

## TORSION-FREE MODULES AND SYZYGIES

D. KATZ

*Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence,  
KS 66045, U.S.A.*

*Received June 1993*

*AMS Subject Classification:* 13 C 05, 13 D 02

*Keywords:* Torsion-free module, syzygy.

**Abstract:** We show how a number of well-known results follow from a characterization of torsion-free modules.

In this note we bring to light a result which seems to be lying beneath the surface of a number of well known theorems and, once stated, from which these theorems may be readily derived. To wit, let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module admitting a finite free resolution

$$\mathbf{F}: 0 \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

We observe that  $M$  is torsion-free if and only if the ideal of minors associated to the  $i$ th map in the resolution has depth greater than or equal to  $i+1$ . The similarity with this statement and the one appearing in the celebrated exactness theorem of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud [3] is not coincidental. The result is essentially equivalent to their theorem. However, it seems that bringing it to the fore allows one to see precisely how the conditions of their theorem yield exactness. The result also serves as the inductive step in an analogous characterization for  $M$  to be a  $k$ th syzygy. Using this result, we can derive a theorem of Auslander-Bridger appearing in [1] and extend a result of Bruns concerning the

structure of  $k$ th syzygies to non-Cohen–Macaulay local rings. Finally, though the proposition below doesn't seem to explicitly appear in any of the standard references on the subject, undoubtedly it is not new. Our primary purpose here is to demonstrate the central place it occupies.

Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be given as above i.e., each  $F_i$  is a free  $R$ -module of finite rank and  $\phi_i$  is a  $\text{rank}(F_{i-1}) \times \text{rank}(F_i)$  matrix with entries in  $R$ . The rank of  $\phi_i$  is the size of the largest non-vanishing minor of  $\phi_i$  and we will write  $I(\phi_i)$  for the ideal generated by minors of size  $\text{rank}(\phi_i)$ . If  $\text{rank}(\phi_i) = 0$ , take  $I(\phi_i) = R$ . With this we may state the result as follows.

**Proposition.** *Let  $M$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  be as above. Then  $M$  is a torsion-free  $R$ -module if and only if  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_i)) \geq i + 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

**Proof.** We begin the proof with a couple of remarks. First, recall that for  $M$  as above,  $M$  is torsion-free if and only if every prime ideal associated to  $M$  is an associated prime of  $R$ . Furthermore, as the hypotheses and conclusions of the proposition are preserved under localization, we are free to localize at a prime ideal at any point in the argument. Finally, recall that if  $R$  is local and the projective dimension of  $M$  (denoted  $\text{p.d.}_R(M)$ ) is finite, then the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula states that  $\text{depth}(M) + \text{p.d.}_R(M) = \text{depth}(R)$  (see [2]).

Now, suppose that  $M$  is torsion-free. Let  $i$  be the largest integer for which  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_i)) \leq i$ . We seek a contradiction. Select a prime ideal  $P$  containing  $I(\phi_i)$  such that  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq i$ . It follows that  $I(\phi_j) \not\subseteq P$ , for  $j > i$ . Therefore, upon localizing at  $P$ , the sequence  $\mathbf{F}$  splits at  $F_i$ . Localizing at  $P$  and changing notation, we have  $I(\phi_{i+1}) = R$ ,  $I(\phi_i) \neq R$  and  $\text{depth}(R) \leq i$ . Thus  $F_i = \text{image}(\phi_{i+1}) \oplus F'_i$  and we may truncate  $\mathbf{F}$  to obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathbf{F}' : 0 \longrightarrow F'_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $\text{p.d.}_R(M) \leq i$ . If  $\text{p.d.}_R(M) < i$ ,  $\text{image}(\phi_j)$  is projective (free) for some  $j \leq i - 1$ , so the truncated sequence splits to the left of  $F_j$  and it follows that  $I(\phi_i) = R$ , which isn't so. Thus  $\text{p.d.}_R(M) = i$ . Since  $\text{depth}(R) \leq i$ , the Auslander–Buchsbaum implies  $i = \text{depth}(R) = \text{p.d.}_R(M)$ . Consequently  $\text{depth}(M) = 0$ . Therefore  $P$ , the maximal ideal of  $R$ , is an associated prime of  $M$ , and hence  $R$ , since  $M$  is torsion-free. Thus  $i = \text{depth}(R) = 0$  and this is the contradiction we sought.

Conversely, suppose the depth condition holds. Let  $P$  be an associated prime of  $M$ . We must show that  $P$  is an associated prime of  $R$ . We may assume that  $R$  is a local ring and  $P$  is its maximal ideal.

Since  $\text{depth}(M) = 0$ ,  $\text{depth}(R) \leq n$  (by the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula). Moreover, as  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_n)) \geq n + 1$ , we must have  $I(\phi_n) = R$ . Thus the sequence  $\mathbf{F}$  splits at  $F_{n-1}$ , so we may truncate as before to obtain

$$\mathbf{F}': 0 \longrightarrow F'_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

By induction on  $n$  (the case  $n = 0$  is trivial),  $M$  is torsion-free, so  $P$  is associated to  $R$ , as desired.  $\diamond$

**Corollary A** (Buchsbaum–Eisenbud). *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian domain and*

$$\mathbf{F}: 0 \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

*a complex of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules. Then  $\mathbf{F}$  is acyclic (i.e.,  $\ker(\phi_i) = \text{image}(\phi_{i+1})$  for  $i > 0$ ) if and only if: (i)  $\text{rank}(\phi_i) + \text{rank}(\phi_{i+1}) = \text{rank}(F_i)$  and (ii)  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_i)) \geq i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $K$  denote the quotient field of  $R$  and suppose the conditions hold. We proceed by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , the complex is exact by McCoy's theorem. Assume  $n > 1$ . Condition (i) implies that  $\mathbf{F} \otimes K$  is an acyclic complex of vector spaces. Hence the  $i$ th homology module is a torsion module, for  $i > 0$ . In particular,  $H_1(\mathbf{F})$  is torsion. On the other hand, by induction  $H_i(\mathbf{F}) = 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $\mathbf{F}$  resolves the cokernel of  $\phi_2$ . By the Prop., condition (ii) implies that the cokernel of  $\phi_2$  is torsion-free. Hence its submodule  $H_1(\mathbf{F})$  is torsion-free. Thus  $H_1(\mathbf{F})$  is both torsion and torsion-free, and therefore zero. That is,  $\mathbf{F}$  is acyclic. Conversely, if  $\mathbf{F}$  is acyclic, then  $\mathbf{F} \otimes K$  is an acyclic complex of vector spaces, so (i) holds. Clearly  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_1)) \geq 1$ . Moreover, the cokernel of  $\phi_2$  is torsion-free, so (ii) holds by the proposition.  $\diamond$

**Remark.** Of course the Buchsbaum–Eisenbud theorem holds for any Noetherian ring, but we have presented the domain case to exhibit more clearly how conditions (i) and (ii) determine exactness. However, essentially the same proof works in general (with the aid of some additional linear algebra). For example, one can show that the conditions (i) and  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_i)) \geq 1$  hold if and only if the complex  $\mathbf{F} \otimes K$  is split exact, where  $K$  now denotes the total quotient ring of  $R$ . Hence if (i) and (ii) hold,  $H_i(\mathbf{F})$  is torsion on the one hand and torsion-free on the other (by the Prop., as in the proof above) and therefore zero.

**Corollary B.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is the  $k$ th syzygy in a finite free resolution of an  $R$ -module  $N$  if and only if  $M$  admits a finite free resolution  $\mathbf{F}$  (as before) satisfying  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_i)) \geq i + k$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M$  is the  $k$ th syzygy in a finite free resolution of the  $R$ -module  $N$ . Then  $M = \text{image}(\psi_k)$ , where  $\psi_k$  is the  $k$ th map in the resolution of  $N$ . We may take for  $\mathbf{F}$ , the resolution of  $M$ , that portion of the resolution for  $N$  whose first map is  $\psi_{k+1}$ . That  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_i)) \geq \geq i + k$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  now follows from the Buchsbaum–Eisenbud theorem.

Conversely, suppose  $M$  admits a finite free resolution  $\mathbf{F}$  satisfying the required depth condition. We proceed by induction on  $k$ . When  $k = 1$ ,  $M$  is torsion-free (by the Prop.) and it is well known that  $M$  can be embedded in a free module (when  $R$  is not a domain, this requires that  $M$  have finite projective dimension, which we are assuming). Therefore  $M$  is the first syzygy in a resolution of the cokernel of this embedding. Now suppose that  $k > 1$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  generate  $\text{Hom}(M, R)$  and take  $u: M \rightarrow R^n$  to be the so-called *universal pushforward* (see [6]). In other words, for each  $m \in M$ ,  $u(m)$  is the column vector whose  $j$ th entry is  $f_j(m)$ . Let  $C = \text{cokernel}(u)$ . Using  $*$  to denote  $R$  duals, we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow R^n \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C^* \longrightarrow (R^n)^* \longrightarrow M^* \longrightarrow 0$$

where exactness in the first sequence follows because  $M$  is torsion-free, and exactness in the second sequence follows from the definition of universal pushforward. Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be a prime ideal with  $\text{depth}(R_Q) \leq \leq k$ . Then  $I(\phi_1) \not\subseteq Q$ , so  $M_Q$  is a free  $R_Q$  module. Therefore  $M_Q^*$  is free, so the second sequence splits over  $R_Q$ . Therefore the dual of the second sequence (i.e., the “double dual”) splits over  $R_Q$ . Since  $M_Q$  is free this shows that  $C_Q = C_Q^{**}$  and that the first sequence splits over  $R_Q$ . It follows that if we let  $\phi_0$  denote the composition  $F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow R^n$ , then  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_0)) \geq k + 1$  and  $C$  admits a resolution satisfying the given depth condition for  $k - 1$ . By induction  $C$  is a  $(k - 1)$ st syzygy of the required form, so  $M$  is a  $k$ th syzygy, as desired.  $\diamond$

**Corollary C** (Auslander–Bridger). *Let  $R$  be a local ring satisfying Serre’s condition  $S_k$  and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module having finite projective dimension. Then  $M$  is a  $k$ th syzygy if and only if  $M$  satisfies  $S_k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ).*

**Proof.** Recall that a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $N$  satisfies  $S_k$  if for all prime ideals  $P$  in the support of  $N$ ,  $\text{depth}_{R_P}(N_P) \geq \min(k, \dim(R_P))$ . Now, let  $M$  satisfy  $S_k$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  be a projective resolution. We want to see that  $\mathbf{F}$  satisfies the depth condition of Cor. B. As in the proof of the

Prop., we let  $i$  be the largest integer for which  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_i)) \leq i + k - 1$  and we select a prime ideal  $P$  containing  $I(\phi_i)$  with  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq i + k - 1$ . If we localize at  $P$ , then  $\text{p.d.}(M_P) = i > 0$ . Thus  $\text{depth}(M_P) \leq k - 1$ , by the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula. Since  $M$  satisfies  $S_k$ , this implies  $\text{depth}(M_P) = \text{depth}(R_P)$ . Thus  $M_P$  is free, so  $i = 0$ , contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that  $M$  is a  $k$ th syzygy and  $\mathbf{F}$  is a resolution of  $M$ . We may assume that  $\mathbf{F}$  satisfies the depth condition of Cor. B. Let  $P \subseteq R$  be prime ideal. If  $\dim(R_P) \leq k$ , then  $I(\phi_i) \not\subseteq P$ , so  $M_P$  is  $R_P$ -free. Thus  $\text{depth}(M_P) = \text{depth}(R_P) = \dim(R_P)$ , since  $R$  satisfies  $S_k$ . If  $\dim(R_P) \geq k + 1$ ,  $\text{depth}(R_P) = k + i$ , for some  $i \geq 0$ , as  $R$  satisfies  $S_k$ . Thus  $I(\phi_{i+1}) \not\subseteq P$ . Hence,  $\text{p.d.}(M_P) \leq i$ , so  $\text{depth}(M_P) \geq k$ . Thus  $M$  satisfies  $S_k$ .  $\diamond$

**Remark.** In [4] Bruns proves the following result which shows how to construct  $k$ th syzygies of rank  $k$  from  $k$ th syzygies having rank greater than  $k$ . Let  $(R, m)$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module having finite projective dimension. Suppose that  $M$  is a  $k$ th syzygy having rank  $k + s$ , for  $s \geq 1$ . Then there exists a free submodule  $F \subseteq M$  such that  $F \cap mM = mF$ ,  $\text{rank}(F) = s$ , and  $M/F$  is a  $k$ th syzygy. In the corollary below, we use Cor. B to extend Bruns' theorem to non-Cohen–Macaulay rings. In order to do this, we need to observe that choosing basic elements on subsets of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  determined by depth conditions can be done analogously to the more standard method of choosing basic elements on subsets of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  determined by height conditions. We follow the treatment given in [6] (which is based upon [4]).

**Basic Element Lemma.** *Let  $(R, m)$  be a local ring and  $M \subseteq R^n$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module with well-defined rank  $\geq k + 1$ . Suppose that  $M_P$  is a free summand of  $(R^n)_P$  for all prime ideals  $P$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq k$ . Then there exists a minimal generator  $x \in M$  such that  $x$  is basic at  $P$  for all  $P$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq k$ .*

**Proof.** We first recall that a submodule  $M' \subseteq M$  is said to be  $t$ -fold basic at  $P$  if at least  $t$  minimal generators for  $M_P$  can be chosen from the image of  $M'$ . The proof now follows along the same lines as that of Cor. 2.6 in [6], once we verify the following statement. Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$  be a subset of a set of generators for  $M$  such that  $M'$ , the submodule they generate, is  $t$ -fold basic at all primes  $P$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq j - 1$ . Then  $M'$  is  $t$ -fold basic at all but finitely many primes  $P$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(R_P) = j$ . To see this, suppose that

$\{x_1, \dots, x_s, \dots, x_m\}$  is a set of generators for  $M$  and write  $A$  for the  $n \times m$  matrix whose columns correspond to the  $x_i$ . Let  $A'$  denote the corresponding submatrix associated to  $M'$ . Then for any prime ideal  $P$  such that  $M_P$  is a summand of  $(R^n)_P$ ,  $M'$  is  $t$ -fold basic at  $P$  if and only if  $I_t(A')$ , the ideal of  $t \times t$  minors of  $A'$ , is not contained in  $P$ . Now, since  $M'$  is  $t$ -fold basic at all  $P$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq j - 1$ ,  $\text{depth}(I_t(A')) \geq j$ . If  $\text{depth}(I_t(A')) \geq j + 1$ , the statement follows. Otherwise, letting  $a_1, \dots, a_j$  be a maximal regular sequence in  $I_t(A')$ , it follows that  $I_t(A') \subseteq P$  and  $\text{depth}(R_P) = j$  if and only if  $P \in \text{Ass}(R/(a_1, \dots, a_j)R)$ . Since  $\text{Ass}(R/(a_1, \dots, a_j)R)$  is finite, the statement follows in this case as well.  $\diamond$

**Corollary D.** *Let  $(R, m)$  be a local ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module with finite projective dimension. Suppose that  $M$  is a  $k$ th syzygy having rank  $k + s$ , for  $s \geq 1$ . Then there exists a free submodule  $F \subseteq M$  such that  $F \cap mM = mF$ ,  $\text{rank}(F) = s$ , and  $M/F$  is a  $k$ th syzygy.*

**Proof.** We follow the path laid out in Bruns' original theorem. Let  $\mathbf{F}$  as above be a projective resolution of  $M$ . We may assume that  $\mathbf{F}$  satisfies the depth condition of Cor. B. Since  $\text{depth}(I(\phi_i)) \geq k + 1$ ,  $M_P$  is free for all prime ideals  $P$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq k$ . As in the proof of Cor. B, we may use the universal pushforward of  $M$  to further assume that  $M \subseteq R^n$  for some  $n$ , and  $M_P$  is a summand of  $(R^n)_P$ , whenever  $M_P$  is free. We now employ the Basic Element Lemma to find a minimal generator  $x \in M$  which is basic at all  $P$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq k$ . In particular,  $Rx$  is a free submodule of  $M$  and without loss of generality we may assume that  $x$  is the "first" generator of  $M$ . It follows that a minimal resolution for  $M/Rx$  has the form

$$\mathbf{F}' : 0 \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi'_1} F'_0 \longrightarrow M/Rx \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\phi'_1$  is the matrix obtained from  $\phi_1$  by deleting the first row and  $F'_0$  is the free  $R$ -module on one less generator than  $F_0$ . Furthermore, the choice of  $x$  implies that  $(M/Rx)_P$  is free for all primes  $P$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(R_P) \leq k$ , so  $\text{depth}(I(\phi'_1)) \geq k + 1$ . Hence the resolution for  $M/Rx$  satisfies the depth condition of Cor. B. That is,  $M/Rx$  is a  $k$ th syzygy. The process may be repeated if  $M/Rx$  has rank greater than  $k$ .  $\diamond$

**Remark.** Unfortunately, Cor. B does not shed a lot of light on the Evans-Griffith Syzygy Theorem (see [6]), which states that  $k$ th syzygies with finite projective dimension have rank  $\geq k$  (when the ring  $R$

contains a field). Using Cor. B in a manner analogous to its use in Cor. D, one can easily see that it suffices to find a minimal generator  $x$  whose order ideal has depth  $\geq k$ . For then  $M/Rx$  would be a  $(k-1)$ st syzygy, and induction would yield the result. (For rings containing a field such  $x$  exists.) This is exactly the original line of thought followed by Evans and Griffith. The point of Cor. B is that one need not have any standing assumption on the ring (like the Cohen–Macaulay property) as long as one replaces height by depth in a characterization of  $k$ th syzygies. (See also [7] or [5], where for rings containing a field, the Evans–Griffith estimates on the ranks of syzygies are improved.)

## References

- [1] AUSLANDER, M. and BRIDGER, M.: Stable Module Theory, *Memoirs of the AMS* **94** (1969).
- [2] AUSLANDER, M. and BUCHSBAUM, D.: Codimension and multiplicity, *Ann. Math.* **68** (1958), 625–657.
- [3] BUCHSBAUM, D. and EISENBUD, D.: What makes a complex exact, *J. of Algebra* **13** (1973), 259–268.
- [4] BRUNS, W.: "Jede" endliche freie Auflösung ist freie Auflösung eines von drei Elementen Ideals, *J. of Algebra* **39** (1976), 429–439.
- [5] BRUNS, W.: The Evans–Griffith syzygy theorem and Bass numbers, *Proc. of the Am. Math. Soc.* **115** (1992), 939–945.
- [6] EVANS, E. G. and GRIFFITH, P.: Syzygies, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [7] OGOMA, T.: A note on the syzygy problem, *Comm. in Alg.* **17** (1989), 2061–2066.