

# GENERALIZED MAPPINGS BETWEEN FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

A. Kandil

*Department of Mathematics, Benha University, Egypt*

E. E. Kerre

*Applied Mathematics and Computer Sciences, University Gent, Krijgslaan 281, S9, B-9000 Gent, Belgium*

A. A. Nouh<sup>1</sup>

*Applied Mathematics and Computer Sciences, University Gent, Krijgslaan 281, S9, B-9000 Gent, Belgium*

M. E. El-Shafei

*Department of Mathematics, Mansoura University, Egypt*

*Received June 1990*

*AMS Subject Classification: 54 A 40*

*Keywords:* Fuzzy topology, fuzzy continuity, fuzzy open and closed mappings, fuzzy homeomorphism.

**Abstract:** In a previous paper [8] we introduced and studied the concept of  $\varphi$ -operation on a fuzzy topology  $\tau$  on a set  $X$ . In this paper we introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -continuous mappings which generalizes most forms of fuzzy continuity. Also we introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -open (fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -closed) mappings in which fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) and fuzzy homeomorphism, fuzzy  $\theta$ -open (fuzzy  $\theta$ -closed) and fuzzy  $\delta$ -open (fuzzy

---

<sup>1</sup>On leave from Mathematics Department, Mansoura University, Egypt.

$\delta$ -closed) become special cases. Then we introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -homeomorphism, generalizing the concepts of fuzzy homeomorphism, fuzzy  $\theta$ -homeomorphism and fuzzy  $\delta$ -homeomorphism. Finally, we prove that these concepts are good extensions.

## 1. Introduction

In order to unify several characterizations and properties of some fuzzy topological concepts and their weaker and stronger forms, in [8] we introduced and studied the concept of an operation  $\varphi$  on a fuzzy topology  $\tau$  on a set  $X$ . Then we introduced the concepts of  $\varphi$ -closure ( $\varphi$ -interior) of fuzzy sets and  $\varphi$ -closed ( $\varphi$ -open) fuzzy sets. We showed that the collection of  $\varphi$ -open fuzzy sets plays a significant role in the context of fuzzy topology in a natural way analogous to that of the  $\varphi$ -open sets in general topology [5, 9].

In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -continuous mappings to unify several characterizations and properties of fuzzy continuity, fuzzy  $\theta$ -continuity, fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuity, fuzzy weak-continuity, fuzzy strong  $\theta$ -continuity, fuzzy almost-continuity, fuzzy almost strong  $\theta$ -continuity, fuzzy super continuity and fuzzy weak  $\theta$ -continuity. Then we introduce and study the concepts of fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -open and fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -closed mappings. After that we introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -homeomorphism, generalizing the concepts of fuzzy homeomorphism, fuzzy  $\theta$ -homeomorphism and fuzzy  $\delta$ -homeomorphism. Several characterizations of these mappings are investigated. Finally, Lowen's good extension criterion is used to test all concepts mentioned above.

## 2. Preliminaries

The class of all fuzzy sets in a universe  $X$  will be denoted by  $I^X$ . Fuzzy sets of  $X$  will be denoted by Greek letters as  $\mu, \nu, \eta$ , etc. Crisp subsets of  $X$  will be denoted by capital letters as  $A, B, C$ , etc. The value of a fuzzy set  $\mu$  at the element  $x$  of  $X$  will be denoted by  $\mu(x)$ . Fuzzy singletons [10] will be denoted by  $x_\varepsilon, y_\nu, z_\rho$ . The class of all fuzzy singletons will be denoted by  $S(X)$ . Hence  $x_\varepsilon \subseteq \mu$  means  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \mu(x)$ . The definitions and results in a fuzzy topological space (fts,

for short) due to Chang [2] have already been standardized. For two fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , we shall write  $\mu q \nu$  (resp.  $\mu \bar{q} \nu$ ) to mean that  $\mu$  is quasi-coincident (resp. not quasi-coincident) with  $\nu$  [13]. Let  $\mu \in I^X$  and  $x_\varepsilon \in S(X)$ , by  $N_Q(x_\varepsilon)$ ,  $\text{int}(\mu)$ ,  $\text{cl}(\mu)$  and  $\text{co}(\mu)$ , we mean, the family of all open  $q$ -neighbourhoods of  $x_\varepsilon$ , the interior of  $\mu$ , the closure of  $\mu$  and the complement of  $\mu$ .

**Proposition 2.1** [8]. Let  $\mu, \nu \in I^X$  and  $\{\mu_j : j \in J\} \subseteq I^X$ , then:

- (1)  $\mu q \nu \implies \mu \cap \nu \neq 0$ ;
- (2)  $\mu q \nu \iff (\exists x_\varepsilon \in S(X))(x_\varepsilon \subseteq \mu \text{ and } x_\varepsilon q \nu)$ ;
- (3)  $(\forall (x, y) \in X^2)(\forall (\varepsilon, \nu) \in (]0, 1])^2)(x \neq y \implies x_\varepsilon \bar{q} y_\nu)$ ;
- (4)  $x_\varepsilon \bar{q} \mu \iff x_\varepsilon \subseteq \text{co}(\mu)$ ;
- (5)  $\mu \bar{q} \text{co}(\mu)$ ;
- (6)  $\mu \subseteq \nu \iff (\forall x_\varepsilon \in S(X))(x_\varepsilon \subseteq \mu \implies x_\varepsilon \subseteq \nu) \iff (\forall x_\varepsilon \in S(X))(x_\varepsilon q \mu \implies x_\varepsilon q \nu)$ .

**Definition 2.2** [4]. For  $\mu \in I^X$  we define

- (1)  $\mu_\alpha = \{x | x \in X \text{ and } \mu(x) \geq \alpha\}$  as the *weak  $\alpha$ -cut* of  $\mu$ , where  $\alpha \in ]0, 1]$ ;
- (2)  $\mu_{\bar{\alpha}} = \{x | x \in X \text{ and } \mu(x) > \alpha\}$  as the *strong  $\alpha$ -cut* of  $\mu$ , where  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$ .

The strong 0-cut of  $\mu$  is called the *support* of  $\mu$  and is denoted as  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ .

**Definition 2.3** [4]. Let  $(X, T)$  be an ordinary topological space. The set of all lower semicontinuous functions from  $(X, T)$  into the closed unit interval equipped with the usual topology constitutes a fuzzy topology on  $X$  that is called the *induced fuzzy topology* associated with  $(X, T)$  and is denoted as  $(X, \omega(T))$ .

**Lemma 2.4** [4]. Let  $(X, T)$  be an ordinary topological space,  $\mu \in I^X$  and  $A \in 2^X$ . Then we have:

- (1)  $\mu \in \omega(T) \iff (\forall \alpha \in [0, 1[)(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}} \in T)$ ;
- (2)  $\mu \in \omega(T)' \iff (\forall \alpha \in ]0, 1])(\mu_\alpha \in T')$ ;
- (3)  $A \in T \iff 1_A \in \omega(T)$ ;
- (4)  $A \in T' \iff 1_A \in \omega(T)'$ ;
- (5)  $\text{cl}(1_A) = 1_{\text{cl}(A)}$ , where  $1_A$  denotes the characteristic mapping of  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Definition 2.5** [8]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts. A mapping  $\varphi : \tau \rightarrow I^X$  such that  $(\forall \mu \in \tau)(\mu \subseteq \mu^\varphi)$ , where  $\mu^\varphi$  denotes the value of  $\varphi$  at  $\mu$ , is called an *operation on  $\tau$* . The family of all operations on a fuzzy topology  $\tau$  on a set  $X$  is denoted by  $O_{(X, \tau)}$ .

**Examples 2.6.** The mapping  $\varphi : \tau \rightarrow I^X$  defined by:

- (1)  $(\forall \mu \in \tau)(\mu^\varphi = \mu)$ , is an operation on  $\tau$ , the so-called *identity operation*  $\iota$ ;
- (2)  $(\forall \mu \in \tau)(\mu^\varphi = \text{cl}(\mu))$ , is an operation on  $\tau$ , the so-called *closure operation*  $\text{cl}$ ;
- (3)  $(\forall \mu \in \tau)(\mu^\varphi = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu)))$ , is an operation on  $\tau$ , the so-called *interior-closure operation*  $\text{int} \circ \text{cl}$ .

**Definition 2.7** [8]. An operation  $\varphi \in O_{(X, \tau)}$  is said to be:

- (1) *regular*  $\iff (\forall x_\varepsilon \in S(X))(\forall (\nu, \eta) \in N_Q^2(x_\varepsilon))(\exists \rho \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\rho^\varphi \subseteq \nu^\varphi \cap \eta^\varphi)$ ;
- (2) *monotone*  $\iff (\forall (\nu, \eta) \in \tau^2)(\nu \subseteq \eta \implies \nu^\varphi \subseteq \eta^\varphi)$ .

It follows immediately that every monotone operation is regular, but the converse may not be true [8].

**Definition 2.8** [8]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts. The mapping  $\varphi^\sim : \tau' \rightarrow I^X$  is called an *operation on  $\tau'$*  iff  $(\forall \lambda \in \tau')(\lambda \supseteq \lambda^{\varphi^\sim})$ , where  $\tau'$  denotes the family of all closed fuzzy sets of  $X$ . The family of all operations on  $\tau'$  on a set  $X$  is denoted by  $O_{(X, \tau')}$ .

**Definition 2.9** [8]. The operations  $\varphi \in O_{(X, \tau)}$  and  $\varphi^\sim \in O_{(X, \tau')}$  are said to be *dual* iff  $(\forall \nu \in \tau)(\text{co}(\nu^\varphi) = (\text{co}(\nu))^{\varphi^\sim})$ . Equivalently,  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^\sim$  are dual iff  $(\forall \lambda \in \tau')((\text{co}(\lambda))^{\varphi} = \text{co}(\lambda^{\varphi^\sim}))$ .

**Definition 2.10** [8]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts,  $\varphi \in O_{(X, \tau)}$  and  $\mu \in I^X$ . Then:

- (1) the  $\varphi$ -closure of  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\text{cl}_\varphi(\mu)$ , is given by:

$$x_\varepsilon \subseteq \text{cl}_\varphi(\mu) \iff (\forall \eta \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\eta^\varphi q \mu);$$

- (2) the  $\varphi$ -interior of  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\text{int}_\varphi(\mu)$ , is given by:

$$x_\varepsilon q \text{int}_\varphi(\mu) \iff (\exists \eta \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\eta^\varphi \subseteq \mu).$$

**Definition 2.11** [8]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts,  $\varphi \in O_{(X, \tau)}$  and  $\mu \in I^X$ . Then:

- (1)  $\mu$  is called  $\varphi$ -closed  $\iff \text{cl}_\varphi(\mu) = \mu$ ;
- (2)  $\mu$  is called  $\varphi$ -open  $\iff \text{int}_\varphi(\mu) = \mu$ ;
- (3)  $\mu$  is  $\varphi$ -open iff  $\text{co}(\mu)$  is  $\varphi$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.12** [8]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts and  $\varphi \in O_{(X, \tau)}$ . If  $\varphi$  is regular, then the family of all  $\varphi$ -open fuzzy sets forms a fuzzy topology on  $X$  and is denoted by  $\tau_\varphi$ . Moreover,  $\tau_\varphi \subseteq \tau$ .

**Definition 2.13** [8] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a fts,  $\varphi \in O_{(X, \tau)}$  and  $\mu \in I^X$ . Then  $\mu$  is called an  $\varphi$ - $q$ -neighbourhood of  $x_\varepsilon$   $\iff (\exists \nu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\nu^\varphi \subseteq \mu)$ .

**Theorem 2.14** [8].  $(\forall \mu \in I^X)$   $(\mu \text{ is } \varphi\text{-open in } (X, \tau) \iff \mu \text{ is open in } (X, \tau^\varphi))$ .

**Definition 2.15** [8] A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

- (1)  $\varphi.FT_1$  iff for any  $x_\varepsilon, y_\nu \in S(X)$  and  $x \neq y$ ,  $(\exists \mu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\exists \eta \in N_Q(y_\nu))(y_\nu \bar{q}\mu^\varphi$  and  $x_\varepsilon \bar{q}\eta^\varphi)$ ;
- (2)  $\varphi.FT_2$  or  $F$ -Hausdorff iff for any  $x_\varepsilon, y_\nu \in S(X)$  and  $x \neq y$ ,  $(\exists \mu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\exists \eta \in N_Q(y_\nu))(\mu^\varphi \cap \eta^\varphi = \emptyset)$ ;
- (3)  $\varphi.FR_2$  or  $R$ -regular iff  $(\forall x_\varepsilon \in S(X))(\forall \mu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\exists \eta \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\eta^\varphi \subseteq \mu)$ .

**Theorem 2.16** [8]. A fts  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\varphi.FR_2$  iff  $\tau = \tau_\varphi$ .

### 3. Fuzzy $\varphi\psi$ -continuous mappings

In the remainder of this paper, by  $(X, \tau, \varphi)$  and  $(Y, \Delta, \psi)$  we mean  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \Delta)$  are fts's,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are operations on  $\tau$  and  $\Delta$  respectively.

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $f$  from  $(X, \tau, \varphi)$  into  $(Y, \Delta, \psi)$  is called  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous iff  $(\forall x_\varepsilon \in S(X))(\forall \eta \in N_Q(f(x_\varepsilon)))(\exists \nu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(f(\nu^\varphi) \subseteq \eta^\psi)$ .

**Examples 3.2.**

- (1) For  $\varphi = \iota = \psi$ ,  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity coincides with  $F$ -continuity [2];
- (2) for  $\varphi = \text{cl} = \psi$ ,  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity coincides with  $F.\theta$ -continuity [6];
- (3) for  $\varphi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl} = \psi$ ,  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity coincides with  $F.\delta$ -continuity [4];
- (4) for  $\varphi = \iota$  and  $\psi = \text{cl}$ ,  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity coincides with  $F$ -weak-continuity [1];
- (5) for  $\varphi = \text{cl}$  and  $\psi = \iota$ ,  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity coincides with  $F$ -strong  $\theta$ -continuity [7];
- (6) for  $\varphi = \iota$  and  $\psi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$ ,  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity coincides with  $F$ -almost continuity [1, 4];
- (7) for  $\varphi = \text{cl}$  and  $\psi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$ ,  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous is called  $F$ -almost strong  $\theta$ -continuous;
- (8) for  $\varphi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$ , and  $\psi = \iota$   $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous is called  $F$ -super-continuous;
- (9) for  $\varphi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$ , and  $\psi = \text{cl}$ ,  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous is called  $F$ -weakly  $\theta$ -continuous.

The next theorem characterizes fuzzy  $\varphi\psi$ -continuous mappings in terms of the  $\varphi$ -interior ( $\psi$ -interior) and  $\varphi$ -closed ( $\psi$ -closed) of fuzzy sets.

**Theorem 3.3.** For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous;
- (2)  $(\forall \eta \in \Delta)(f^{-1}(\eta) \subseteq \text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi})))$ ;
- (3)  $(\forall \mu \in I^X)(f(\text{cl}_{\varphi}(\mu)) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\psi}(f(\mu)))$ ;
- (4)  $(\forall \eta \in I^Y)(\text{cl}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\psi}(\eta)))$ ;
- (5)  $(\forall \eta \in I^Y)(f^{-1}(\text{int}_{\psi}(\eta)) \subseteq \text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta)))$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\implies$  (2): Let  $\eta \in \Delta$  and  $x_{\varepsilon}qf^{-1}(\eta)$ . Then  $f(x_{\varepsilon})q\eta$ . By (1),  $(\exists \nu \in N_Q(x_{\varepsilon}))(f(\nu^{\varphi}) \subseteq \eta^{\psi})$  and hence  $\nu^{\varphi} \subseteq f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi})$  which implies that  $x_{\varepsilon}q\text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi}))$ . Thus by Prop. 2.1 (6), we have  $f^{-1}(\eta) \subseteq \text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi}))$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (3): Let  $\mu \in I^X$  and  $f(x_{\varepsilon}) \not\subseteq \text{cl}_{\psi}(f(\mu))$ . Then  $(\exists \eta \in N_Q(f(x_{\varepsilon}))) (\eta^{\psi} \bar{q} f(\mu))$  and hence  $f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi}) \bar{q} \mu$  which implies  $\text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi})) \bar{q} \mu$ . From  $x_{\varepsilon}qf^{-1}(\eta)$  and by (2) we obtain  $(\exists \rho \in N_Q(x_{\varepsilon})) (\rho^{\varphi} \subseteq f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi}))$ . Hence  $\rho^{\varphi} \bar{q} \mu$  and so  $x_{\varepsilon} \not\subseteq \text{cl}_{\varphi}(\mu)$  which implies that  $f(x_{\varepsilon}) \not\subseteq f(\text{cl}_{\varphi}(\mu))$ . Thus  $f(\text{cl}_{\varphi}(\mu)) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\psi}(f(\mu))$ .

(3)  $\implies$  (4): Let  $\eta \in I^Y$ . From  $ff^{-1}(\eta) \subseteq \eta$ , we have  $\text{cl}_{\psi}(ff^{-1}(\eta)) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\psi}(\eta)$ . By (3), we have  $f(\text{cl}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta))) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\psi}(ff^{-1}(\eta)) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\psi}(\eta)$ . Thus we have  $\text{cl}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\psi}(\eta))$ .

(4)  $\implies$  (5): Let  $\eta \in I^Y$  and  $x_{\varepsilon}qf^{-1}(\text{int}_{\psi}(\eta))$ . Then  $x_{\varepsilon} \not\subseteq \text{co}(\text{int}_{\psi}(\eta)) = f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\psi}(\text{co}(\eta)))$ . By (4), we have  $x_{\varepsilon} \not\subseteq \text{cl}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\text{co}(\eta))) = \text{co}(\text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta)))$  and hence  $x_{\varepsilon}q\text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta))$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(\text{int}_{\psi}(\eta)) \subseteq \text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta))$ .

(5)  $\implies$  (1): Let  $x_{\varepsilon} \in S(X)$  and  $\eta \in N_Q(x_{\varepsilon})$ . From  $\eta^{\psi} \bar{q} \text{co}(\eta^{\psi})$ , we have  $f(x_{\varepsilon}) \not\subseteq \text{cl}_{\psi}(\text{co}(\eta^{\psi})) = \text{co}(\text{int}_{\psi}(\eta^{\psi}))$  and hence  $f(x_{\varepsilon})q\text{int}_{\psi}(\eta^{\psi})$  which implies that  $x_{\varepsilon}qf^{-1}(\text{int}_{\psi}(\eta^{\psi}))$ . By (5), we have  $x_{\varepsilon}q\text{int}_{\varphi}(f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi}))$  and hence  $(\exists \mu \in N_Q(x_{\varepsilon}))(\mu^{\varphi} \subseteq f^{-1}(\eta^{\psi}))$  and so  $f(\mu^{\varphi}) \subseteq \eta^{\psi}$ .  $\diamond$

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  be a mapping. If  $(\forall x_{\varepsilon} \in S(X))(\forall \eta \in N_Q(f(x_{\varepsilon})))(\exists \mu \in N_Q(x_{\varepsilon}) \cap \tau_{\varphi})(f(\mu) \subseteq \eta^{\psi})$ , then  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  is an  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous mapping, then the inverse image of each  $\psi$ -closed ( $\psi$ -open) fuzzy set is  $\varphi$ -closed ( $\varphi$ -open).

The converse need not be true as can be seen from the following example.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  and  $\mu, \eta, \rho \in I^X$  defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \underline{0.6} & \rho &= \underline{0.3} \\ \eta(x) &= 0.6 & \eta(y) &= 0.7, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\underline{\alpha}$  denotes the constant mapping with value  $\alpha$ . Let  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \mu, \eta, \rho\}$  and  $\Delta = \{X, \emptyset, \eta, \rho\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \Delta)$  are fts's. Define  $\varphi : \tau \rightarrow I^X$  and  $\psi : \Delta \rightarrow I^X$  by:

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \begin{array}{l} X^\varphi = X \\ \emptyset^\varphi = \emptyset \\ \mu^\varphi = \mu \\ \eta^\varphi = \eta \\ \rho^\varphi = \underline{0.5} \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} X^\psi = X \\ \emptyset^\psi = \emptyset \\ \eta^\psi = \eta \\ \rho^\psi = \underline{0.4}. \end{array} \end{array}$$

Clearly  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are regular operations. Moreover one easily finds:  $\tau_\varphi = \{X, \emptyset, \mu, \eta\}$  and  $\Delta_\psi = \{X, \emptyset, \eta\}$ . Consider the identity mapping  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (X, \Delta, \psi)$ . Then the inverse image of each  $\psi$ -open is  $\varphi$ -open but  $f$  is not  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous. Indeed, for  $x_\varepsilon, \varepsilon = 0.8$  and  $\rho \in N_Q(f(x_\varepsilon))$  there is no  $\nu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon)$  such that  $f(\nu^\varphi) \subseteq \rho^\psi$ .

In the following theorem it is shown that  $\psi$ -regularity of the codomain space is a sufficient condition to obtain the converse of Cor. 3.5.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  be a mapping. If the inverse image of each  $\psi$ -open is  $\varphi$ -open and  $(Y, \Delta)$  is  $\psi.FR_2$ , then  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous.*

**Proof.** Let  $x_\varepsilon \in S(X)$  and  $\eta \in N_Q(f(x_\varepsilon))$ . From  $(Y, \Delta)$  is  $\psi.FR_2$  and Th. 2.16, we infer  $\eta \in \Delta_\psi$ . By hypothesis  $f^{-1}(\eta) \in \tau_\varphi$  and  $x_\varepsilon \in f^{-1}(\eta)$  and hence  $(\exists \mu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\mu^\varphi \subseteq f^{-1}(\eta))$  which implies that  $f(\mu^\varphi) \subseteq \eta \subseteq \eta^\psi$ . Thus  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 3.8.** *A mapping  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous iff  $(\forall x_\varepsilon \in S(X))(\forall \lambda_1 \in \Delta')$  and  $f(x_\varepsilon) \not\subseteq \lambda_1) (\exists \lambda_2 \in \tau')(x_\varepsilon \not\subseteq \lambda_2)$  and  $f(\lambda_2^{\varphi\sim}) \supseteq \lambda_1^{\psi\sim}$ , where  $\varphi\sim, \psi\sim$  are the dual operations of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  respectively.*

**Proof.** Straightforward.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 3.9.** *The axioms  $\varphi.FT_1$  and  $\varphi.FT_2$  are inverse invariant under a  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous injective mapping.*

**Proof.** As example, we prove the  $\varphi.FT_2$  inverse invariance. Let  $f$  be a  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous mapping from  $(X, \tau, \varphi)$  into  $(Y, \Delta, \psi)$ , where  $(Y, \Delta)$  is  $\psi.FT_2$ . Let  $x_\varepsilon, y_\nu \in S(X)$  with  $x \neq y$ . Since  $f$  is injective, we have  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . From  $(Y, \Delta)$  is  $\psi.FT_2$ , we obtain  $(\exists \eta_1 \in N_Q(f(x_\varepsilon)))(\exists \eta_2 \in N_Q(f(y_\nu)))(\eta_1^\psi \cap \eta_2^\psi = \emptyset)$ . By  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity of  $f$ ,  $(\exists \mu_1 \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\exists \mu_2 \in N_Q(y_\nu))(f(\mu_1^\varphi) \subseteq \eta_1^\psi)$  and  $f(\mu_2^\varphi) \subseteq \eta_2^\psi$ .

Hence  $f(\mu_1^\varphi) \cap f(\mu_2^\varphi) = \emptyset$  and so  $\mu_1^\varphi \cap \mu_2^\varphi = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\varphi.FT_2$ -fts.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 3.10.** *The axiom  $\varphi.FR_2$  is inverse invariant under a  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous,  $F$ -open and injective mapping.*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous,  $F$ -open and injective mapping from  $(X, \tau, \varphi)$  into  $(Y, \Delta, \psi)$ , where  $(Y, \Delta)$  is  $\psi.FR_2$ . Let  $x_\varepsilon \in S(X)$  and  $\mu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon)$ . From  $f$  is  $F$ -open, we have  $f(\mu) \in N_Q(f(x_\varepsilon))$ . Since  $(Y, \Delta)$  is  $\psi.FR_2$ , we obtain  $(\exists \eta \in N_Q(f(x_\varepsilon)))(\eta^\psi \subseteq f(\mu))$ . By  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity of  $f$ ,  $(\exists \nu \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(f(\nu^\varphi) \subseteq \eta^\psi)$ . Hence,  $\nu^\varphi = f^{-1}f(\nu^\varphi) \subseteq f^{-1}(\eta^\psi) \subseteq f^{-1}f(\mu) = \mu$  ( $f$  being injective). Thus,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\varphi.FR_2$ -fts.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 3.11.** *If  $f, g : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  are  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous mappings,  $\varphi$  is regular and  $(Y, \Delta)$  is  $\psi.FT_2$ , then the set  $\mu = \cup\{x_\varepsilon \mid x_\varepsilon \in I^X \text{ and } f(x_\varepsilon) = g(x_\varepsilon)\}$  is  $\varphi$ -closed in  $X$  and if  $\text{cl}_\varphi(\mu) = X$  and  $(\forall x_\varepsilon \subseteq \mu)(f(x_\varepsilon) = g(x_\varepsilon))$ , then  $f = g$ .*

**Proof.** For any  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x_\varepsilon) = g(x_\varepsilon)$  iff  $f(x) = g(x)$ . Hence, if  $x_\varepsilon \not\subseteq \mu$ , we have  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ . Since  $(Y, \Delta)$  is  $\psi.FT_2$ , then  $(\exists \eta_1 \in N_Q(f(x_\varepsilon)))(\exists \eta_2 \in N_Q(g(x_\varepsilon)))(\eta_1^\psi \cap \eta_2^\psi = \emptyset)$ . By  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuity of  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $(\exists \nu_1, \nu_2 \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(f(\nu_1^\varphi) \subseteq \eta_1^\psi \text{ and } g(\nu_2^\varphi) \subseteq \eta_2^\psi)$ . Then  $f(\nu_1^\varphi) \cap g(\nu_2^\varphi) = \emptyset$ .

Now, since  $\varphi$  is regular then  $(\exists \rho \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\rho^\varphi \subseteq \nu_1^\varphi \cap \nu_2^\varphi)$ . In the light of  $\eta_1^\psi \cap \eta_2^\psi = \emptyset$ , it is easily seen that  $\rho^\varphi \cap \mu = \emptyset$  and hence  $\rho^\varphi \bar{q} \mu$  which implies that  $x_\varepsilon \not\subseteq \text{cl}_\varphi(\mu)$ . Thus  $\mu$  is  $\varphi$ -closed. Finally, since  $\mu = \text{cl}_\varphi(\mu) = X$ , we have  $(\forall x \in X)(\exists x_\varepsilon \subseteq \mu)(f(x_\varepsilon) = g(x_\varepsilon))$  and consequently  $(\forall x \in X)(f(x) = g(x))$ . Thus  $f = g$ .  $\diamond$

#### 4. Fuzzy $\varphi\psi$ -open and $\varphi\psi$ -closed mappings

**Definition 4.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  is called:

- (1)  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open iff for every  $\mu \in I^X$ ,  $f(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu)) \subseteq \text{int}_\psi(f(\mu))$ ;
- (2)  $F.\varphi\psi$ -closed iff for every  $\mu \in I^X$ ,  $\text{cl}_\psi(f(\mu)) \subseteq f(\text{cl}_\varphi(\mu))$ .

**Examples 4.2.**

- (1) If  $\varphi = \iota$  and  $\psi = \iota$ , then  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open ( $F.\varphi\psi$ -closed) mapping coincides with  $F$ -open ( $F$ -closed) [2];
- (2) when  $\varphi = \text{cl}$  and  $\psi = \text{cl}$ , then  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open ( $F.\varphi\psi$ -closed) mapping is called  $F.\theta$ -open ( $F.\theta$ -closed);
- (3) if  $\varphi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$  and  $\psi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$ , then  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open ( $F.\varphi\psi$ -closed)



mapping is called  $F.\delta$ -open ( $F.\delta$ -closed).

**Theorem 4.3.** *If a mapping  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open ( $F.\varphi\psi$ -closed), then the image of every  $\varphi$ -open ( $\varphi$ -closed) fuzzy set is  $\psi$ -open ( $\psi$ -closed). The converse is true if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\varphi.FR_2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mu \in \tau_\varphi$ . Then  $\mu = \text{int}_\varphi(\mu)$  and hence  $f(\mu) = f(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu))$ . Since  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open, we have  $f(\mu) \subseteq \text{int}_\psi(f(\mu))$  and hence  $f(\mu) \in \Delta_\psi$ . Conversely, if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\varphi.FR_2$ , then by Th. 2.16, we have  $(\forall \mu \in I^X)(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu) \in \tau_\varphi)$  and hence  $f(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu)) \in \Delta_\psi$  which implies that  $f(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu)) \subseteq \text{int}_\psi(f(\mu))$ . Proof of other case can be given in similar way.  $\diamond$

The next example shows that  $\varphi.FR_2$  is needed in the statement Th. 4.3.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$ ,  $\mu, \nu, \eta, \rho \in I^X$  defined by:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mu(x) = 0.4 & \mu(y) = 0.3 & \eta(x) = 0.7 & \eta(y) = 0.6 \\ \nu(x) = 0.6 & \nu(y) = 0.7 & \rho = \underline{0.4} & \end{array}$$

Let  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \mu, \nu\}$  and  $\Delta = \{X, \emptyset, \eta, \rho\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \Delta)$  are fts's. Define  $\varphi : \tau \rightarrow I^X$  and  $\psi : \Delta \rightarrow I^X$  by:

$$\begin{array}{cc|cc} X^\varphi = X & \emptyset^\varphi = \emptyset & X^\psi = X & \emptyset^\psi = \emptyset \\ \nu^\varphi = \nu & \mu^\varphi = \underline{0.4} & \eta^\psi = \eta & \rho^\psi = \underline{0.5}. \end{array}$$

Clearly  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are regular operations. Moreover one easily finds:  $\tau_\varphi = \{X, \emptyset, \nu\}$  and  $\Delta_\psi = \{X, \emptyset, \eta\}$  and hence  $\tau'_\varphi\{X, \emptyset, \mu\}$  and  $\Delta'_\psi = \{X, \emptyset, \text{co}(\eta)\}$ . Define  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (X, \Delta, \psi)$  satisfying  $f(x) = y$  and  $f(y) = x$ , then every image of  $\varphi$ -closed ( $\varphi$ -open) is  $\psi$ -closed ( $\psi$ -open), but  $f$  is not  $F.\varphi\psi$ -closed. Indeed, for  $\nu \in I^X$ , we have  $\text{cl}_\varphi(\nu) = \{(x, 0.6), (y, 0.9)\}$ . So,  $f(\text{cl}_\varphi(\nu)) = \{(x, 0.9), (y, 0.6)\}$ . Since  $f(\nu) = \eta$ , we have  $\text{cl}_\psi(f(\nu)) = \text{cl}_\psi(\eta) = \underline{0.9}$ . Hence  $\text{cl}_\psi(f(\nu)) \not\subseteq f(\text{cl}_\varphi(\nu))$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  be a mapping.*

- (1) *If  $(\forall \eta \in \tau)(f(\eta) \in \Delta \text{ and } f(\eta^\varphi) = (f(\eta))^\psi)$ , then  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open.*
- (2) *If  $(\forall \lambda \in \tau')(f(\lambda) \in \Delta' \text{ and } f(\lambda^\varphi) = (f(\lambda))^\psi)$ , then  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -closed.*

**Proof.** (1) Let  $\mu \in I^X$  and  $y_\nu q f(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu))$ . Then  $(\exists x_\varepsilon \subseteq f^{-1}(y_\nu)) (x_\varepsilon q \text{int}_\varphi(\mu))$  and hence  $(\exists \eta \in N_Q(x_\varepsilon))(\eta^\varphi \subseteq \mu)$ . From hypothesis we obtain that  $f(\eta) \in N_Q(y_\nu)$  and  $(f(\eta))^\psi \subseteq f(\mu)$  and hence  $y_\nu q \text{int}_\psi(f(\mu))$ . Thus  $f(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu)) \subseteq \text{int}_\psi(f(\mu))$ . The proof of (2) is similar.  $\diamond$

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  be a mapping.

- (1) If  $(\forall \eta \in \tau)(f(\eta) \in \Delta \text{ and } f(\eta^\varphi) = (f(\eta))^\psi)$ , then the image of every  $\varphi$ -open fuzzy set is  $\psi$ -open.
- (2) If  $(\forall \lambda \in \tau')(f(\lambda) \in \Delta' \text{ and } f(\lambda^{\varphi\sim}) = (f(\lambda))^{\psi\sim})$ , then the image of every  $\varphi$ -closed fuzzy set is  $\psi$ -closed.

The following example shows that the converse of Cor. 4.6 is not true in general.

**Example 4.7.** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$ ,  $\mu, \nu, \eta, \rho, \sigma \in I^X$  defined by:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mu(x) = 0.5 & \mu(y) = 0.6 & \nu(x) = 0.8 & \nu(y) = 0.9 \\ \eta(x) = 0.5 & \eta(y) = 0.4 & \rho(x) = 0.4 & \rho(y) = 0.6 \\ \sigma = \underline{0.4}. \end{array}$$

Let  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \mu, \eta, \rho, \sigma\}$  and  $\Delta = \{X, \emptyset, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \Delta)$  are fts's. Define  $\varphi : \tau \rightarrow I^X$  and  $\psi : \Delta \rightarrow I^X$  by:

$$\begin{array}{cc|cc} X^\varphi = X & \emptyset^\varphi = \emptyset & X^\psi = X & \emptyset^\psi = \emptyset \\ \mu^\varphi = \underline{0.7} & \eta^\varphi = \eta & \mu^\psi = \underline{0.6} & \nu^\psi = \nu \\ \rho^\varphi = \rho & \sigma^\varphi = \sigma & \rho^\psi = \rho & \sigma^\psi = \sigma. \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are regular operations and  $\tau_\varphi = \tau$  and  $\Delta_\psi = \Delta$ . Consider the identity mapping  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (X, \Delta, \psi)$ . It is easy to see that the image of every  $\varphi$ -open fuzzy set is  $\psi$ -open (and hence  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open, since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\varphi.FR_2$ ), but for  $\mu \in \tau$  we have  $f(\mu) \in \Delta$  and  $f(\mu^\varphi) \neq (f(\mu))^\psi$ .

**Definition 4.8.** A bijective mapping  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  is called  $F.\varphi\psi$ -homeomorphism iff both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous.

**Example 4.9.**

- (1) If  $\varphi = \iota$  and  $\psi = \iota$ , then  $F.\varphi\psi$ -homeomorphism coincides with  $F$ -homeomorphism [2].
- (2) If  $\varphi = \text{cl}$  and  $\psi = \text{cl}$ , then  $F.\varphi\psi$ -homeomorphism is called  $F.\theta$ -homeomorphism.
- (3) If  $\varphi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$  and  $\psi = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$ , then  $F.\varphi\psi$ -homeomorphism is called  $F.\delta$ -homeomorphism.

**Theorem 4.10.** If  $f : (X, \tau, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, \Delta, \psi)$  is bijective, then the following properties of  $f$  are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -homeomorphism;
- (2)  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous and  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open;
- (3)  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous and  $F.\varphi\psi$ -closed;

(4)  $(\forall \mu \in I^X)(f(\text{cl}_\varphi(\mu)) = \text{cl}_\psi(f(\mu)))$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\implies$  (2): Let  $\mu \in I^X$ . From  $f^{-1}$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -continuous, we have  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu)) \subseteq \text{int}_\psi((f^{-1})^{-1}(\mu))$  and hence  $f(\text{int}_\varphi(\mu)) \subseteq \text{int}_\psi(f(\mu))$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (3): Let  $\mu \in I^X$ . From  $f$  is  $F.\varphi\psi$ -open and bijective, we obtain that  $f(\text{int}_\varphi(\text{co}(\mu))) \subseteq \text{int}_\psi(f(\text{co}(\mu)))$  and hence  $\text{co}(f(\text{cl}_\varphi(\mu))) \subseteq \text{co}(\text{cl}_\psi(f(\mu)))$  which implies that  $\text{cl}_\psi(f(\mu)) \subseteq f(\text{cl}_\varphi(\mu))$ .

(3)  $\implies$  (4) and (4)  $\implies$  (1) can be easily proved.  $\diamond$

## 5. Good extensions

**Definition 5.1** [13]. A property  $P_f$  of a fts is said to be a *good extension* of the property  $P$  in classical topology iff whenever the fts is topologically generated (induced) say by  $(X, T)$ , then  $(X, \omega(T))$  has property  $P_f$  iff  $(X, T)$  has property  $P$ .

**Theorem 5.2** [8]. Let  $(X, T)$  be a topological space and  $\varphi$  be an operation on  $T$ . Consider the induced fuzzy topological space  $(X, \omega(T))$  and the operation  $\varphi_\omega : \omega(T) \rightarrow I^X$  defined by:  $(\forall \mu \in \omega(T))(\mu^{\varphi_\omega} = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha < h(\mu)} (\underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}})^\varphi})$ , where  $h(\mu) = \sup_{x \in X} \mu(x)$ . Then:

$$(1) \omega(T_\varphi) = (\omega(T))_{\varphi_\omega};$$

$$(2) \text{cl}_{\varphi_\omega}(1_A) = 1_{\text{cl}_\varphi(A)};$$

$$(3) \text{int}_{\varphi_\omega}(1_A) = 1_{\text{int}_\varphi(A)};$$

$$(4) \text{cl}_{\varphi_\omega}(\mu) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha < h(\mu)} (\underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{\text{cl}_\varphi(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}})}), \forall \mu \in I^X;$$

$$(5) \text{int}_{\varphi_\omega}(\mu) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha < h(\mu)} (\underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{\text{int}_\varphi(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}})}), \forall \mu \in I^X.$$

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping,  $\mu \in I^X$ ,  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq Y$ . Then the following relations hold:

$$(1) f^{-1}(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}) = (f^{-1}(\mu))_{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

$$(2) f(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}) = (f(\mu))_{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

$$(3) f^{-1}(1_B) = 1_{f^{-1}(B)}.$$

$$(4) f(1_A) = 1_{f(A)}.$$

**Theorem 5.4.** A mapping  $f : (X, T_1, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, T_2, \psi)$  is  $\varphi\psi$ -continuous iff  $f : (X, \omega(T_1), \varphi_\omega) \rightarrow (Y, \omega(T_2), \psi_\omega)$  is  $F.\varphi_\omega\psi_\omega$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $\mu \in (\omega(T_2))_{\psi_\omega}$ . From Th. 5.2 (1), we have  $\mu \in \omega((T_2)_\psi)$ . Then  $(\forall \alpha \in [0, 1[)(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}} \in (T_2)_\psi)$ . From  $f$  is  $\varphi\psi$ -continuous and Prop.

5.3 (1), we have  $(\forall \alpha \in [0, 1[)(f^{-1}(\mu))_{\bar{\alpha}} \in (T_1)_{\varphi}$  and hence  $f^{-1}(\mu) \in \omega((T_1)_{\varphi}) = (\omega(T_1))_{\varphi_{\omega}}$ . Thus  $f$  is  $F.\varphi_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}$ -continuous. Conversely, let  $B \in (T_2)_{\psi}$ . Then by Th. 5.2 (1),  $1_B \in (\omega(T_2))_{\psi_{\omega}}$ . Since  $f$  is  $F.\varphi_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}$ -continuous, we have  $f^{-1}(1_B) = 1_{f^{-1}(B)} \in \omega((T_1)_{\varphi})$  and hence  $f^{-1}(B) \in (T_1)_{\varphi}$ . Thus is  $\varphi\psi$ -continuous.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 5.5.** *A mapping  $f : (X, T_1, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, T_2, \psi)$  is  $\varphi\psi$ -open iff  $f : (X, \omega(T_1), \varphi_{\omega}) \rightarrow (Y, \omega(T_2), \psi_{\omega})$  is  $F.\varphi_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}$ -open.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mu \in I^X$ . Then  $(\forall \alpha \in [0, 1[)(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}} \subseteq X)$ . From  $f$  is  $\varphi\psi$ -open, it follows  $f(\text{int}_{\varphi}(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}})) \subseteq \text{int}_{\psi}(f(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}))$ . Then we obtain successively:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{f(\text{int}_{\varphi}(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}))} &\subseteq 1_{\text{int}_{\psi}(f(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}))}, & \underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{f(\text{int}_{\varphi}(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}))} &\subseteq \underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{\text{int}_{\psi}(f(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}))}, \\ \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha < h(\mu)} (\underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{f(\text{int}_{\varphi}(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}))}) &\subseteq \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha < h(\mu)} (\underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{\text{int}_{\psi}(f(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}))}), \\ f\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha < h(\mu)} (\underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{\text{int}_{\varphi}(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}})})\right) &\subseteq \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha < h(\mu)} (\underline{\alpha} \cap 1_{\text{int}_{\psi}(f(\mu_{\bar{\alpha}}))}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $f(\text{int}_{\varphi_{\omega}}(\mu)) \subseteq \text{int}_{\psi_{\omega}}(f(\mu))$  and hence  $f$  is  $F.\varphi_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}$ -open.

Conversely, let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $1_A \in I^X$  and so  $f(\text{int}_{\varphi_{\omega}}(1_A)) \subseteq \text{int}_{\psi_{\omega}}(f(1_A))$ . Then we have successively:

$$f(1_{\text{int}_{\varphi}(A)}) \subseteq \text{int}_{\psi_{\omega}}(1_{f(A)}), \quad 1_{f(\text{int}_{\varphi}(A))} \subseteq 1_{\text{int}_{\psi}(f(A))}.$$

Then  $f(\text{int}_{\varphi}(A)) \subseteq \text{int}_{\psi}(f(A))$  and hence  $f$  is  $\varphi\psi$ -open.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 5.6.** *A mapping  $f : (X, T_1, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, T_2, \psi)$  is  $\varphi\psi$ -closed iff  $f : (X, \omega(T_1), \varphi_{\omega}) \rightarrow (Y, \omega(T_2), \psi_{\omega})$  is  $F.\varphi_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}$ -closed.*

**Proof.** It is similar to that of Th. 5.5.  $\diamond$

With the results seen above we conclude that:

**Theorem 5.7.**  *$f : (X, T_1, \varphi) \rightarrow (Y, T_2, \psi)$  is  $\varphi\psi$ -homeomorphism iff  $f : (X, \omega(T_1), \varphi_{\omega}) \rightarrow (Y, \omega(T_2), \psi_{\omega})$  is  $F.\varphi_{\omega}\psi_{\omega}$ -homeomorphism.*

## References

- [1] AZAD, K. K.: On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **82** (1981), 14–32.
- [2] CHANG, C. L.: Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **245** (1968), 182–190.
- [3] GANGULY, S. and SAHA, S.: A note on  $\delta$ -continuity and  $\delta$ -connected sets in fuzzy set theory, *Simon Stevin* **62** (2) (1988), 127–141.

- [4] GEPING, W. and HU LANFANG,: On introduced fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **108** (1985), 495–506.
- [5] JANKOVIC, D. S.: Properties of  $\alpha\beta$ -continuous functions, Private communication (1981).
- [6] KANDIL, A., KERRE, E. E., NOUH, A. A. and EL-SHAFEI, M. E.: Fuzzy  $\theta$ -perfect irreducible mappings and fuzzy  $\theta$ -proximity spaces, in *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **45** (1992), 93–102.
- [7] KANDIL, A., KERRE, E. E., EL-SHAFEI, M. E. and NOUH, A. A.: Fuzzy strongly  $\theta$ -continuous mappings, *Proceedings of the Assiut First International Conference of Mathematics and Statistics* (1990), Part VIII, 97–114.
- [8] KANDIL, A., KERRE, E. E., NOUH, A. A. and EL-SHAFEI, M. E.: Operations and mappings on fuzzy topological spaces, *Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles* **105/ 4** (1991), 167–188.
- [9] KASAHARA, S.: Operation-compact spaces, *Math. Japonica* **24** (1) (1979), 97–105.
- [10] KERRE, E. E.: A call for crispness in fuzzy set theory, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **29** (1989), 57–65.
- [11] KERRE, E. E.: Fuzzy sets and approximate reasoning, Lecture notes, University of Gent, Belgium (1988), 266 p., private edition.
- [12] LOWEN, R.: A comparison of different compactness notions in fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **64** (1978), 446–454.
- [13] PU PAO-MING and LIU YING-MING: Fuzzy topology I, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **76** (1980), 571–599.
- [14] SAHA, S.: Fuzzy  $\delta$ -continuous mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **126** (1987), 130–142.
- [15] WARREN, R. H.: Neighbourhoods, bases and continuity in fuzzy topological spaces, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **8** (1978), 459–470.