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#### Abstract

In this short paper we consider geometries over pairs $(K, L)$ of finite fields. All this is done in complete analogy to the Gauss-plane. We have finite miquelian Möbius-planes. In these geometries we investigate products of reflections. It turns out that there exist two classes of homographies and two classes of anti-homographies as well. With this, some mistakes found in the literature are corrected.


## 1. Introduction: ingredients

In complete analogy to the Gauss-plane over $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ we develop a finite ( $K, L$ )-plane. For better understanding the reader should always have this analogy in the backhead.

### 1.1. Some algebra

We start with a finite field $K=\mathrm{GF}(q)=\mathrm{GF}\left(p^{e}\right), p$ prime, $p>2, e \in$ $\in \mathbb{N}$. Using a polynomial $f(x)=x^{2}+b$ irreducible in $K$ we adjoin an element $\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon^{2}=-b \notin\left(K^{*}\right)^{2}$. In this way a quadratic extension field is obtained. We have $L=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2} \in K \mid x_{1}+\varepsilon x_{2}\right\}$.
Notions. $K^{*}=K \backslash\{0\}, L^{*}=L \backslash\{0\}$.
$\bar{X}=x_{1}-\varepsilon x_{2}$ is called the conjugate element of $X=x_{1}+\varepsilon x_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}(X)=\bar{X} \cdot X=x_{1}^{2}+b x_{2}^{2}$ the norm of $X$.

Lemmata. If $N\left(L^{*}\right)$ is the set of norms of all elements in $L^{*}$ then (only in the finite case) we have $N\left(L^{*}\right)=K^{*} \subset\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}$. In $L^{*}$ there exist squares and non-squares with cardinality $\frac{1}{2}\left(q^{2}-1\right)$ each. Fig. 1 shows the situation in the case $L=G F(9)$.


Figure 1. The field $L=\operatorname{GF}(9)$.

### 1.2. The geometric elements in the (K,L)-plane

Points: $\mathcal{P}=L \cup\{\infty\},|\mathcal{P}|=q^{2}+1$. Lines: $\mathcal{F}=\{X \in L \mid X \bar{M}+$ $+\bar{X} M+d=0\} \cup\{\infty\}$ with $M \in L^{*}, d \in K$.
$X \bar{M}+\bar{X} M+d=0$ and $\bar{N} X+N \bar{X}+e=0$ represent the same line if there exists $r \in K^{*}$ such that $N=r M, e=r d$ (equivalence).

With $X=x_{1}+\varepsilon x_{2}, M=m_{1}+\varepsilon m_{2}$ the equation may be written as $x_{1} m_{1}+b x_{2} m_{2}+\frac{1}{2} d=0$.
Some properties. $A, B \in \mathcal{P},|\{A, B\}|=2$. There exists exactly one line $g(A, B)$ with $A, B \in g(A, B)$.

Each line contains exactly $q+1$ points.
Cardinality of $O f:|\mathcal{F}|=q(q+1)$.
Circles: $\mathbb{\AA}=\{X \in L \mid \mathrm{N}(X-M)=c\}$ with $M \in L, c \in \mathrm{~N}\left(L^{*}\right)=K^{*}$.
Again it is possible to write the equation in another way:

$$
\left(x_{1}-m_{1}\right)^{2}+b\left(x_{2}-m_{2}\right)^{2}=c .
$$

Some properties. $A, B, C \in \mathcal{P},|\{A, B, C\}|=3$. There exists exactly one circle $k(A, B, C)$ with $A, B, C \in k(A, B, C)$.

Each circle contains exactly $q+1$ points.
Cardinality of $\kappa$ : $|\AA|=q^{2}(q-1)$.
Circles: $z=\neq \subset \subset,|z|=q\left(q^{2}+1\right)$.

### 1.3. Mappings

### 1.3.1. Cycle preserving mappings $M$

$M: \quad \mu(X): X^{\prime}=\frac{S \rho(X)+T}{U \rho(X)+V} \quad S, T, U, V \in L, \operatorname{det}=S V-T U \neq 0$.
$\rho(X)$ are automorphisms of $L: \rho(X) \in\left\{X^{p}, X^{p^{2}}, \ldots, X^{q}, \ldots, X^{2 q}\right\}$. The case $\rho(X)=X^{q}$ corresponds to the conjugation $\rho(X)=\bar{X}$ (Frobenius) and $\rho(X)=X^{2 q}$ to $\rho(X)=X$ (Fermat).

In respect of the point at infinity $\infty$ special definitions must be given.
$\mu(\infty)=\frac{S}{U}$ if $U \neq 0, \mu(\infty)=\infty$ if $U=0$ and $\mu(X)=\infty$ if $U \rho(X)+V=0$.

A mapping is called cycle preserving if not only the set of points $p$ is mapped bijective on itself but also the set of cycles. It turns out that all the mappings of $M$ are cycle preserving. And much more the mappings $M$ are exactly the cycle preserving mappings (v. Staudt).
Some properties. $|M|=2 e q^{2}\left(q^{4}-1\right)$.
Remark. Multiplying the numerator and the denominator with $Z \in L^{*}$ we obtain

$$
\operatorname{det}=(S V-T U) \cdot Z^{2} .
$$

The determinant is therefore only determined up to factors from $\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}$.
1.3.2. Homographies $\boldsymbol{H}$. We take $\rho(X)=X$ and obtain

$$
H: \quad \mu(X): X^{\prime}=\frac{S X+T}{U X+V} \quad S, T, U, V \in L, \operatorname{det}=S V-T U \neq 0 .
$$

Special definitions in connection with the point at infinity as before.
These special mappings are called homographies.
Some properties. The mappings $H$ are cycle preserving.
Composition of mappings in $H$ yields a group which operates sharply 3 -transitive on $p$.

$$
|H|=q^{2}\left(q^{4}-1\right) .
$$

1.3.3. Lemma. There exist two classes $H_{1}, H_{2}$ of homographies with cardinality $\left|H_{1}\right|=\left|H_{2}\right|=\frac{1}{2} q^{2}\left(q^{4}-1\right)$.
Definition. If det $\in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}$ we speak about homographies of the first class $H_{1}$ and in the other case det $\notin\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}$ about homographies of the second class $H_{2}$.

There remains only to prove the supposition concerning the cardinality.

Let $\alpha(X)$ a mapping from $H_{1}$.

$$
\alpha(X): X^{\prime}=\frac{S X+T}{U X+V}, \quad \text { det }=S V-T U \in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2} .
$$

We multiply the numerator with $P \notin\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}$ and obtain a mapping $\beta(X)$.

$$
\beta(X): X^{\prime}=\frac{P S X+P T}{U X+V}, \quad \text { det }=P(S V-T U) \notin\left(L^{*}\right)^{2} .
$$

We have a mapping from $H_{2}$. Every mapping $\alpha \in H_{1}$ induces a mapping $\beta \in H_{2}$. Therefore we have $\left|H_{1}\right| \leq\left|H_{2}\right|$.

Now we start with a mapping $\alpha(X) \in H_{2}$.

$$
\alpha(X): X^{\prime}=\frac{S X+T}{U X+V}, \quad \operatorname{det}=A=S V-T U \notin\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}
$$

Multiplying the numerator with $A$ yields a new homography

$$
\gamma(X): X^{\prime}=\frac{A S X+A T}{U X+V}, \quad \operatorname{det}=A(S V-T U)=A^{2}
$$

We have $\gamma(X) \in H_{1}$ and $\left|H_{2}\right| \leq\left|H_{1}\right|$.
With this we obtain $\left|H_{1}\right|=\left|H_{2}\right|=\frac{1}{2} q^{2}\left(q^{4}-1\right)$.
Remark. In the case $K=\mathbb{R}, L=\mathbb{C}$ there don't exist two classes $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Due to the fundamental theorem of algebra the determinant of each homography is a square in $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore there remains only the first class.
1.3.4. Anti-homographies. We take $\rho(X)=\bar{X}$ and obtain
$\bar{H}$ :

$$
\mu(X): X^{\prime}=\frac{S \bar{X}+T}{U \bar{X}+V}, \quad S, T, U, V \in L, \operatorname{det}=S V-T U \neq 0
$$

These mappings are called anti-homographies.
Some properties. The anti-homographies are cycle preserving.
Composition of such mappings does not yield a group.
$|\bar{H}|=q^{2}\left(q^{4}-1\right)$.
Exactly as in the case of homographies we distinguish two classes $\overline{H_{1}}, \overline{H_{2}}$ with $\left|\overline{H_{1}}\right|=\left|\overline{H_{2}}\right|=\frac{1}{2} q^{2}\left(q^{4}-1\right)$.

### 1.3.5. Reflections

Definition. Cycle preserving mappings from $M$ with exactly one fixed point cycle $k$ are called reflections $\sigma_{k}$ in $k$. Other fixed points are not allowed.
Reflection in a line: $g=\{X \in L \mid X \bar{M}+\bar{X} M+d=0\} \cup \infty$

$$
\sigma_{g}: X^{\prime}=\frac{-\bar{X} M-d}{\bar{M}}, \quad \operatorname{det}=-M \bar{M} \in K^{*} \subset\left(L^{*}\right)^{2} .
$$

Reflection in a circle: $k=\{X \in L \mid X \bar{X}-X \bar{M}-\bar{X} M+M \bar{M}=c\}$

$$
\sigma_{k}: X^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{X} M-M \bar{M}+c}{\bar{X}-\bar{M}}, \text { det }=-M \bar{M}+\bar{M} M-c=-c \in K^{*} \subset\left(L^{*}\right)^{2} .
$$

We see that all reflections are elements in $\bar{H}$. If we replace $X^{\prime}$ by $X$ in the mapping equation we obtain the respective fixed point cycle. Other fixed points cannot exist.

Only now we arrive the end of our ingredients chapter. We had to do with a lot of well-known material.

## 2. Products of reflections

### 2.1. Some fundamental homographies

2.1.1. Definitions. In analogy to classical geometry we define some very special homographies.

Translation $\tau$ :

$$
X^{\prime}=X+A, A \in L^{*}
$$

Reciprocation $\alpha$ : $\quad X^{\prime}=\frac{1}{X}$
Rotation $\rho: \quad X^{\prime}=D X, D \in L^{*}, \mathrm{~N}(D)=1, D \neq 1$
Central dilatation $\zeta: \quad X^{\prime}=r X, r \in K^{*}, r \neq 1$.
2.1.2. Lemma. The rotation $\rho$ may be written in the following way

$$
X^{\prime}=D X=\frac{G}{\bar{G}} X, \quad G \in L^{*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\frac{G}{\bar{G}}=\frac{g_{1}+\varepsilon g_{2}}{g_{1}-\varepsilon g_{2}}=d_{1}+\varepsilon d_{2}, \quad \mathrm{~N}(D)=d_{1}^{2}+b d_{2}^{2}=1
$$

Multiplication yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1}\left(1-d_{1}\right)-g_{2} b d_{2} & =0 \\
-g_{1} d_{2}+g_{2}\left(1+d_{1}\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{det}=\left(1-d_{1}\right)\left(1+d_{1}\right)-b d_{2}^{2}=1-d_{1}^{2}-b d_{2}^{2}=0 .
$$

The system of equations therefore has a non-trivial solution $g_{1}, g_{2}$.
2.1.3. Lemma. Every special homography in 2.1.1 may be decomposed in two reflections.
Proof. Translation $\tau$ :
$\sigma_{1}: X_{1}=-\frac{A}{\bar{A}} \bar{X}-A, \quad$ reflection in $X \bar{A}+\bar{X} A+A \bar{A}=0$ $\sigma_{2}: X^{\prime}=-\frac{A}{\bar{A}} \overline{X_{1}}, \quad$ reflection in $X \bar{A}+\bar{X} A=0$
$\tau=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$.
Reciprocation $\alpha$
$\sigma_{1}: X_{1}=\frac{1}{\bar{X}}, \quad$ reflection in $X \bar{X}=1$
$\sigma_{2}: X^{\prime}=\overline{X_{1}}$, reflection in $X-\bar{X}=0$
$\alpha=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$.
Central dilatation $\zeta$
$\sigma_{1}: X_{1}=\frac{1}{\bar{X}}, \quad$ reflection in $X \bar{X}=1$
$\sigma_{2}: X^{\prime}=\frac{r}{\overline{X_{1}}}, \quad$ reflection in $X \bar{X}=r$
$\zeta=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$.
Rotation $\rho$. We prefer the presentation with $G$.
$\sigma_{1}: X_{1}=-\frac{\bar{G}}{G} \bar{X}, \quad$ reflection in $X G+\bar{X} \bar{G}=0$
$\sigma_{2}: X^{\prime}=-\overline{X_{1}}, \quad$ reflection in $X+\bar{X}=0$
$\rho=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$.
2.1.4. Lemma. The mapping $\mu: X^{\prime}=A X, A \in L^{*}$ is product of a rotation $\rho$ and a central dilatation $\zeta$ if and only if $A \in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}$. The center of the dilatation $\zeta$ and the center of the rotation $\rho$ coincide.
Proof. First direction $\mu=\zeta \sigma \Rightarrow A \in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta: & X_{1}=r X, r \neq 1, r \in K^{*} \subset\left(L^{*}\right)^{2} \\
\rho: & X^{\prime}=\frac{G}{\bar{G}} X_{1}, G \in L^{*}, G \neq 1 \\
\mu=\zeta \rho: & X^{\prime}=\frac{G}{\bar{G}} r X=A X
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad A=\frac{G G}{G \bar{G}} r=\frac{G^{2}}{G \bar{G}} r \in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2} . \\
& \text { Second direction } A=B^{2} \Rightarrow \mu=\zeta \rho: \\
& \mu: \quad X^{\prime}=B^{2} X=\frac{B B \bar{B}}{\bar{B}} X=(B \bar{B}) \frac{B}{\bar{B}} X \\
& \rho: \quad X_{1}=\frac{B}{\bar{B}} X \\
& \zeta: \quad X^{\prime}=B \bar{B} X_{1} \quad \mu=\rho \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.2. Theorem. Every product of an even number of reflections (product of even length) yields a homography of $H_{1}$.
Proof. It is enough to give a proof for two reflections. Here we calculate only the case of two reflections in lines.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}: X \overline{M_{1}}+\bar{X} M_{1}+d_{1}=0, \quad \sigma_{g_{1}}: X_{1}=\frac{-\bar{X} M_{1}-d_{1}}{\overline{M_{1}}}, \quad \text { det }=-M_{1} \overline{M_{1}} \in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}, \\
& g_{2}: X_{2} \overline{M_{2}}+\bar{X} M_{2}+d_{2}=0, \quad \sigma_{g_{2}}: X^{\prime}=\frac{-\overline{X_{1}} M_{2}-d_{2}}{\overline{M_{2}}}, \quad \operatorname{det}=-M_{2} \overline{M_{2}} \in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}, \\
& \sigma_{g_{1}} \sigma_{g_{2}}: \quad X^{\prime}=\frac{X M_{2} \overline{M_{1}}+M_{2} d_{1}-M_{1} d_{2}}{\overline{M_{2}} M_{1}}, \quad \text { det }=\left(M_{1} \overline{M_{1}}\right)\left(M_{2} \overline{M_{2}}\right) \in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2} . \\
& \text { The multiplication of } \sigma_{1} \text { and } \sigma_{2} \text { yields a homography of the first }
\end{aligned}
$$ class. In the same way the proof works if we have other cycles (line and circle, circle and circle). The proofs are becoming very easy if we use the well-known fact that the determinant of a product in our case is the product of determinants: $\operatorname{det} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}=\operatorname{det} \sigma_{1} \operatorname{det} \sigma_{2}$.

2.3. Theorem. Every first class homography may be represented as a product of reflections with even length.
Proof. Given the mapping $\mu \in H_{1}$

$$
\mu: \quad X^{\prime}=\frac{S X+T}{U X+V}, \quad \operatorname{det}=S V-T U \in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}, U \neq 0
$$

(In the case $U=0$ the mapping $\mu$ is a translation and all is done.) First of all we decompose $\mu$ in the following way.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mu_{1}: & X_{1}=-\frac{U^{2}}{S V-T U} X, & \operatorname{det}=-\frac{U^{2}}{S V-T U} \\
\mu_{2}: & X_{2}=X_{1}-\frac{U V}{S V-T U}, & \operatorname{det}=1 \\
\mu_{3}: & X_{3}=\frac{1}{X_{2}}, & \operatorname{det}=-1 \\
\mu_{4}: & X^{\prime}=X_{3}+\frac{S}{U}, & \operatorname{det}=1 .
\end{array}
$$

Indeed we obtain

$$
\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}:
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\prime}=X_{3}+\frac{S}{U}=\frac{1}{X_{2}}+\frac{S}{U} & =\frac{1}{X_{1}-\frac{U V}{S V-T U}}+\frac{S}{U} \\
& =\frac{S V-T U}{-U(U X+V)}+\frac{S}{U}=\frac{S X+T}{U X+V}=\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu_{2}, \mu_{4}$ are translations and $\mu_{3}$ the reciprocation. With $S V-T U \in$ $\in\left(L^{*}\right)^{2}$ Lemma 2.1.4 shows that $\mu_{1}$ is the product of a rotation and a central dilatation. Using Lemma 2.1.3 the proof is perfect. $\diamond$
2.4. Theorem. Summarizing 2.2 and 2.3 we obtain our main result:

The elements of $H_{1}$ are exactly the products of reflections with even length. In the case $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) H_{1}$ is to be replaced by $H$.
2.5. Theorem. The elements of $\overline{H_{1}}$ are exactly the products of reflections with odd length. In the case $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \overline{H_{1}}$ is to be replaced by $\bar{H}$.

The proof is running completely analogous to the case of products with even length.


Figure 2. Summary

## 3. Final comment

It is possible to prove a lot of surprising theorems within the $(K, L)$ Geometry. Instead of doing in this way we make an important remark
concerning the method. We emphasize that all proofs given in this paper are working only by calculation in the fields $K, L$. No pictures are needed. So we encounter an abstract geometry which can be done also by blind people.
Acknowledgement. The referee has found some mistakes and in this way improved the paper. Many thanks!
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