

UNIFORM CONVERGENCE OF LIENHARD'S SPLINE APPROXI- MATIONS OF A GIVEN CON- TINUOUS FUNCTION

Dedicated to o. Univ. Prof. Dr. Hans Vogler at the occasion of his 60th birthday

Josef **Matušů**

Czech Tech. Univ., Karlovo nám. 13, 121 35 Praha 2, Czech Republic

Josef **Novák**

Czech Tech. Univ., Horská 3, 128 03 Praha 2, Czech Republic

Martin **Matušů**

Czech Tech. Univ., Technická 4, 166 07 Praha 6, Czech Republic

Received September 1994

MSC 1991: 16 A 78, 16 A 56

Keywords: Interpolation, curves, splines.

Abstract: The paper is related to the article [1]. It is proved that a sequence of $L_{1,0}$ -spline approximations of a given continuous function f in the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$ converges in this interval uniformly to f (cf. [2]).

1. A sequence of $L_{1,0}$ -spline approximations of a given function f in the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$

In the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$ of finite length $L = b - a > 0$ let us consider a (real) function $x_2 = f(x_1)$. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer. We divide the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$ into n equal intervals

$= 1, 2, \dots, n$ we have

$$(1.5) \quad p_j^{(k)} = (P_{k-1} - P_k) - (P_k - P_{k+1}) - (P_{k+1} - P_{k+2}) + (P_{k+2} - P_{k+3})$$

(see [1], Section 3). For $k = n$ we put $P_{n+3} = (x_1^{(n+3)}, x_2^{(n+3)})$, where $x_1^{(n+3)} = b + 2h$; further, it is possible to choose the value $x_2^{(n+3)}$ more or less arbitrarily.

By (1.5) we easily verify that for $j = 1$ we have $p_1^{(k)} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Further, we put $z_1 = 0$, $u_1 = 0$ in the system (1.4) [for $j = 1$]. Since the corresponding matrix of the system has a dominant main diagonal due to the mentioned constraints concerning the numbers c_1 , d_1 , i.e. the matrix is regular, the system possesses only the trivial solution: $b_1^{(k)} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 2$. By (1.1), (1.2) [for $j = 1$] we then have

$$(1.6) \quad x_1 = P_{x_1}^{(i)}(t) = (1, t, t^2, t^3) \circ C \circ \begin{bmatrix} a + (i-2)h \\ a + (i-1)h \\ a + ih \\ a + (i+1)h \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= a + ih - \frac{h}{2} + \frac{h}{2}t,$$

where $P_{x_1}^{(i)}$ is a function with definition domain $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$ and range $\langle a + (i-1)h, a + ih \rangle$. For the inverse function $[P_{x_1}^{(i)}]^{-1} : \langle a + (i-1)h, a + ih \rangle \rightarrow \langle -1, 1 \rangle$ we then have

$$(1.7) \quad t = [P_{x_1}^{(i)}]^{-1}(x_1) = \frac{2}{h}(x_1 - a) - (2i - 1).$$

Upon substitution of (1.7) into (1.1) [for $j = 1$] we then obtain

$$(1.8) \quad x_2 = P_{x_2}^{(i)} \circ [P_{x_1}^{(i)}]^{-1}(x_1) = r_n^{(i)}(x_1) = \left(1, \frac{2}{h}(x_1 - a) - (2i - 1), \left[\frac{2}{h}(x_1 - a) - (2i - 1) \right]^2, \left[\frac{2}{h}(x_1 - a) - (2i - 1) \right]^3 \right) \circ$$

$$\circ C \circ \left(x_2^{(i-1)}, x_2^{(i)}, x_2^{(i+1)}, x_2^{(i+2)}, b_2^{(i)}, b_2^{(i+1)} \right)^T,$$

where $r_n^{(i)} = P_{x_2}^{(i)} \circ [P_{x_1}^{(i)}]^{-1}$ is a function with domain $\langle a + (i-1)h, a + ih \rangle$.

For the chosen number n a given $x_1 \in \langle a, b \rangle$ we determine the number $i = [(x_1 - a)/h] + 1$, where the square bracket denotes the integer part of the respective real number. If x_1 runs through the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$, the i assumes the values $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We have $i - 1 = [(x_1 - a)/h] \leq (x_1 - a)/h < [(x_1 - a)/h] + 1 = i$, i.e. $a + (i - 1)h \leq x_1 < a + ih$. We put

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2}{h}(x_1 - a) - (2i - 1) &= 2\frac{x_1 - a}{h} - 2\left[\frac{x_1 - a}{h}\right] - 1 = \\ &= 2\left\{\frac{x_1 - a}{h} - \left[\frac{x_1 - a}{h}\right]\right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In the interval $\langle a + (i - 1)h, a + ih \rangle$ it is then possible to represent (1.8) in the form

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} x_2 &= r_n^{(i)}(x_1) = \\ &= \left(1, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle^2, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle^3\right) \circ \\ &\circ C \circ \left(x_2^{(i-1)}, x_2^{(i)}, x_2^{(i+1)}, x_2^{(i+2)}, b_2^{(i)}, b_2^{(i+1)}\right)^T. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $i = n$ this yields

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} r_n^{(n)}(b) &= \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow b^-} r_n^{(n)}(x_1) = \\ &= (1, 1, 1, 1) \circ C \circ \left(x_2^{(n-1)}, x_2^{(n)}, x_2^{(n+1)}, x_2^{(n+2)}, b_2^{(n)}, b_2^{(n+1)}\right)^T = \\ &= \frac{1}{16}(0, 0, 16, 0, 0, 0) \circ \left(x_2^{(n-1)}, x_2^{(n)}, x_2^{(n+1)}, x_2^{(n+2)}, b_2^{(n)}, b_2^{(n+1)}\right)^T = \\ &= x_2^{(n+1)} = f(b). \end{aligned}$$

By the symbol r_n we denote a function $r_n : \langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow R^1$ with the following properties:

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} r_n|_{\langle a+(i-1)h, a+ih \rangle} &= r_n^{(i)} \text{ for } r_n \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ r_n(b) &= f(b). \end{aligned}$$

By the symbol $r_n|_I$ we denote the restriction of the function r_n to the interval $I = \langle a + (i - 1)h, a + ih \rangle$. By (1.11) we have $r_n(b) = r_n^{(n)}(b)$.

2. Estimate of the norm of the inverse matrix of system (1.4)

Under the assumption that $|c_2| < 16|m_1|$, $|d_2| < 16|m_1|$ the matrix $A = (a_{kh})$ of the system (1.4) [for $j = 2$] has a dominant main diagonal, i.e.

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \min_k \left\{ |a_{kk}| - \sum_{h \neq k} |a_{kh}| \right\} &= \\ &= \min\{8|m_1|, 16|m_1| - |c_2|, 16|m_1| - |d_2|\} = q > 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the operator norm of the respective inverse matrix A^{-1} , i.e. for a norm induced by the first norm of a vector, the inequality $\|A^{-1}\| \leq q^{-1}$ holds.

This can be easily proved. Let us put $b = (b_2^{(1)}, b_2^{(2)}, \dots, b_2^{(n+2)})^T$, $p = (z_2, p_2^{(1)}, p_2^{(2)}, \dots, p_2^{(n)}, u_2)^T$. Then the matrix representation of this system is $A \circ b = p$, consequently $b = A^{-1} \circ p$. Let

$$\|b\| = \max_k |b_2^{(k)}| = |b_2^{(m)}|, 1 \leq m \leq n+2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|p\| &= \|A \circ b\| = \max_k \left| \sum_{h=1}^{n+2} a_{kh} b_2^{(h)} \right| \geq \left| \sum_{h=1}^{n+2} a_{mh} b_2^{(h)} \right| = \\ &= \left| a_{mm} b_2^{(m)} + \sum_{h \neq m} a_{mh} b_2^{(h)} \right| \geq |b_2^{(m)}| |a_{mm}| - \left| \sum_{h \neq m} a_{mh} b_2^{(h)} \right| \geq \\ &\geq |b_2^{(m)}| |a_{mm}| - |b_2^{(m)}| \sum_{h \neq m} |a_{mh}| \geq \|b\| \cdot \min_k \left\{ |a_{kk}| - \sum_{h \neq k} |a_{kh}| \right\} = \|b\| q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it already follows that

$$(2.2) \quad \|A^{-1}\| = \sup_{p \neq 0} \frac{\|A^{-1} \circ p\|}{\|p\|} = \sup_{A \circ b \neq 0} \frac{\|b\|}{\|A \circ b\|} \leq q^{-1}.$$

3. Uniform convergence of $L_{1,0}$ -spline approximations of a given continuous function f in the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$

Let f be a continuous function in the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$ of finite length $L = b - a > 0$. Then it is uniformly continuous in this interval. Thus, to a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all points $x'_1, x''_1 \in \langle a, b \rangle$ whose distance $|x'_1 - x''_1|$ is less than δ we have

$$(3.1) \quad |f(x'_1) - f(x''_1)| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{9}.$$

We put

$$(3.2) \quad n_0 = \max \left\{ 1, \left[\frac{3L}{\delta} \right] + 1 \right\},$$

where the square bracket denotes the integer part of the respective real number. We divide the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$ into $n > n_0$ equal intervals of length $h = L/n$. By Section 1 it is possible to choose the second coordinates of the points $P_0 = (a - h, x_2^{(0)})$, $P_{n+2} = (b + h, x_2^{(n+2)})$, $P_{n+3} = (b + 2h, x_2^{(n+3)})$ more or less arbitrarily. Thus, we shall assume that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |x_2^{(0)} - f(a)| &< \frac{2\varepsilon}{9}, \quad |x_2^{(n+2)} - f(b)| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{9}, \\ |x_2^{(n+2)} - x_2^{(n+3)}| &< \frac{2\varepsilon}{9} \end{aligned}$$

holds. Further, by (3.1), (3.2), we have [see (1.5)]

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|p\| &= \max \{ |z_2|, |p_2^{(1)}|, |p_2^{(2)}|, \dots, |p_2^{(n)}|, |u_2| \} \leq \\ &\leq \max \{ |z_2|, |u_2|, 8\varepsilon/9 \}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1), (2.2), (3.4), we then have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |b_2^{(k)}| &\leq \|b\| = \|A^{-1} \circ p\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|p\| \leq q^{-1} \|p\| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\max \{ |z_2|, |u_2|, 8\varepsilon/9 \}}{\min \{ 8|m_1|, 16|m_1| - |c_2|, 16|m_1| - |d_2| \}} \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n+2$. In what follows we shall assume that $|z_2| \leq 8\varepsilon/9$, $|u_2| \leq 8\varepsilon/9$, $|c_2| \leq 8|m_1|$, $|d_2| \leq 8|m_1|$. Then we have $\max \{ |z_2|, |u_2|, 8\varepsilon/9 \} = 8\varepsilon/9$, $\min \{ 8|m_1|, 16|m_1| - |c_2|, 16|m_1| - |d_2| \} = 8|m_1|$, and thus we have, by (3.5),

$$(3.6) \quad |b_2^{(k)}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9|m_1|}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n+2$.

For $n > n_0$ [see (3.2)] and $z \in \langle a, b \rangle$, we have for the respective $i = [(z-a)/h] + 1$: $|x_1^{(k-1)} - z| < \delta$ for $k = i, i+1, i+2, i+3$. By (3.1), (3.3), we then have $|x_2^{(k-1)} - f(z)| < 2\varepsilon/9$ for $k = i, i+1, i+2, i+3$. For these numbers k we thus have

$$(3.7) \quad x_2^{(k-1)} = f(z) + \Delta_{k-1}, \quad \text{where } |\Delta_{k-1}| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{9}.$$

By (1.10), (3.7), for the function r_n [see (1.12)] in the interval $\langle a + (i-1)h, a + ih \rangle$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
r_n(x_1) &= r_n^{(i)}(x_1) = \left(1, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle^2, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle^3\right) \circ \\
&\circ \frac{1}{16} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 9 & 9 & -1 & 4m_1 & -4m_1 \\ 1 & -11 & 11 & -1 & -4m_1 & -4m_1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -4m_1 & 4m_1 \\ -1 & 3 & -3 & 1 & 4m_1 & 4m_1 \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} f(z) + \Delta_{i-1} \\ f(z) + \Delta_i \\ f(z) + \Delta_{i+1} \\ f(z) + \Delta_{i+2} \\ b_2^{(i)} \\ b_2^{(i+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \\
&= \left(1, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle^2, \left\langle \frac{x_1 - a}{h} \right\rangle^3\right) \circ \\
&\circ \frac{1}{16} \begin{bmatrix} 16f(z) - \Delta_{i-1} + 9\Delta_i + 9\Delta_{i+1} - \Delta_{i+2} + 4m_1b_2^{(i)} - 4m_1b_2^{(i+1)} \\ \Delta_{i-1} - 11\Delta_i + 11\Delta_{i+1} - \Delta_{i+2} - 4m_1b_2^{(i)} - 4m_1b_2^{(i+1)} \\ \Delta_{i-1} - \Delta_i - \Delta_{i+1} + \Delta_{i+2} - 4m_1b_2^{(i)} + 4m_1b_2^{(i+1)} \\ -\Delta_{i-1} + 3\Delta_i - 3\Delta_{i+1} + \Delta_{i+2} + 4m_1b_2^{(i)} + 4m_1b_2^{(i+1)} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $x_1 = z$ we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad r_n(z) - f(z) &= \\
&= \frac{1}{16} \left\{ -\Delta_{i-1} + 9\Delta_i + 9\Delta_{i+1} - \Delta_{i+2} + 4m_1b_2^{(i)} - 4m_1b_2^{(i+1)} + \right. \\
&+ \left\langle \frac{z-a}{h} \right\rangle \left[\Delta_{i-1} - 11\Delta_i + 11\Delta_{i+1} - \Delta_{i+2} - 4m_1b_2^{(i)} - 4m_1b_2^{(i+1)} \right] + \\
&+ \left\langle \frac{z-a}{h} \right\rangle^2 \left[\Delta_{i-1} - \Delta_i - \Delta_{i+1} + \Delta_{i+2} - 4m_1b_2^{(i)} + 4m_1b_2^{(i+1)} \right] + \\
&+ \left. \left\langle \frac{z-a}{h} \right\rangle^3 \left[-\Delta_{i-1} + 3\Delta_i - 3\Delta_{i+1} + \Delta_{i+2} + 4m_1b_2^{(i)} + 4m_1b_2^{(i+1)} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $-1 \leq \langle (z-a)/h \rangle < 1$ [see (1.9)], i.e.

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \left\langle \frac{z-a}{h} \right\rangle \right| \leq 1,$$

(3.8) implies, applying (3.6), (3.7), (3.9) that

$$(3.10) \quad |r_n(z) - f(z)| < \frac{56}{16} \frac{2\varepsilon}{9} + \frac{32|m_1|}{16} \frac{\varepsilon}{9|m_1|} = \varepsilon$$

holds for all $n > n_0$ and arbitrary $z \in \langle a, b \rangle$. Since for every $n \geq 2$ we have $r_n(b) = f(b)$ [see (1.12)], (3.10) is valid for all $n > n_0$ and arbitrary $z \in \langle a, b \rangle$. Consequently, the following theorem holds.

Theorem. *Let f be a function continuous in the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$ of finite length $L = b - a > 0$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then for the sequence*

$(r_n)_{n=2}$ of $L_{1,0}$ -splines (1.12), each of which is constructed in the precedent sense for an arbitrary chosen number $m_1 \neq 0$, further for arbitrary chosen numbers $|z_2| \leq 8\varepsilon/9$, $|u_2| \leq 8\varepsilon/9$, $|c_2| \leq 8|m_1|$, $|d_2| \leq 8|m_1|$ [see (1.4) for $j = 2$] and arbitrary chosen values $x_2^{(0)}, x_2^{(n+2)}, x_2^{(n+3)}$ in (3.3), (3.10) is valid for sufficiently large n and arbitrary $z \in \langle a, b \rangle$, i.e. the sequence converges uniformly to the function f in the interval $\langle a, b \rangle$. In other words, for almost all n the mentioned $L_{1,0}$ -spline approximations $l_n = \{(x_1, x_2) \in R^2 | a \leq x_1 \leq b, x_2 = r_n(x_1)\}$ of the curve $l = \{(x_1, x_2) \in R^2 | a \leq x_1 \leq b, x_2 = f(x_1)\}$ lie in its Euclidean neighbourhood with diameter 2ε .

Analogous conclusion can be derived for a case of continuous vector function $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m-1}) : \langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow R^{m-1}$, $m > 2$ integer.

Example. Consider the function $x_2 = f(x_1) = 0.001x_1^3 + x_1$ in the interval $\langle 0, 10 \rangle$, $L = 10$. For $x'_1, x''_1 \in \langle 0, 10 \rangle$ we have $|f(x'_1) - f(x''_1)| = |x'_1 - x''_1| |0.001[x_1'^2 + x'_1 x''_1 + x_1''^2] + 1| \leq (1.3)|x'_1 - x''_1|$; for $|x'_1 - x''_1| < \delta = (2\varepsilon/9)(1.3)^{-1}$ we then have $|f(x'_1) - f(x''_1)| < 2\varepsilon/9$ [see (3.1)]. Then for the sequence $(r_n)_{n=2}$ of $L_{1,0}$ -splines (1.12), each of which is constructed in the sense of the derived theorem, we have

$$(3.11) \quad |r_n(z) - f(z)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $n > n_0 = \max\{1, [30/\delta] + 1\}$ [see (3.2)] and arbitrary $z \in \langle 0, 10 \rangle$. For instance, for $\varepsilon = 0.9$ we have $n_0 = 196$. Since the estimate (3.10) is in a sense "rough", we may expect inequality (3.11) to hold for all $z \in \langle 0, 10 \rangle$ for substantially smaller $n_0 \geq 1$.

References

- [1] MATUŠŮ and J., NOVÁK, J.: A method for construction of spline curves, *Mathematica Pannonica*, **1/2** (1990), 7–23.
- [2] MATUŠŮ, J., DOHNAL, G. and MATUŠŮ, M.: On one method of numerical integration, *Applications of Mathematics*, **36/4** (1991), 241–263.
- [3] LIENHARD, H.: Interpolation von Funktionswerten bei numerischen Bahnsteuerungen, *Undated publication of CONTRAVES AG, Zürich*, 1–7.
- [4] MATUŠŮ, J.: The Lienhard interpolation and some of its generalizations (in Czech), *Acta Polytechnica - Prague* **3–IV** (1978), 5–31.