

ON (r, t) -COMMUTATIVITY OF $n_{(2)}$ - PERMUTABLE SEMIGROUPS

I. Babcsányi

Department of Mathematics, Transport Engineering Faculty, Technical University, H-1111 Budapest, Műegyetem rkp. 9, Hungary

A. Nagy

Department of Mathematics, Transport Engineering Faculty, Technical University, H-1111 Budapest, Műegyetem rkp. 9, Hungary

Dedicated to Prof. Hans Vogler on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Received May 1994

MSC 1991: 20 M 05

Keywords: Free semigroups, $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroups, (r, t) -commutative semigroups.

Abstract: In this paper we deal with the connection of $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroups and (r, t) -commutative ones. We show that, for every pair of integers n and c with $n \geq 3$ and $n - 1 \leq c \leq 2n - 4$, there is a semigroup S such that $p(S) = n$ and $c(S) = c$, where $p(S)$ and $c(S)$ denote the degree of $n_{(2)}$ -permutability and of commutativity of S , respectively. Moreover, we prove that, for every pair of integers n and m with $n \geq 5$ and $2 \leq m < 2n - 4$, there is a semigroup which is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable but not (r, t) -commutative for any r and t with $r + t = m$. By these results we have $2n - 4 \leq \varphi(n) \leq 2n - 3$ ($n \geq 5$) which is a partial answer to the open problem raised in [5].

Throughout this paper \mathbb{N}^+ denotes the set of all positive integers. For notations and notions not defined here, we refer to [3]. As in [8], a semigroup S is said to be $n_{(2)}$ -permutable ($n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $n \geq 2$) if, for any n -tuple (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) of elements of S , there is an integer t with

$1 \leq t \leq n - 1$ such that

$$s_1 s_2 \cdots s_t s_{t+1} \cdots s_n = s_{t+1} \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_t.$$

By the *degree of $n_{(2)}$ -permutability* of a semigroup S we shall mean an integer $p(S) \geq 2$ such that S is $p(S)_{(2)}$ -permutable but not $(p(S) - 1)_{(2)}$ -permutable. Following [6], a semigroup S is said to be (r, t) -commutative ($r, t \in \mathbb{N}^+$) if for any elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{r+t} of S ,

$$s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r s_{r+1} \cdots s_{r+t} = s_{r+1} \cdots s_{r+t} s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r.$$

In [1], it is proved that every (r, t) -commutative semigroup is also $(1, r + t)$ -commutative. Thus we can define the *degree of commutativity* ([1]) of a semigroup S as an integer $c(S) \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that S is $(1, c(S))$ -commutative but not $(1, c(S) - 1)$ -commutative.

It is clear that an (r, t) -commutative semigroup is $(r + t)_{(2)}$ -permutable. It is obvious that the converse is true if $r + t \leq 3$ (see [8]). By Lemma 2 of [8] and the fact that every (r, t) -commutative semigroup is (r', t') -commutative for every $r' \geq r, t' \geq t$, it follows that, for every integer $n \geq 4$, there is a semigroup which is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable but not (r, t) -commutative for any positive integers r and t with $r + t \leq n$. In [8], the author raised the following question. Does $n_{(2)}$ -permutability ($n \geq 4$) of a semigroup S imply (r, t) -commutativity of S for some r and t . In [2], the authors gave a positive answer to this question for finite semigroups. In [4], M. Gutan proved that the answer is positive for arbitrary semigroups. He proved that every $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroup is $(n - 1, n - 1)$ -commutative ($n \geq 4$). In [5], he also proved that every $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroup is $(1, 2n - 4)$ -commutative ($n \geq 4$). Denoting by $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+, n \geq 2$) the proposition

$\mathcal{P}_{m,n}$: If S is an arbitrary $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroup then there exist r and t in \mathbb{N}^+ with $r + t = m$ such that S is (r, t) -commutative, consider

$$\varphi(n) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N}^+; \mathcal{P}_{m,n} \text{ is true}\}.$$

It is evident that $\varphi(2) = 2$ and, by [8], $\varphi(3) = 3$. In [5], it was proved that $\varphi(n) \leq 2n - 3$ and so $\varphi(4) = 5$. It is an open problem to find $\varphi(n)$ for $n \geq 5$ (see [5]). It is obvious that $\varphi(n) \geq n$ (see also Lemma 2 of [8]). In this paper we show that $2n - 4 \leq \varphi(n) \leq 2n - 3$ for $n \geq 5$.

For a product $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ of elements s_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) of a semigroup S let $p_i = s_i \cdots s_n s_1 \cdots s_{i-1}$ and $I_{p_i} = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}; p_i = p_j\}$. We note that s_0 denotes the identity element of S^1 . The following lemma plays an important role in our investigations.

Lemma 1. *If S is an $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroup then, for every non-negative integer k and $p_1 = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n+k} \in S^{n+k}$, the cardinality of I_{p_1} is at least $k + 2$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . Let $|I_{p_1}|$ denote the cardinality of I_{p_1} . If $k = 0$ then $|I_{p_1}| \geq 2$ for every $p_1 \in S^n$, because S is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable. Assume that $|I_{p_1}| \geq k + 2$ for some nonnegative integer k and for every $p_1 \in S^{n+k}$. Let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n+k+1}$ be arbitrary elements of S . As S is an $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroup, by Lemma 1 of [8], S is also $(n + k + 1)_{(2)}$ -permutable. Hence, there is an index $i \in \{2, \dots, n + k + 1\}$ such that $p_1 = p_i$. Consider the product $q = s_1 s_2 \cdots (s_{i-1} s_i) \cdots s_{n+k+1} \in S^{n+k}$. By the assumption $|I_q| \geq k + 2$. As $|I_q| < |I_{p_1}|$, therefore $|I_{p_1}| \geq k + 3$. \diamond

Construction. Let \mathcal{F}_X be the free semigroup (without the empty word) over the set $X = \{a, b\}$. If $w \in \mathcal{F}_X$ then $l(w)$ denotes the length of w . Let z be an integer with $z \geq 4$. Consider the pairwise disjoint subsets A_z, B_z, C_z, D_z of \mathcal{F}_X defined as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_z &= \{a^z\}, \\ B_z &= \left\{ a^{z-(2g-1)} b a^{2g-2}; \quad g = 1, 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{z+1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ C_z &= \left\{ a^{z-2h} b a^{2h-1}; \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{z}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}, \\ D_z &= \{w \in \mathcal{F}_X; \quad l(w) = z\} - (A_z \cup B_z \cup C_z). \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed integer $n \geq 4$ and for an arbitrary nonnegative integer k , define the following congruence

$$\alpha_{n+k} = \{(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{F}_X \times \mathcal{F}_X : w_1 = w_2 \text{ or } l(w_1), l(w_2) > n + k \text{ or } \exists 0 \leq i, j, t, \leq k (w_1, w_2 \in B_{n+i} \text{ or } w_1, w_2 \in C_{n+j} \text{ or } w_1, w_2 \in D_{n+t})\}.$$

Let $S_{n+k} = \mathcal{F}_X / \alpha_{n+k}$.

Theorem 1. *The factor semigroup S_{n+k} is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable if and only if $k \leq n - 4$. In this case $p(S_{n+k}) = n$.*

Proof. Assume that S_{n+k} is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable. As the length of the elements of B_{n+k} and C_{n+k} is $n + k$, both of B_{n+k} and C_{n+k} have at least $k + 2$ elements (see Lemma 1). Hence $|B_{n+k} \cup C_{n+k}| \geq 2k + 4$. On the other hand $|B_{n+k} \cup C_{n+k}| = n + k$. Therefore, $2k + 4 \leq n + k$ from which we get $k \leq n - 4$.

Conversely, assume that $k \leq n - 4$. Let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S_{n+k}$ be arbitrary elements. Consider words $q_i \in \mathcal{F}_X$ such that $q_i \alpha_{n+k} = s_i$

($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). If $l(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n) > n + k$ then $(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n, q_2 \cdots q_n q_1) \in \alpha_{n+k}$ and so $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = s_2 \cdots s_n s_1$. Assume $l(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n) \leq n + k$. Then there is an integer $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ such that $l(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n) = n + i$. If $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n \in D_{n+i}$ then $(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n, q_2 \cdots q_n q_1) \in \alpha_{n+k}$ and so $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = s_2 \cdots s_n s_1$. Assume $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n \in B_{n+i}$. Then there is an index $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that the word q_j contains the letter b as a factor (and so $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n$ do not contain b). Assume that $l(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t)$ and $l(q_r \cdots q_n)$ are odd numbers for all $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$ and $r \in \{j+1, \dots, n\}$. If $j = 1$ then $l(q_n)$ is odd and $l(q_r) r = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ is even. Hence

$$l(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n) \geq 2(n-2) + 2 > 2n-4.$$

This is a contradiction. In case $j = n$ we can get a contradiction in a similar way. Assume $j \notin \{1, n\}$. Then $l(q_1)$ and $l(q_n)$ are odd and $l(q_r)$ is even for every $r = 2, 3, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore,

$$l(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n) \geq 2(n-3) + 3 > 2n-4$$

which is a contradiction. Consequently, $l(q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t)$ or $l(q_r \cdots q_n)$ is even for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$ and $r \in \{j+1, \dots, n\}$. Thus $q_{t+1} \cdots q_n q_1 \cdots q_t \in B_{n+i}$ or $q_r \cdots q_n q_1 \cdots q_{r-1} \in B_{n+i}$ and so

$$s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = s_{t+1} \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_t \text{ or } s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = s_r \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{r-1}$$

for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$ and $r \in \{j+1, \dots, n\}$. We get a similar result in case $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n \in C_{n+i}$. If $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n \in A_{n+i}$ then $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = s_2 \cdots s_n s_1$. Thus S_{n+k} is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable. As S_{n+k} is not $(n-1)_{(2)}$ -permutable, $p(S_{n+k}) = n$. \diamond

Corollary 1. *The semigroup S_{n+k} ($4 \leq n$, $0 \leq k \leq n-4$) is $(1, n+k)$ -commutative, but not $(1, n+k-1)$ -commutative.*

Proof. It is clear that S_{n+k} is $(1, n+k)$ -commutative. As $B_{n+k} \cap C_{n+k} = \emptyset$, the semigroup S_{n+k} is not $(1, n+k-1)$ -commutative. \diamond

Next we deal with the connection between $p(S)$ and $c(S)$ for an arbitrary semigroup.

Theorem 2. *For every integers n and c with $n \geq 3$ and $n-1 \leq c \leq 2n-4$, there is a semigroup S such that $p(S) = n$ and $c(S) = c$.*

Proof. By Remark 2 of [8], a semigroup is $3_{(2)}$ -permutable if and only if it is $(1, 2)$ -commutative. Assume $n \geq 4$. It is evident that every $(1, t)$ -commutative semigroup is $(t+1)_{(2)}$ -permutable. From this it follows that $c(S) < p(S)$ implies $c(S) = p(S) - 1$. By the construction contained in the proof of Th. 3 of [1] or Th. 3 of [9], it follows that there are semigroups S such that $p(S) = n$ and $c(S) = n-1$. Therefore, we

can suppose that $n \leq c \leq 2n - 4$. Then, for the semigroup S_c defined above, $p(S) = n$ and $c(S) = c$. \diamond

Theorem 3. *For every pair of integers n and m with $n \geq 5$ and $2 \leq m < 2n - 4$, there is a semigroup which is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable but not (r,t) -commutative for any r and t with $r + t = m$.*

Proof. Let n be an arbitrary integer with $n \geq 5$. If m is an integer with $2 \leq m \leq n$ then the assertion is true (see Lemma 2 of [8]). Assume that $n < m < 2n - 4$ for some integer m . Then $n \geq 6$. Let k be a positive integer such that $m = n + k - 1$. Clearly, $2 \leq k \leq n - 4$. Consider the semigroup S_{n+k} defined in the Construction. By Th. 1, S_{n+k} is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable. Assume that S_{n+k} is (r,t) -commutative for some positive integers r and t with $r + t = m = n + k - 1$. If r is odd then consider the element $a^{n+k-2}b \in B_{n+k-1}$. Since S_{n+k} is (r,t) -commutative, we obtain that $a^{n+k-2}b, a^{n+k-r-2}ba^r \in \alpha_{n+k}$ and so $a^{n+k-r-2}ba^r \in B_{n+k-1}$. However, since r is odd this is impossible. If r is even then $a^{n+k-1}b, a^{n+k-r-2}ba^2a^{r-1} \in B_{n+k}$ and so the parity of $n + k - 1$ and $n + k - r$ must be the same. This is also impossible. Consequently S_{n+k} is not (r,t) -commutative for any r and t with $r + t = m$. \diamond

Corollary 2. *For every integer $n \geq 5$, we have $2n - 4 \leq \varphi(n) \leq 2n - 3$.*

Proof. By Th. 3, if $\mathcal{P}_{m,n}$ is true for some positive integers m and n with $n \geq 5$ then $m \geq 2n - 4$. Thus $\varphi(n) \geq 2n - 4$. This and the fact $\varphi(n) \leq 2n - 3$ proved in [5] together imply our assertion. \diamond

The following lemma is an addendum to the problem of finding the exact value of $\varphi(n)$.

Lemma 2. *If an $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroup S ($n \geq 4$) is (r,t) -commutative for some r and t with $r + t = 2n - 4$ then either r and t are even or S is $(1, 2n - 5)$ -commutative.*

Proof. Assume that S is a semigroup such that it is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable and (r,t) -commutative for some integers n, r and t with $n \geq 4, r + t = 2n - 4$. Assume that S is not $(1, 2n - 5)$ -commutative. Let d denote the greatest common divisor of t and r . By Cor. 1 of [1], S is $(hd, 2n - 4 - hd)$ -commutative for every $h = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{2n-4}{d} - 1$. Then $d \geq 2$. We can suppose that $d > 2$. As S is not $(1, 2n - 5)$ -commutative, there are elements $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{2n-4}$ of S such that

$$p_1 = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{2n-4} \neq s_2 \cdots s_{2n-4} s_1 = p_2.$$

By Lemma 1, $|I_{p_1}|, |I_{p_2}| \geq n - 2$. As $I_{p_1} \cap I_{p_2} = \emptyset, |I_{p_1}| = |I_{p_2}| = n - 2$.

For $i = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$, let

$$J_i = \left\{ (h-1)d + i + 1; h = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{2n-4}{d} \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that J_i contained in either I_{p_1} or I_{p_2} for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$. Moreover

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} J_i = \{1, 2, \dots, 2n-4\}.$$

Therefore, $n-2 = \frac{2(n-2)}{d}g$ for some positive integer g . From this it follows that $d = 2g$. Thus r and t are even. \diamond

We note that, from Lemma 2, it follows that if a semigroup S is $n_{(2)}$ -permutable and (r,t) -commutative such that $n-2$ is a prime, $n \geq 4$ and $r+t = 2n-4$ then S is $(2, 2n-6)$ -commutative.

References

- [1] BABCSÁNYI, I.: On (m,n) -commutative semigroups, *P.U.M.A.* (Ser. A) **2** (1991), 175-180.
- [2] BABCSÁNYI, I. and NAGY, A.: On a problem of $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **46** (1993), 398-400.
- [3] CLIFFORD, A.H. and PRESTON, G. B.: The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, I (1961), II (1967).
- [4] GUTAN, M.: Sur une propriété de permutation des semi-groupes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **317** (1993), Série I, 923-924.
- [5] GUTAN, M.: On $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroups, *P.U.M.A.* **4/2** (1993), 167-173.
- [6] LAJOS, S.: Fibonacci characterizations and (m,n) -commutativity in semigroup theory, *P.U.M.A.* (Ser. A) **1** (1990), 59-65.
- [7] NAGY, A.: On the structure of (m,n) -commutative semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **45** (1992), 183-190.
- [8] NAGY, A.: Semilattice decomposition of $n_{(2)}$ -permutable semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **46** (1993), 16-20.
- [9] NAGY, A.: Permutation functions and (n,m) -commutativity of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **48** (1994), 71-78.