

SEQUENCES OF DOMINATING SETS

A.V. Kostochka

Mathematical Institute, Siberian Branch of the Soviet Academy of Science, SU-630090 Novosibirsk-90, USSR.

Received January 1990

AMS Subject Classification: 05 C 99

Keywords: domination, bipartite graph

Abstract: It is proved for any $0 < \beta < 1$ and any graph $G = (V, E)$ there exists an ordering $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{|V|}$ of vertices of G such that either for every $i \in \{1, \dots, |V|\}$ the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$ dominates in G all but at most $|V| \cdot \beta^i$ vertices, or for every $j \in \{1, \dots, |V|\}$ the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$ dominates in the complement \bar{G} of G all but at most $|V|(1 - \beta)^j$ vertices.

Let X be a subset of the vertex-set of a graph $G = (V, E)$ and $N_G(X) = X \cup \{y \in V \mid \exists x \in X : (x, y) \in E\}$. Let us say that X is β -dominating in G , if $|V \setminus N_G(X)| \leq |V| \cdot \beta^{|X|}$. By \bar{G} we denote the complement of G .

Erdős and Hajnal [1] conjectured that for any positive integer t and any graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| \geq t$ either there exists a 0.5-dominating set X in G with $|X| = t$ or there exists a (1-0.5)-dominating set Y in \bar{G} with $|Y| = t$. Erdős, Faudree, Gyárfás and Schelp [2] proved that this conjecture remains true even if we put any $0 < \beta < 1$ instead of 0.5.

The aim of the present note is to prove the following somewhat stronger statement, which was obtained independently of [2].

Proposition 1. *For any $0 < \beta < 1$ and any graph $G = (V, E)$ either there exists a numbering $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{|V|}$ of the vertices of G such that the set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$ is β -dominating in G for every $i \in \{1, \dots, |V|\}$, or there exists a numbering $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|V|}$ of the vertices of G such that the set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j\}$ is $(1 - \beta)$ -dominating in \bar{G} for every $j \in \{1, \dots, |V|\}$.*

Proposition 1 is a consequence of the following proposition. (To see this, apply Proposition 2 to the bipartite graph $\tilde{G} = (X, Y; \tilde{E})$, where \tilde{G} is obtained from $G = (V, E)$ as follows: $|X| = |Y| = |V|$ and $(x_i, y_j) \in \tilde{E}$ iff $(v_i, v_j) \in E$).

Proposition 2. *Let $G = (X, Y; E)$ be a bipartite graph with parts X and Y , and $0 < \beta < 1$. Then at least one of the following assertions is true:*

- (a) *there is a numbering $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{|X|}$ of the vertices of X such that*

$$|Y \setminus N_G(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\})| \leq |Y| \cdot \beta^i$$
for every $i \in \{1, \dots, |X|\}$;
 (b) *there is a numbering $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{|Y|}$ of the vertices of Y such that*

$$(1) \quad |X \setminus N_{\bar{G}}(\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_j\})| < |X|(1 - \beta)^j$$

for every $j \in \{1, \dots, |Y|\}$.

Proof. We try to construct the proper numbering of vertices of X , using the following *Procedure 1*.

BEGIN. Let $X_0 := \emptyset$; $i := 1$;

Step i. If $i = |X| + 1$, then END. If there is $x \in X \setminus X_{i-1}$ such that $|(Y \setminus N_G(X_{i-1})) \setminus N_G(\{x\})| \leq \beta|Y \setminus N_G(X_{i-1})|$ (in particular, if $Y \setminus N_G(X_{i-1}) = \emptyset$), then set $x_i := x$, $X_i := X_{i-1} \cup \{x_i\}$ and go to Step $i + 1$. Else END.

If the Procedure stops on Step t and $t = |X| + 1$, then Assertion (a) of our Proposition 2 is true. Let $t \leq |X|$ and $Y_0 = Y \setminus N_G(X_{t-1})$. Then $Y_0 \neq \emptyset$ and, by the construction, for $x \in X$ we have

$$(2) \quad |Y_0 \cap N_G(x)| < (1 - \beta)|Y_0|.$$

The following *Procedure 2* will make it possible to number the vertices of Y_0 properly.

BEGIN. *Step* k ($1 \leq k \leq |Y_0|$). Before *Step* k the vertices $y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \in Y_0$ are chosen that the inequality (1) is fulfilled for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ and, denoting $Y_{k-1} := Y_0 \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$, for any $x \in X \setminus N_{\bar{G}}(\{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\}) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} N_G(y_j) \cap X$ the inequality

$$(3) \quad |N_G(\{x\}) \cap Y_{k-1}| < (1 - \beta)|Y_{k-1}|$$

holds. Note that for $k = 1$, (3) follows from (2). If $X \subset N_{\bar{G}}(\{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\})$, then choose an arbitrary $y \in Y_{k-1}$, $y_k := y$, $Y_k := Y_{k-1} \setminus \{y_k\}$ and go to *Step* $k + 1$. Suppose $\bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} N_G(\{y_j\}) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Due to (3), there exists $y \in Y_{k-1}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \left| \bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} N_G(\{y_j\}) \cap X \cap N_G(\{y\}) \right| < (1 - \beta) \left| \bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} N_G(\{y_j\}) \cap X \right|.$$

Set $y_k := y$, $Y_k := Y_{k-1} \setminus \{y_k\}$. Notice that (4) implies the validity of (1) for $j = k$. Because of (3), we have

$$(5) \quad |N_G(\{x\}) \cap Y_k| = |N_G(\{x\}) \cap Y_{k-1}| - 1 < (1 - \beta)|Y_{k-1}| - 1 < \\ < (1 - \beta)|Y_k|$$

for every $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k N_G(\{y_j\}) \cap X$. To *Step* $k + 1$, knowing that for that *Step* inequality (3) holds, since now (5) holds. **END.**

Thus, on completion of *Procedure 2* the vertices of Y_0 will be numbered properly. But, according to (2), $N_{\bar{G}}(Y_0) \supset X$. Thus, the vertices of $Y \setminus Y_0$ we can number by $|Y_0| + 1, \dots, |Y|$ in an arbitrary order.

Remark. Evidently, a polynomial time via $|X| + |Y|$ is sufficient for numbering X or Y .

References

- [1] ERDŐS, P. and HAJNAL, A.: Ramsey type theorems, Preprint, 1987.
- [2] ERDŐS, P.; FAUDREE, R.; GYÁRFÁS, A. and SCHELP, R.H.: Domination in colored complete graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory* (to appear).