Note that if $f \in L_1$ then $E_{n, m} f \rightarrow f$ in L_1 norm as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, hence

$$\hat{f}(k, l) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{G}^2} (E_{n, m} f) w_{k, l} d\mu \quad (k, l \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Thus the Walsh-Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients of $f \in L_1$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(E_{n, m} f)$ obtained from f . It is easy to prove that

$$S_{2^n, 2^m} f = f_{n, m} \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{N})$$

for all martingales f . Moreover, for $\nu \in \mathbf{QM}$ we have $\hat{\nu}(k, l) = \hat{f}^\nu(k, l)$ ($k, l \in \mathbb{N}$).

It follows from (1) and Th. 1 that the maps $\nu \mapsto S\nu$ and $f \mapsto Sf$ are 1-1 linear maps from \mathbf{QM} and from the set of martingales onto the collection of all Walsh series. We say that the Walsh series S satisfies the C-S condition if the martingale $(S_{2^n, 2^m}; n, m \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfies it.

Now we can formulate Ths 2 and 3 for two-parameter Walsh series.

Theorem 4. *Suppose E is a countable subset of \mathbf{G}^2 and S is a Walsh series satisfying the C-S condition such that*

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} |S_{2^n, 2^m}(x, y)| < \infty$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbf{G}^2 \setminus E$. If

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_{2^n, 2^m} = f \quad \text{in measure}$$

for some function $f \in L_1$, then S is the Walsh-Fourier series of f .

Theorem 5. *If we change (6) and (7) to*

$$\limsup_{n, m \rightarrow \infty, (n, m) \in C_\alpha} |S_{2^n, 2^m}(x, y)| < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty, (n, m) \in C_\alpha} S_{2^n, 2^m} = f \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

respectively, then the statement of Th. 4 holds again.

Remark. All the results can similarly be proved in the multi-parameter setting and for Vilenkin martingales and Vilenkin series.

References

- [1] CRITTENDEN, R.B. and SHAPIRO, V.L.: Sets of uniqueness on the group 2^ω , *Ann. of Math.* **81** (1965), 550-564.

- [2] LONG, R.: Martingale spaces and inequalities, Peking University Press, Viegweg Publishing, Beijing, Braunschweig, 1994
- [3] LUKOMSKI, S.F.: On U -set for multiple Walsh series, *Anal. Math.* **18** (1992) 127-138.
- [4] MOVSISYAN, O.: О единственности двойных рядов по системам Хаара и Уолша, *Изв. АН АрмССР*, **9** (1974), 40-61.
- [5] NEVEU, J.: Discrete-parameter martingales, North-Holland, 1971
- [6] SCHIPP, F., WADE, W.R., SIMON, P. and PÁL, J.: Walsh series: An introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis, Adam Hilger, Bristol-New York, 1990
- [7] SKVORCOV, V.A.: О коэффициентах сходящихся кратных рядов Хаара и Уолша, *Матем. сб. Вестник МГУ, матем. и мех.* **6** (1973), 77-79.
- [8] WADE, W.R.: A uniqueness theorem for Haar and Walsh series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (1969) 187-194.
- [9] WADE, W.R.: A unified approach to uniqueness of Walsh series and Haar series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **99** (1987) 61-65.
- [10] WEISZ, F.: Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier-analysis, *Lecture Notes in Math.* vol. 1568, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Springer, 1994.