

Mathematica Pannonica
2/1 (1991), 37 – 48

CENTRALIZER NEAR-RINGS ACTING ON SE-GROUPS

C.J. Maxson

Mathematics Department, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843 USA.

K.C. Smith

Mathematics Department, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843 USA.

Received November 1989

AMS Subject Classification: 16 A 76

Keywords: centralizer near-rings, matrix near-rings

Abstract: Let $N=C(A, G)$ be a centralizer near-ring determined by a group A of automorphisms of the group G such that the identity of N is the sum of a finite number of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents, e_i . A group M is called an SE-group for N if N acts as a semigroup of endomorphisms on M with an additional "strong" property for the idempotents, e_i . In this paper we investigate the structure of the centralizer near-ring $C(N, M)$ and as an application obtain a near-ring analogue to a well known matrix theory result.

1. Introduction

Let R be a ring with 1. Then R forms a left unital R -module ${}_R R$ and a right unital R -module R_R . With each of these R -modules

we have the ring $\text{End}_R({}_R R)$ of R -endomorphisms of ${}_R R$ and the ring $\text{End}_R(R_R)$ of R -endomorphisms of R_R . It is easy to see that $\text{End}_R({}_R R)$ is anti-isomorphic to R and $\text{End}_R(R_R)$ is isomorphic to R .

This left-right duality for rings fails for near-rings. Let N be a (right) zero-symmetric near-ring with 1 which does not satisfy the left distributive law. Then ${}_N N$ is a left unital N -module (see Pilz [6] or Meldrum [4] for near-ring terminology and basic facts). However, since N does not satisfy the left distributive law, there exist elements n_1, n_2 and n_3 in N such that $n_1(n_2 + n_3) \neq n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_3$, violating a right N -module axiom.

The near-ring analogy to $\text{End}_R({}_R R)$ is the set $\text{Map}_N({}_N N)$ consisting of all maps f from N into N such that $f(nm) = n f(m)$ for all n in N and all m in ${}_N N$. As in the ring case $\text{Map}_N({}_N N)$ consists precisely of right multiplication maps by elements of N , but if N does not satisfy the left distributive law then, under function addition and function composition, $\text{Map}_N({}_N N)$ does not form a near-ring since it is not closed under addition.

Using ${}_N N$ we see that $\text{Map}_N({}_N N)$ consists of left multiplication maps by elements of N and it forms a (right) near-ring under function addition and function composition. The near-ring N acts on ${}_N N$ as a semigroup (under function composition) of endomorphisms of the group $(N_N, +)$. For if n_1, n_2 are in ${}_N N$ and n is in N then $(n_1 + n_2)n = n_1 n + n_2 n$. Since the left multiplication maps $\text{Map}_N({}_N N)$ are precisely the functions on N that commute with the right multiplication maps so $\text{Map}_N({}_N N)$ is the centralizer near-ring $C(N, N_N)$ where N acts on ${}_N N$ as a semigroup of endomorphisms via right multiplication. (See [2] for details about centralizer near-rings $C(S, G)$ where S is a semigroup of endomorphisms of the group G .)

The prototype of a finite-ring is the centralizer near-ring $C(A, G)$ where G is a finite group and A is a group of automorphisms of G (see [2]). If $N = C(A, G)$ then the identity 1 of N is the sum of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents, $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_t$. Moreover we have, for every i, j with $i \neq j$, $n(e_i + e_j) = n e_i + n e_j$ for all n in N , and $e_i + e_j = e_j + e_i$. This implies that if n belongs to N such that $n e_i = 0$ for every i then $n = 0$. With this in mind we have the following definition where $N = C(A, G)$.

Definition. If $N = C(A, G)$ with $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_t$ as above, then a group $(M, +)$ is an *SE-group (strong endomorphism group)* for N if

there is a composition $M \times N$ to M such that

- (a) $(m_1 + m_2)n = m_1n + m_2n$ for every m_1, m_2 in M and n in N ,
- (b) $(mn_1)n_2 = m(n_1n_2)$ for every m in M and n_1, n_2 in N ,
- (c) $m1 = m$ for every m in M ,
- (d) $m0 = 0$ for every m in M , and
- (e) if m in M is such that $me_i = 0$ for all i , then $m = 0$.

Thus, when M is an SE-group for $N = C(A, G)$, the first four axioms require that N acts as a semigroup of endomorphisms on M with 1 acting as the identity map and 0 as the zero map, while the "strong" property (e) leads to $m(e_i + e_j) = me_i + me_j$ for all i, j with $i \neq j$.

We note that if M_1 and M_2 are SE-groups for N then so is $M_1 + M_2$. Also if R is a right ideal of N then R is an SE-group for N . In particular, N_N is an SE-group for N . Moreover, with each SE-group M for N we have the corresponding centralizer near-ring $C(N, M)$.

It is the purpose of this article to investigate the structure of the near-ring $C(N, M)$ where N is a finite centralizer near-ring of the type $C(A, G)$, A is a group of automorphisms of G and M is an SE-group for N . In the next section we focus on the case where $N = C(A, G)$ is a simple near-ring. In section 3 we present two general results and in the final section we use a theorem of A.P.J. van der Walt ([8]) to obtain a near-ring analogue of a well-known matrix theory result.

2. Structure of $C(N, M)$, N simple

In this section N represents a finite centralizer near-ring $C(A, G)$ where A is a group of automorphisms of the finite group G and $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_t$ where the e_i 's are mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents. We recall that if N is simple then there exists a group G and a fixed point free group A of automorphisms of G such that N is isomorphic to $C(A, G)$.

Lemma 1. *Let $N = C(A, G)$ with $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_t$ and let M be an SE-group for N . If f belongs to $C(N, M)$ then*

- (a) $f(Me_i)$ is a subset of Me_i for every i and
- (b) $f(m_1e_1 + m_2e_2 + \cdots + m_te_t) = f(m_1e_1) + f(m_2e_2) + \cdots + f(m_te_t)$ for all m_i in M .

Proof. (a) For m in M , $f(me_i) = f(me_i e_i) = f(me_i)e_i$ which is in Me_i .

(b) $f(m_1 e_1 + m_2 e_2 + \cdots + m_t e_t) = f(m_1 e_1 + m_2 e_2 + \cdots + m_t e_t)(e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_t) = f(m_1 e_1 + m_2 e_2 + \cdots + m_t e_t)e_1 + f(m_1 e_1 + m_2 e_2 + \cdots + m_t e_t)e_2 + \cdots + f(m_1 e_1 + m_2 e_2 + \cdots + m_t e_t)e_t = f(me_1) + f(me_2) + \cdots + f(me_t)$. \diamond

Lemma 2. *If N is simple with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is fixed point free then f in $C(N, M)$ is completely determined by its action on the set Me_1 .*

Proof. For $i \neq 1$ there exist elements e_{i1} and e_{1i} in N such that $e_{i1}e_{1i} = e_i$, $e_{1i}e_{i1} = e_1$, $e_{i1}e_1 = e_{i1}$ and $e_{1i}e_i = e_{1i}$. We have $Me_i = Me_{i1}e_{1i}$ and so $f(me_i) = f(me_{i1}e_{1i}) = f(me_{i1})e_{1i}$. Since me_{i1} belongs to Me_1 so $f(me_{i1})$ is known and f is determined on Me_i . Since M is a sum of the Me_i 's, f is determined on M by Lemma 1, part (b). We note that the extension of f is unique, for if $f(Me_1) = \{0\}$ then $f(me_i) = f(me_{i1})e_{1i} = 0$ and so f is the zero map. \diamond

Our first theorem characterizes $C(N, M)$ when N is simple.

Theorem 1. *Let $N = C(A, G)$ be a finite simple near-ring where A is a fixed point free group of automorphisms of G . Let M be an SE-group for N . Then $C(N, M)$ is isomorphic to $C(N_{11}^*, Me_1)$ where N_{11}^* is the set of nonzero elements in $e_1 N e_1$ and acts on Me_1 by right multiplication.*

Proof. Define ψ from $C(N, M)$ to $C(N_{11}^*, Me_1)$ by $\psi(f) = f$ restricted to the set Me_1 . By Lemma 1, $\psi(f)$ is a function on the group Me_1 . Since f belongs to $C(N, M)$, $f(me_1)n_{11} = f(me_1 n_{11})$ where n_{11} is in N_{11}^* . This means $\psi(f)$ belongs to $C(N_{11}^*, Me_1)$. The function ψ is one-to-one by Lemma 3. That ψ preserves sums and products in $C(N, M)$ is easily checked.

It remains to show that ψ is onto. To this end, select g in $C(N_{11}^*, Me_1)$. The function g is already defined on Me_1 and we need to extend g to all of M . Define g on Me_i as follows: $g(me_i) = g(me_{i1})e_{1i}$. We show that g is well defined. For suppose $m_1 e_i = m_2 e_i$, m_1, m_2 in M . Then $(m_1 e_{i1} - m_2 e_{i1})e_{1i} = 0$. Hence $(m_1 e_{i1} - m_2 e_{i1})e_1 = 0$ and since $(m_1 e_{i1} - m_2 e_{i1})e_j = 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, t$, we have $m_1 e_{i1} - m_2 e_{i1} = 0$ by property (e) of the definition of SE-group. Extend g to all of M additively, that is $g(m) = g(me_1 + me_2 + \cdots + me_t) = g(me_1) + g(me_2) + \cdots + g(me_t)$. It remains to show that the extended function g belongs to $C(N, M)$, i.e. that $g(mn) = g(m)n$ for every m in M and

n in N . We have $g(mn) = g(mne_1) + g(mne_2) + \dots + g(mne_t)$ and $g(m)n = g(m)ne_1 + g(m)ne_2 + \dots + g(m)ne_t$, so it suffices to show that $g(mne_i) = g(m)ne_i$ for each i . Since N is a centralizer near-ring, $ne_i = e_jne_i$ for some index j (which depends on n). So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(mne_i) &= g(me_jne_i) \\
 &= g(me_{j_1}e_{1j}ne_{i_1}e_{1i}) \\
 &= g(me_{j_1}(e_{1j}ne_{i_1}))e_{1i} \quad (\text{definition of } g) \\
 &= g(me_{j_1}(e_{1j}ne_{i_1}))(ne_{1i}) \quad (g \text{ belongs to } C(N_{11}^*, Me_1)) \\
 &= g(me_{j_1})(e_{1j}ne_i) \\
 &= g(me_{j_1}e_{1j})ne_i \quad (\text{definition of } g \text{ on } Me_j) \\
 &= g(me_j)ne_i \\
 &= [g(me_1) + g(me_2) + \dots + g(me_t)]ne_i \quad (\text{since } ne_i = e_jne_i \text{ and} \\
 &\quad g(me_j) \text{ belongs to } Me_j \text{ for each } j) \\
 &= g(m)ne_i. \quad \diamond
 \end{aligned}$$

We remark that since centralizer near-rings are zero-symmetric, $C(N_{11}^*, Me_1) = C(e_1Ne_1, Me_1)$. In the sequel we will often use this observation.

By specializing M we obtain several applications of Theorem 1. Our first application is obtained by letting $M = N_N$.

Lemma 3. *Let N be the finite simple near-ring $C(A, G)$ where A is a fixed point free group of automorphisms of G and $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_t$, mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents. Then*

- (a) N_{11}^* is a multiplicative group anti-isomorphic to A ,
- (b) Ne_1 is an additive group isomorphic to G ,
- (c) N_{11}^* acts on Ne_1 by right multiplication as a fixed point free group of automorphisms, and
- (d) $C(N_{11}^*, Ne_1)$ is isomorphic to $C(A, G)$.

Proof. (a) Let v_1 be a nonzero element in G such that $e_1(v_1) = v_1$. Then v_1 belongs to Av_1 and $N_{11}^* = \{f \text{ in } N \mid f(v_1) \text{ belongs to } Av_1 \text{ and } f(w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \text{ not in } Av_1\}$. Since A is fixed point free it follows that for every σ in A there exists a unique f in N_{11}^* such that $f(v_1) = \sigma v_1$. Define ψ from N_{11}^* to A by $\psi(f) = \sigma$ where $f(v_1) = \sigma v_1$. If f, g are in N_{11}^* with $f(v_1) = \sigma v_1$ and $g(v_1) = \sigma' v_1$ then $(gf)(v_1) = g(\sigma v_1) = \sigma g(v_1) = \sigma \sigma' v_1$. So $\psi(gf) = \sigma \sigma' = \psi(f)\psi(g)$. The function ψ is clearly one-to-one and onto.

(b) Since A is fixed point free, if $\sigma \neq 1$ belongs to A and if v is nonzero in G then $\sigma(v) \neq v$. This implies (see [2]) that if v_1 is as in part (a) then for every w in G there exists a function f in N such that $f(v_1) = w$ and $f(v) = 0$ for all v not Av_1 . Moreover f is unique with this property and f belongs to Ne_1 . Define φ from Ne_1 to G by $\varphi(f) = w$. Now φ is easily seen to be an isomorphism of Ne_1 onto G .

(c) If n_{11} belongs to N_{11}^* then define the map $R_{n_{11}}$ from Ne_1 to Ne_1 by $R_{n_{11}}(ne_1) = ne_1n_{11}$. The map $R_{n_{11}}$ is clearly an endomorphism of the group $(Ne_1, +)$. Moreover it is an automorphism, for if $R_{n_{11}}(ne_1) = 0$ then $ne_1n_{11} = 0$. But N_{11}^* is a group with identity e_1 under multiplication so n_{11} has an inverse n_{11}^{-1} and $0 = 0n_{11}^{-1} = (ne_1n_{11})n_{11}^{-1} = ne_1$, which implies $R_{n_{11}}$ is one-to-one. Since Ne_1 is finite the map is onto.

To show N_{11}^* acts fixed point freely on Ne_1 suppose $R_{n_{11}}(ne_1) = ne_1 \neq 0$. Then $ne_1 = ne_1n_{11}$. We have $ne_1 = e_jne_1$ for some j . Since N is simple there exists m_{ij} in e_1Ne_j such that $m_{1j}ne_1 = e_1$. This means $e_1 = m_{1j}ne_1 = m_{1j}ne_1n_{11}$, and $R_{n_{11}} = R_{e_1}$ which is the identity map on N_{11} .

The correspondence $R_{n_{11}}$ to n_{11} is an anti-isomorphism of $\{R_{n_{11}} | n_{11} \text{ belongs to } N_{11}^*\}$ with N_{11}^* and since the latter is anti-isomorphic to A , $\{R_{n_{11}} | n_{11} \text{ belongs to } N_{11}^*\}$ is isomorphic to A .

(d) To show $C(N_{11}^*, Ne_1)$ and $C(A, G)$ are isomorphic it suffices to show that the pair (N_{11}^*, Ne_1) is isomorphic to the pair (A, G) by way of a semi-linear transformation ψ from Ne_1 onto G (see Maxson and Smith [3] or Ramakotiah [7]). (Here N_{11}^* is identified with the right multiplication maps by elements of the set N_{11}^* .) Let ψ be the isomorphism from Ne_1 to G defined as in (b) and let β from $\{R_{n_{11}} | n_{11} \text{ belongs to } N_{11}^*\}$ to A be the isomorphism as developed in (c). Then $\psi(R_{n_{11}}f) = \psi(fn_{11}) = fn_{11}(v_1) = f(n_{11}v_1) = f(\beta v_1) = \beta f(v_1) = R_{n_{11}}^\beta \psi(f)$, and ψ is our desired one-to-one semi-linear transformation. \diamond

This leads to the following application of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *Let N be a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is fixed point free. Then $C(N, N_N)$ is isomorphic to N .*

Proof. By Theorem 1, $C(N, N_N)$ is isomorphic to $C(N_{11}^*, Ne_1)$ which is isomorphic to N by Lemma 3. \diamond

Corollary 2. *Let N be a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is fixed point free. If k is a positive integer let $N^k =$*

$= N \oplus N \oplus \dots \oplus N$ (k direct summands). Then $C(N, N^k)$ is isomorphic to $C(A, G^k)$. In particular $C(N, N^k)$ is simple.

Proof. By Theorem 1, $C(N, N^k)$ is isomorphic to $C(N_{11}^*, N^k e_1)$. As in the proof of Lemma 3, $N^k e_1$ is isomorphic to G^k and N_{11}^* acts on $N^k e_1$ fixed point freely by right multiplication. Also as in the proof of Lemma 1 the pairs $(N_{11}^*, N^k e_1)$ and (A, G^k) are isomorphic via a semi-linear transformation. So $C(N, N^k)$ is isomorphic to $C(A, G^k)$ and since A acts fixed point freely on G^k , $C(N, N^k)$ is simple. \diamond

If $N = C(A, G)$ and if R is a right ideal of N then $M = R$ is an SE-group for N . Our next application of Theorem 1 deals with this situation. First we describe the right ideals in the simple near-ring $N = C(A, G)$.

Lemma 4. Let N be a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is fixed point free. A nonempty subset R of N is a right ideal of N if and only if there exists an A -invariant subgroup H of G such that $R = e_H N$ where e_H in N is the idempotent map on G which is the identity on H and zero off H .

Proof. If H is an A -invariant subgroup of G and if $R = e_H N$ it is easily verified that R is a right ideal on N .

Now assume R is a right ideal of N . Let $H = \{w \text{ in } G \mid \text{there is a } v \text{ in } G \text{ and an } f \text{ in } R \text{ with } f(v) = w\}$. To show H is an A -invariant subgroup of G select $w \neq 0$ in H . Then there exists a $v \neq 0$ in G and an f in R such that $f(v) = w$. For β in A we have $f(\beta v) = \beta f(v) = \beta w$, an element of H . So H is A -invariant. Since N is simple and A is fixed point free, it follows that for every $v \neq 0$ in G and any u in G there exists an n in N such that $n(v) = u$. So if w belongs to H with $g(u) = w$ where g is in R then $g(u) = gn(v) = w$ and gn belongs to R since R is a right ideal. This means $H = Rv$ for every nonzero v in G . But Rv is clearly a group, so H is a subgroup of G .

Let e_H be the idempotent in N which is the identity on H and 0 off H . We show now that e_H belongs to R . For $h \neq 0$ in H let e_h be the idempotent in N which is the identity on Ah and 0 elsewhere. Since $Rh = h$ and $e_h(h) = h$ we have $Re_h h = H$. The elements (maps) of Re_h are all 0 off Ah , so there exists an re_h in Re_h such that $re_h(h) = h$ and re_h is 0 off Ah . This means $re_h = e_h$ and e_h belongs to R . Since e_h belongs to R for all nonzero h in H and since H is finite, e_H belongs to R (e_H is the sum of e_h 's, one h for each nonzero A -orbit in H). \diamond

Corollary 2. Let N be a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$

where A is a fixed point free group of automorphisms. Let $R = e_H N$ be a right ideal of N . Then $C(N, R)$ is isomorphic to $C(N_{11}^*, Re_1)$ which in turn is isomorphic to $C(A, h)$, a simple near-ring.

Proof. That $C(N, R)$ is isomorphic to $C(N_{11}^*, Re_1)$ is clear from Theorem 1. To see that N_{11}^* acts fixed point freely on the group Re_1 by right multiplication it is enough to use Lemma 3, part (c) since Re_1 is a subset of Ne_1 .

We have Re_1 isomorphic to H and as in the proof of Lemma 3, part (d) the pairs (N_{11}^*, Re_1) and (A, H) are isomorphic via a semi-linear transformation. So the simple near-ring $C(N_{11}^*, Re_1)$ is isomorphic to $C(A, H)$. \diamond

Corollary 4. Let N be a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is fixed point free. If $R = e_H N$ is a right ideal of N then for any positive integer k , $C(N, R^k)$ is isomorphic to $C(N_{11}^*, (Re_1)^k)$ which in turn is isomorphic to $C(A, H^k)$, a simple near-ring.

Proof. Similarly to that of Corollary 2. \diamond

Corollary 5. Let N be a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is fixed point free. If $R = e_H N$ is a right ideal of N such that $(R, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(N, +)$, then N acts on N/R by $(a + R)n = an + R$ and $C(N, N/R)$ is isomorphic to $C(N_{11}^*, (N/R)e_1)$ which in turn is isomorphic to the near-ring $C(A, G/H)$. Moreover $C(A, G/H)$ is simple.

Proof. The first isomorphism is from Theorem 1. Since $(R, +)$ is normal in $(N, +)$ so H is normal in G . One checks that $(N_{11}^*, (N/R)e_1)$ and $(A, G/H)$ are isomorphic via a semi-linear transformation.

To see that $C(A, G/H)$ is simple it suffices to see that A acts fixed point freely on G/H . Suppose $\beta \neq 1$ belongs to A and that $\beta(v + H) = v + H$. This means $-v + \beta v$ belongs to H . We recall (see [1]) that a fixed point free automorphism β on a finite group G has the property that every x in G has the unique form $-x + \beta x$. Since β acts fixed point freely on H and since $-v + \beta v$ belongs to H we have $-v + \beta v = -w + \beta w$ for some w in H . This implies $v = w$ and $v + H = H$, i.e. $v + H$ is the identity element of G/H . \diamond

Corollary 6. Let N be a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is fixed point free. If $R = e_H N$ is a right ideal of N such that $(R, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(N, +)$ and if k is a positive integer then $C(N, (N/R)^k)$ is isomorphic to $C(N_{11}^*, (N/R)^k)$ which in turn is isomorphic to $C(A, (G/H)^k)$.

Proof. Similar to that of Corollary 2. \diamond

If N is simple and if M is an SE-group then $C(N, M)$ need not be simple as the following example shows.

Example. Let N be $GF(4)$, the finite field with 4 elements. The field N is clearly a simple near-ring and $N = C(A, G)$ where G is the group $(GF(4), +)$ and A is the fixed point free automorphism group on $GF(4)$ consisting of the right multiplication maps by the three nonzero elements of $GF(4)$.

Let $N = \{0, 1, a, a^2\}$, then $A = \{1, R_a, R_{a^2}\}$. Let $M = S_3$, the symmetric group on three elements. Define the action of N on M as follows: if β is in S_3 then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta 0 &= (1) \\ \beta 1 &= \beta \\ \beta a &= (123)^{-1}\beta(123) \\ \beta a^2 &= (132)^{-1}\beta(132). \end{aligned}$$

So right multiplication by 0 is the zero endomorphism of S_3 , by 1 is the identity map, by a is the automorphism which is conjugation by (123), and by a^2 is the automorphism which is conjugation by (132). With this action of N on M , M forms an SE-group for N . But $C(N, M) = C(N^*, S_3)$ is not simple since (123) in $M = S_3$ is fixed by all the nonzero elements N^* in N and (12) is not (so there is stabilizer containment, see [2]).

Let N be a finite near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is a fixed point free group of automorphisms of G . In N we have $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_u$ where the e_i 's are mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents. Suppose the positive integer s is a proper divisor of u , say $u = ts$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_s \\ f_2 &= e_{s+1} + e_{s+2} + \dots + e_{2s} \\ &\dots \\ f_t &= e_{(t-1)s+1} + e_{(t-1)s+2} + \dots + e_{ts}, \end{aligned}$$

then $1 = f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_t$ where the f_i 's are mutually orthogonal idempotents. If M is an SE-group for N and if m is any element in M then $m = m(f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_t) = mf_1 + mf_2 + \dots + mf_t$. (For if m belongs to M , then for each i , $(m(f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_t) - mf_t - \dots - mf_2 - mf_1)e_i = 0$. Since M is an SE-group, $m(f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_t) - mf_t - \dots - mf_2 - mf_1 = 0$).

Theorem 2. *If N and M are as above then $C(N, M)$ is isomorphic to $C(f_1 N f_1, M f_1)$.*

Proof. Since N is simple and A is fixed point free then for every i, j such that $i \neq j$ there exist elements e_{ij} in $e_i N e_j$ such that $e_{ij} e_{ji} = e_i$. The proof of Theorem 2 is the same as that of Theorem 1 replacing e_i with f_i , and replacing $e_{1i} e_{i1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1i} &= e_{1,(i-1)s+1} + e_{2,(i-1)s+2} + \cdots + e_{s, is}, \\ f_{i1} &= e_{(i-1)s+1,1} + e_{(i-1)s+2,2} + \cdots + e_{is,s}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have $f_{1i} f_{i1} = f_1$ and $f_{i1} f_{1i} = f_i$. \diamond

We mention two special situations for Theorem 2.

(a) Let $M = N$, then $C(N, N_N)$ is isomorphic to each of the following: $C(e_1 N e_1, N e_1)$, $C(f_1 N f_1, N f_1)$ and N .

(b) Let $M = N^k$ (k , a positive integer), then $C(N, N^k)$ is isomorphic to each of the following: $C(e_1 N e_1, (N e_1)^k)$ and $C(f_1 N f_1, (N f_1)^k)$.

3. Structure of $C(N, M)$, N not simple

Assume N is a finite semisimple near-ring where $N = N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_s$ (direct sum) with each N_i simple. If f_i is the identity of N_i for each i then $1 = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_s$ in N . Let M be an SE-group for N . Then if m is in M we have $m = m(f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_s) = m f_1 + m f_2 + \cdots + m f_s$.

Theorem 3. *If N and M are as above then $C(N, M) = C(N_1, M f_1) \oplus C(N_2, M f_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus C(N_s, M f_s)$ (direct sum).*

Note. Since each N_i is simple, it follows that if the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied (which will be the case if N_i is not a ring) then $C(N_i, M f_i)$ is isomorphic to $C(e_i^1 N_i e_i^1, M f_i e_i^1) = C(e_i^1 N_i e_i^1, M e_i^1)$ where in N_i , $f_i = e_i^1 + e_i^2 + \cdots + e_i^{t_i}$ (primitive idempotents).

Proof of Theorem 3. Clearly $M = M f_1 \oplus M f_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M f_s$ (direct sum). If g belongs to $C(N, M)$ then $g(M f_i)$ is a subset of $M f_i$ and $g(m f_1 + m f_2 + \cdots + m f_s) = g m f_1 + g m f_2 + \cdots + g m f_s$. The map φ from $C(N, M)$ to $C(N_1, M f_1) \oplus C(N_2, M f_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus C(N_s, M f_s)$ defined by $\varphi(g) = g_1 + g_2 + \cdots + g_s$ is our isomorphism where g_i is g restricted to $M f_i$. \diamond

The following is valid for an arbitrary finite centralizer near-ring of the form $C(A, G)$ where A is a group of automorphisms of G .

Theorem 4. *Let N be the finite centralizer near-ring $C(A, G)$. If H is an A -invariant subgroup of G let $R = \{f \text{ in } N \mid f(G) \text{ is a subset of } H\}$. Then $C(N, R)$ is isomorphic to R .*

Proof. R is easily seen to be a right ideal of N . If e_H is the idempotent in N which is the identity on H and 0 off H then $R = e_H N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C(N, R) &= \{f \mid f(rn) = f(r)n \text{ for all } r \text{ in } R \text{ and } n \text{ in } N\} \\ &= \{f \mid f(e_H n) = f(e_H)n \text{ for all } n \text{ in } N\} \\ &= \{L_r \mid r \text{ is in } R\} \text{ (where } L_r \text{ is the left multiplication} \\ &\quad \text{map by } r \text{ on } R) \text{ which is isomorphic to } R. \diamond \end{aligned}$$

4. Applications to matrix near-rings

J.D.P. Meldrum and A.P.J. van der Walt have introduced the concept of a matrix near-ring (see [5]) which we now recall. Let N be a near-ring with 1 and let t be a positive integer. For an element r in N and for integers i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ define the function f_{ij}^r on N as follows:

$$f_{ij}^r(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_j, \dots, n_t) = (0, \dots, rn_j, \dots, 0, \dots, 0)$$

(where rn_j is in the i^{th} position). The $t \times t$ matrix near-ring over N , $M_t(N)$, is the subnearring of $\text{Map}(N^t)$ generated by $\{f_{ij}^r \mid r \text{ is in } N \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq t\}$. We note that f_{ij}^r belongs to $C(N, N^t)$. Therefore $M_t(N)$ is a subnearring of $C(N, N^t)$. The following result was proven by van der Walt in [8].

Theorem (van der Walt). *Let N be a finite simple near-ring such that $N = C(A, G)$ where A is a fixed point free group of automorphisms on G . Then $M_t(N)$ is isomorphic to $C(A, G^t)$.*

Our information on SE-groups for a finite simple near-ring N can be used together with van der Walt's theorem to prove a near-ring analogue to a familiar matrix ring result in ring theory.

Theorem 5. *Let N be a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is a fixed point free group of automorphisms on G . Let s and t be positive integers. Then $C(C(N, N^s), C(N, N^s)^t)$ is isomorphic to*

$C(N, N^{st})$.

Proof. Since N is simple we have seen that $C(N, N^s)$ is a simple near-ring and $C(N, N^s)$ is isomorphic to $C(A, G^s)$. Using Corollary 2, $C(C(N, N^s), C(N, N^s)^t)$ is isomorphic to $C(A, (G^s)^t)$ which is isomorphic to $C(A, G^{st})$ and therefore isomorphic to $C(N, N^{st})$. \diamond

Corollary 7. *If N is a finite simple near-ring with $N = C(A, G)$ where A is a fixed point free group of automorphisms on G then $M_t(M_s(N))$ is isomorphic to $M_{ts}(N)$.*

Proof. From van der Walt's theorem $C(A, G^{ts})$ is isomorphic to $M_{ts}(N)$ and $M_t(C(A, G^s))$ is isomorphic to $M_t(M_s(N))$. \diamond

References

- [1] GORENSTEIN, D.: Finite groups, 2nd edition, Chelsea, New York, 1980.
- [2] MAXSON, C.J. and SMITH, K.C.: The centralizer of a set of group automorphisms, *Communications in Algebra* **8** (1980), 211 – 230.
- [3] MAXSON, C.J. and SMITH, K.C.: Isomorphisms of centralizer near-rings, *Proc. Royal Irish Academy* **83A** (1983), 201 – 208.
- [4] MELDRUM, J.D.P.: Near rings and their links with groups, *Pitman (Research Notes Series No. 134)*, 1985.
- [5] MELDRUM, J.D.P. and van der WALT, A.P.J.: Matrix near-rings, *Arch. Math.* **47** (1986), 312 – 319.
- [6] PILZ, G.: Near-rings, revised edition, North Holland, 1983.
- [7] RAMAKOTIAH, D.: Isomorphisms of near-rings of transformations, *J. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1974), 272 – 278.
- [8] van der WALT, A.P.J.: Primitivity in matrix near-rings, *Quest. Math.* **9** (1986), 459 – 469.