

Mathematica Pannonica

7/1 (1996), 69 – 78

MEASURE AND CATEGORY – SOME NON-ANALOGUES

Harry I. **Miller**

*Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee, Chattanooga,
TN, 371403, USA*

Franz J. **Schnitzer**

Institut für Mathematik, Montanuniversität, 8700 Leoben, Austria

Received March 1995

MSC 1991: 40 A 05, 40 C 05

Keywords: Summability, non-Schur matrices, sets of first category.

Abstract: Several results from the area of infinite series are established showing that some subsets of the interval $[0, 1]$ for which certain series are convergent are of first category.

1. Introduction

“Measure and Category” is the title of a well-known and highly interesting book by J.C. Oxtoby; [8]. In it one finds numerous analogues and non-analogues between measure and category. A non-analogue that seems not widely known and does not appear in Oxtoby’s book is the following. For each $x \in (0, 1]$ let

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_n(x)}{2^n}$$

be the non-terminating binary representation of x . The number x is said to be normal to base 2, in case the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e_k(x) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is known for quite some time that the Lebesgue measure of the set

$$N_2 = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid x : \text{normal to base 2}\}$$

is equal to 1. On the other hand, it seems less well known that N_2 is a set of first Baire category. Hence, N_2 is large in measure but small in category; in this case there is a non-analogue between measure and category.

Another result concerning series is the following: It is long known ([4]) that if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2$$

converges then the random series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pm a_n$$

converges for almost all choices of the signs $+$ and $-$. More precisely: If

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_n(x)}{2^n}, \quad x \in (0, 1],$$

is again the non-terminating binary expansion of x , then the set

$$M = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2e_n(x) - 1)a_n \text{ is convergent} \right\}$$

has measure one.

2. Results

Our first result shows that the set M just defined might be of the first Baire category since the following is true:

Theorem 1. *If*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$

is not absolutely convergent, then the set

$$A = \left\{ x \in [0, 1] \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2e_n(x) - 1)a_n \text{ is convergent} \right\}$$

is of the first category.

Proof. The set A can be expressed in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in [0, 1] \mid \left| \sum_{n=i}^j (2e_n(x) - 1)a_n \right| < \frac{1}{k}, \quad \forall j > i \geq N \right\} \right) = \\ &= \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} A_{N,k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the definition of the sets $A_{N,k}$ being obvious. It will be shown that each $A_{N,k}$ is nowhere dense. Take any

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{x_n}{2^n} \quad \text{where } m > N.$$

Since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is not absolutely convergent, there exists an ‘extension’

$$x' = x + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{y_n}{2^n}$$

of x such that

$$\sum_{n=m+1}^s (2y_n - 1)a_n = \sum_{n=m+1}^s |a_n| \geq \frac{1}{k}$$

holds. Denoting by

$$\begin{aligned} B(s) &= \{ z \in [0, 1] \mid e_n(z) = x_n \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad \text{and} \\ &\quad e_n(z) = y_n \quad \text{for } n = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, s \} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $B(s) \cap A_{N,k} = \emptyset$. Since the choice of x was arbitrary and since the set $B(s)$ is an interval, the sets $A_{N,k}$ are nowhere dense and hence A is a set of first category. \diamond

If $S = \{S_k\}$ is a sequence and if the arbitrarily chosen $x \in (0, 1]$ has the non-terminating binary representation

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_n(x)}{2^n}$$

then the subsequence of S determined by x and denoted by $S(x)$ is defined to be $\{S_{k_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ where k_j is the place where the j -th one appears

in the sequence $\{e_1(x), e_2(x), \dots\}$. Buck and Pollard [2], have proved in 1943 the following

Theorem A. *If $S = \{S_k\}$ is a $(C, 1)$ -summable sequence for which*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_{j_k}^2}{k^2} < \infty$$

holds, then the Lebesgue measure of the set

$$\{x \in [0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } (C, 1)\text{-summable to the } (C, 1)\text{-limit of } S\}$$

is one.

Szűsz [10] later, in 1968, gave a simple probabilistic proof of this result using the strong law of large numbers of Kolomogorov. Recently, the current authors rediscovered the fact that the category analogue of the Th. A does not hold. Namely, if $S = \{S_k\}$ is a divergent sequence and C is a regular matrix summability method then the set

$$A = \{x \in (0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } C\text{-summable}\}$$

is of the first category. This result was first published in [6]. We are indebted to Professor J. Fridy for pointing out this reference to us. He also informed us about a paper by T. Keagy, [5], containing the following two results.

Theorem K₁. *If A is a non-Schur matrix with convergent column and if $S = \{S_k\}$ is a divergent sequence, then the set $\{x \in [0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } A\text{-summable}\}$ is of the first category.*

Remark. Since the class of non-Schur matrices with convergent columns strictly contains the class of regular matrices, Th. K₁ is a generalization of the theorem mentioned above that appears in [6].

Theorem K₂. *Let A be a non-Schur matrix with convergent columns and let $S = \{S_k\}$ denote a divergent sequence. Then the set of A -summable rearrangements of S is of the first category.*

Remark. Here a word about terminology seems in order. Let \mathfrak{P} denote the collection of all permutations of \mathbb{N} , the set of natural numbers, i.e. the collection of all injective mappings P of \mathbb{N} onto itself. On \mathfrak{P} a metric d is defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } P_1, P_2 \in \mathfrak{P}, \text{ then } d(P_1, P_2) &= 0 && \text{in case } P_1 = P_2 \\ \text{whilst } d(P_1, P_2) &= \frac{1}{j} && \text{if } P_1(i) = P_2(i) \\ &&& \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, j-1 \\ &&& \text{and } P_1(j) \neq P_2(j). \end{aligned}$$

In the metric space (\mathfrak{P}, d) \mathfrak{P} is then of the second category in itself; [3].

Our next results — Th. 2, 2' and 3 — are extensions of Th. K₁. Every sequence $S = \{S_k\}$ is summed by some non-Schur matrix with convergent columns; then the following holds:

$$\{x \in (0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } A\text{-summable for some non-Schur matrix} \\ \text{with convergent columns}\}$$

equals the interval $(0, 1]$. Here it will be shown that if $S = \{S_k\}$ is a divergent sequence, then there exists a collection \mathfrak{A} of non-Schur matrices with convergent columns, having cardinality of the continuum, such that the set

$$\{x \in (0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } A\text{-summable for some } A \in \mathfrak{A}\}$$

is of first category.

Of course, this result would be trivial in case all of the matrices in \mathfrak{A} had the same convergence field. In connection with this remark the following example is informative.

Example 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ define $A_t = a_{nk}(t)$ as follows:

$$a_{nk}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 + (-1)^{n+1}(t - \varepsilon) & \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \frac{(-1)^nt}{m_1(n)} & \text{for } k \in B_1(n), \\ \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\varepsilon}{m_2(n)} & \text{for } k \in B_2(n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $B_1(n)$ and $B_2(n)$ are for each n blocks of consecutive integers satisfying:

- a) $B_1(n)$ lies to the 'left' of $B_2(n)$;
- b) n is less than each integer in $B_1(n)$;
- c) all of the blocks $\{B_1(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{B_2(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are pairwise disjoint;
- d) $m_i(n)$ is the number of elements of $B_i(n)$, $i = 1, 2$;
- e) the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_1(n) \cup B_2(n))$ has natural density 0 in \mathbb{N} ;
- f) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_i(n) = \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$.

A_t is a regular matrix summability method for each $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$. For each such t let x_t denote a sequence of 0's and 1's satisfying

$$\frac{1}{m_1(n)} \sum_{k \in B_1(n)} x_t(k) \longrightarrow \varepsilon \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{1}{m_2(n)} \sum_{k \in B_2(n)} x_t(k) \longrightarrow t \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From this it is easy to derive that:

$$x_t \text{ is } A_t\text{-summable to } 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, \varepsilon),$$

but x_t is not A_s -summable if $0 \leq t, s < \varepsilon$ and $t \neq s$ hold.

In the sequel the following notations will be used:

$$K(A, \varepsilon) := \left\{ B \mid B \text{ is a non-Schur matrix with convergent columns and } \|A - B\| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

where

$$\|C\| = \sup_p \left(\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} |c_{pq}| \right) \quad \text{if } C = (c_{pq}).$$

Now our extensions of Th. K₁ will be presented.

Theorem 2. *If A is a non-Schur matrix with convergent columns and if $S = \{S_k\}$ is a bounded divergent sequence, then the set*

$$\{x \in (0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } B\text{-summable for some } B \in K(A, \varepsilon)\}$$

is of the first category for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. This proof follows the lines of the proof of Th. 3 in [5] and is divided into the consideration of two cases. Let $A = (A_{pq})$ and let $T(A, \varepsilon)$ denote the set

$$\{x \in (0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } B\text{-summable for some } B \in K(A, \varepsilon)\}.$$

Further, J shall denote the collection of all subsequences of S .

CASE I. Suppose row p of A is not absolutely convergent. If $y \in J$ and $y = \{y_q\}$ is B -summable for some $B \in K(A, \varepsilon)$, $B = (B_{pq})$, then there exists an N such that

$$\left| \sum_{q=i}^j b_{pq} y_q \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for every } j > i \geq N.$$

From this follows that if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$\left| \sum_{q=i}^j a_{pq} y_q \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{for every } j > i \geq N.$$

Next, the following set is defined:

$$E_N = \left\{ x \in (0, 1) \mid \text{there exist } j > i \geq \mathbf{N} \text{ such that } \left| \sum_{q=i}^j a_{pq}(S(x))_q \right| > 1 \right\}.$$

By the above

$$T(A, \varepsilon) \subseteq \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N^c.$$

Moreover, in [5] it is shown that the set on the right side is of the first category, so that the same must hold for $T(A, \varepsilon)$.

CASE II. Suppose each row of A to be absolutely convergent. Now Maddox (Lemma 1 in [5]) showed that if the matrix A is Schur there exists a divergent sequence x such that each subsequence of x is A -summable. Hence there is an $y \in J$ such that y is not A -summable. Therefore, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that to every positive integer N integers $j_N > i_N \geq N$ exist so that

$$(*) \quad \left| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{i_N q} y_q - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{j_N q} y_q \right| > \delta$$

holds. Next, let be

$$E_N = \left\{ x \in (0, 1) \mid \text{there exists } j > i \geq N \text{ such that } \left| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{iq}(S(x))_q - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{jq}(S(x))_q \right| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}.$$

Since each row of A is absolutely convergent and since S is bounded it follows that each $x \in E_N$ is contained in an interval that is a subset of E_N . By (*) and the fact that the columns of A converge follows that each E_N is dense in $(0, 1)$.

Now suppose $w \in J$, w being B -summable, $B = (b_{pq})$ and $B \in K(A, \varepsilon)$. Then there exists an N such that

$$\left| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} b_{iq} w_q - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} b_{jq} w_q \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$$

is true for all $j > i \geq N$. This implies that

$$\left| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{iq} w_q - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{jq} w_q \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

holds for every $j > i \geq N$, if only ε is sufficiently small. Thus it has been shown that

$$T(A, \varepsilon) \subseteq \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N^c$$

and hence $T(A, \varepsilon)$ is of the first category. \diamond

The following example shows that the condition of S being bounded in Th. 2 is necessary.

Example 2. Let $S_n = n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and suppose that $y = \{y_q\}$ is any subsequence of $S = \{S_n\}$. By C denote the $(C, 1)$ -matrix. It will be shown that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a regular matrix method $C_\varepsilon \in K(C, \varepsilon)$ so that C_ε sums y to zero. For $n > 2$ the matrix method $C(n)$ is defined as follows: the first $n - 1$ rows of $C(n)$ are the same as those of C . Then there exists a $q_n > n$ so that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{q=1}^n y_q - \frac{1}{n} y_{q_n} < 0.$$

Therefore there exists a λ_n , $0 < \lambda_n < 1$, such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{q=1}^n y_q - \frac{\lambda_n}{n} y_{q_n} = 0.$$

The terms in the n -th row of $C(n)$ are to be $\frac{1}{n}$ in the first n places, $-\frac{\lambda_n}{n}$ in the q_n -th place and 0 in all other places. The remaining rows are defined similarly yielding with $C(n) = (c_{pq}^{(n)})$

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} c_{pq}^{(n)} y_q = 0 \quad \text{for all } p \geq n.$$

Obviously, each $C(n)$ is regular and $\|C - C(n)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Despite this example, for unbounded sequences we have the following result:

Theorem 2'. *If A is a non-Schur matrix with convergent columns and if $S = \{S_k\}$ is an unbounded sequence, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a collection \mathfrak{A} , having cardinality of the continuum, $\mathfrak{A} \subset K(A, \varepsilon)$, such that the set*

$$\{x \in (0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } B\text{-summable for some } B \in \mathfrak{A}\}$$

is of the first category.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let p be any positive integer. There exists an $A' = (a'_{pq})$, $A' \in K(A, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ such that row p of A' is not eventually zero. There also exists a collection $\mathfrak{A} \in K(A, \varepsilon)$, \mathfrak{A} having cardinality of the continuum, such that if $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $a'_{pn} \neq 0$, then $|b_{pn}| > \frac{1}{2}|a'_{pn}|$. Let next be:

$$E_N = \{x \in (0, 1] \mid \text{there exists an } n \geq N \text{ such that } |a'_{pn}(S(x))_n| > 1\}.$$

Clearly, each E_N is dense in $(0, 1]$ and if $x \in E_N$ then an interval containing x is contained in E_N . The set

$$\{x \in (0, 1] \mid S(x) \text{ is } B\text{-summable for some } B \in \mathfrak{A}\}$$

is obviously a subset of $\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N^c$ and is therefore of the first category. \diamond

We now turn our attention to theorems dealing with rearrangements of sequences. If $S = \{S_n\}$ and $P \in \mathfrak{P}$, let $S(P)$ denote the rearrangement of S by P , i.e. $(S(P))_k = S_{P(k)}$ for each k .

Theorem 3. *If A is a non-Schur matrix with convergent columns and if $S = \{S_k\}$ is a bounded divergent sequence, then the set*

$$U(A, \varepsilon) = \{P \in \mathfrak{P} \mid S(P) \text{ is } B\text{-summable for some } B \in K(A, \varepsilon)\}$$

is of the first category in (\mathfrak{P}, d) for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. CASE I. Suppose row p of A is not absolutely convergent. If $y = (y_q) \in H$, where H is the collection of all rearrangements of S , y being B -summable for some $B \in K(A, \varepsilon)$, $B = (b_{pq})$, then there exists an N such that

$$\left| \sum_{q=i}^j b_{pq} y_q \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for every } j > i \geq N.$$

From this follows that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the inequalities

$$\left| \sum_{q=i}^j b_{pq} y_q \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } j > i \geq N \quad \text{hold.}$$

Now let

$$E_N = \left\{ P \in \mathfrak{P} \mid \text{there exist } j > i \geq N \text{ such that } \left| \sum_{q=i}^j a_{pq}(S(P))_q \right| > 1 \right\}.$$

Notice that if $P \in E_N$, $P' \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $P(q) = P'(q)$ for all $q = 1, 2, \dots, j$, then $P' \in E_N$. Hence, by the definition of the metric d on \mathfrak{P} , E is an open set. Furthermore, by the argument used by Keagy in proving Th. 4 in [5], it follows that each E_N is dense in \mathfrak{P} . Therefore it has

been shown that $U(A, \varepsilon) \subseteq \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N^c$ holds for sufficiently small ε and

that $\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N^c$ and also $U(A, \varepsilon)$ is of the first category.

CASE II. Suppose each row of A is absolutely convergent. In this case the proof then follows exactly that in Case II in Th. 2. In place of the lemma of Maddox in [5], the following result of Keagy, [5], is used: If A is a non-Schur matrix and if $S = \{S_k\}$ is a divergent sequence then there exists a $P \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $S(P)$ is not A -summable.

Example 2 shows also that the requirement that S is bounded in Th. 3 is necessary. However, we again do have the following

Theorem 3'. *If A is a non-Schur matrix with convergent columns and if $S = \{S_k\}$ is an unbounded sequence, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a collection \mathfrak{A} of cardinality of the continuum, $\mathfrak{A} \subset K(K, \varepsilon)$ such that the set*

$$\{P \in \mathfrak{P} \mid S(P) \text{ is } B\text{-summable for some } B \in \mathfrak{A}\}$$

is of the first category.

The **proof** of this result is the same as that of the proof of Th. 2, only with x replaced by P and $(0, 1]$ replaced by \mathfrak{P} . \diamond

Two **final remarks** **1.** The rearrangement analogue of Th. 1 was proved by Agnew in [1]. **2.** A recent result of the type of results presented here is by Miller and can found in [7].

References

- [1] AGNEW, R. P.: On rearrangements of a series, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **46** (1940), 797–799.
- [2] BUCK, R. C. and POLLARD, H.: Convergence and summability properties of subsequences, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1943), 923–931.
- [3] HOZO, I. and MILLER, H. I.: On Riemann's theorem about conditionally convergent series, *Mat. Vesnik* **38** (1986), 279–283.
- [4] KAHANE, J. P.: Some Random Series of Functions, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [5] Keagy, T. A.: Summability of certain category two classes, *Houston Journ. of Math.* **3** (1977), 61–65.
- [6] KEOGH, F. R. and PETERSEN, G. M.: A universal Tauberian theorem, *Journ. London Math. Soc.* **33** (1958), 121–123.
- [7] MILLER, H. I.: Generalization of a result of Borwein and Ditor, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1989), 889–893.
- [8] OXTOBY, J. C.: Measure and Category, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [9] SZÜSZ, P.: On a theorem of Buck and Pollard, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* **11** (1968), 39–40.