A REMARK ON A PAPER WRITTEN BY J. M. DE KONINCK AND A. IVIĆ

Imre Kátai⁽¹⁾

Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Computer Algebra, and Research Group of Applied Number Theory of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, H–1117 Budapest, Hungary

M. V. Subbarao (2)

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1, Canada

Received: February 2006

MSC 2000: 11 N 37

Keywords: Consecutive divisors, consecutive prime divisors.

Abstract: Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of monotonically decreasing functions f defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that $f(x) \to 0$ $(x \to \infty)$. Let $q_1 < \ldots < q_r$ be the prime divisors of n, $\tau(n) =$ number of divisors of n, $d_1 < d_2 < \ldots < d_{\tau(n)}$ be the sequence of divisors of n. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and

$$h(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} f(q_{i+1} - q_i); \quad H(n) := \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(n)-1} f(d_{i+1} - d_i),$$
 $A(x) = \sum_{n \le x} h(n); \qquad B(x) = \sum_{n \le x} H(n).$

It is proved:

- a) A(x)/x tends to a finite limit if and only if $\sum h^{-1}f(2^h) < \infty$. If the series converges then h is almost periodic in Besicovitch sense,
- b) B(x)/x has a finite limit if and only if $\sum f(2^h) < \infty$. If the series converges then H is almost periodic in Besicovitch sense.

E-mail address: katai@compalg.inf.elte.hu

M. V. Subbarao died on 15th February 2006.

⁽¹⁾ Research partially supported by the Applied Number Theory Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Science and by a grant from OTKA T46993.

⁽²⁾ Research supported by an NSERC grant of the author, Canada.

1. Let $n = q_1^{\alpha_1} \dots q_r^{\alpha_r}$, $q_1 < \dots < q_r$ be the prime divisors of n, $\tau(n)$ be the divisor function. Let $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_{\tau(n)}$ be the sequence of positive divisors of n. We shall say that a function f belongs to \mathcal{F} , if it is defined for $x \geq 1$ and tends to zero monotonically as $x \to \infty$, f(x) > 0. For a fixed $f \in \mathcal{F}$ let the functions $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$, $H : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined as follows: h(1) = 0, $h(p^{\alpha}) = 0$ if p^{α} is a prime-power, otherwise

(1.1)
$$h(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} f(q_{i+1} - q_i); \qquad H(n) := \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(n)-1} f(d_{i+1} - d_i).$$

Let

(1.2)
$$A(x) = \sum_{n \le x} h(n); \qquad B(x) = \sum_{n \le x} H(n).$$

In their paper [1] J. M. De Koninck and A. Ivić proved that for f(x) = 1/x the relations

$$A(x) = Ax + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{\log x}\right), \quad B(x) = Bx + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{1/3}}\right)$$

hold with suitable explicitly given constants A, B.

Our aim is to generalize these results for large classes of functions $f \in \mathcal{F}$. (We will not take care about the remainder terms.)

Since $f(q_{i+1} - q_i) \ge f(q_{i+1})$ if $f \in \mathcal{F}$, therefore

$$A(x) \ge \sum_{2$$

where in the sum p runs over the set of primes.

So for the existence of the mean value of h the condition

$$(1.3) \sum f(p)p^{-1} < \infty,$$

i.e. the equivalent condition

(1.4)
$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} f(2^h)h^{-1} < \infty$$

is necessary.

Since $f(d_{i+1} - d_i) \ge f(d_{i+1})$, we get similarly that $B(x) \ge \sum f(d) \left[\frac{x}{d}\right]$. If B(x) = O(x), then

$$(1.5) \qquad \qquad \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^{-1}f(d) < \infty,$$

i.e.
$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} f(2^h) < \infty$$

holds.

It is much more interesting that the conditions (1.4), (1.5) are sufficient for the existence of the mean value for h, H, respectively.

Let us consider h under (1.4). For an $y \geq 2$ let P_y be the product of the primes not greater than y. For an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $A_y(n)$ be the product of the prime divisors of n not greater than y. Then n = $= A_y(n)B_y(n), (B_y(n), P_y) = 1.$

Let $s_{\nu}(n) := h(A_{\nu}(n))$. Since h(n) does not depend on the multiplicity of the prime divisors of n, therefore $s_y(n) = s_y(\ell)$ if $n \equiv$ $\equiv \ell(\text{mod } P_u^2)$, i.e. $s_y(n)$ is periodic mod P_y^2 .

Let
$$t_u(n) := h(n) - s_u(n)$$
. Then

$$t_y(n) = h(B_y(n)) + f(v(n) - u(n))$$

where v(n) is the smallest prime divisor of $B_y(n)$ and u(n) is the largest prime divisor of $A_y(n)$. Consider the sum

$$\sum_{1} := \sum_{n \le x} f(v(n) - u(n)).$$

The number of the integers $n \leq x$ satisfying u(n) > y/2 is less than

$$\sum_{\frac{y}{2}$$

Furthermore, if $u(n) \leq y/2$, then $f(v(n)-u(n)) \leq f(y/2)$, consequently

$$\sum_{1} \ll x f(y/2) + \frac{cx}{\log y}.$$

Let

$$\sum_{y} := \sum_{n \leq x} h(B_y(n)).$$

Then

$$\sum_{2} = \sum_{y < q \le x} \sum_{y < p < q} f(q - p) D_{p,q},$$

where $D_{p,q}$ denotes the number of those $n \leq x$ for which p and q are consecutive prime divisors. Let $\sum_{2} = \sum_{2,1} + \sum_{2,2}$, where in $\sum_{2,1} \frac{q}{2} \leq p < q$, while in $\sum_{2,2} p < q/2$. To estimate $\sum_{2,1}$ we shall use the crude estimation $D_{p,q} \leq x/pq$, and we get

$$\sum\nolimits_{2,1} \ll x \sum_{y < q < x} \frac{1}{q} \left(\sum_{q/2 < p < q} 1/p \right) \ll x \sum_{y < q} \frac{1}{q \log q},$$

from the convergence of $\sum (q \log q)^{-1}$ we get that $\sum_{2,1} = o_y(1)x$ $(x \to \infty)$. To estimate $\sum_{2,2}$ we observe that $f(p-q) \leq f(q/2)$ and that $\sum_{p} D_{p,q} \leq x/q$. So by (1.4) we have

$$\sum_{2,2} \le \sum_{y < q \le x} f(q/2)[x/q] = o_y(1)x.$$

Collecting our results we get

(2.1)
$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \left(\limsup \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} |h(n) - s_y(n)| \right) = 0.$$

Since $s_y(n)$ is periodic mod P_y^2 , therefore (2.1) implies that h is almost periodic, consequently the mean value of h exists. For the proof of this conclusion see [2], Ch. 4, Th. 4. As a consequence we get that h has a limit distribution, i.e.

(2.2)
$$\lim \frac{1}{x} \# \{h(n) < \lambda, \ n \le x\} = V(\lambda)$$

exists for almost all real number λ .

So we proved

Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $A(x)x^{-1}$ tends to a finite limit if and only if (1.4) holds. If (1.4) holds, then h is almost periodic in Besicovitch sense.

3. Let us consider now H. Assume that (1.5) holds, and that $f \in \mathcal{F}$. It is obvious that

$$(3.1) \qquad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-1} f\left(n/(\log n)^3\right) < \infty.$$

Indeed, let us sum 1/n over those n for which $2^h < \frac{n}{(\log n)^3} < 2^{h+1}$. The smallest n is $A_h \ge c_1 2^h h^3$, the largest n is $B_h \le c_2 2^h \cdot h^3$, where c_1, c_2 are positive constants. Then

$$\sum_{A_h < n < B_h} 1/n \le \log \frac{c_2}{c_1}.$$

Hence (3.1) immediately follows.

Let $\rho(z) = z(\log z)^{-1}$,

$$t_y(n) := \sum_{d_i >
ho(y)} f(d_{i+1} - d_i), \qquad T_y(x) = \sum_{n \le x} t_y(n).$$

Now we estimate $T_y(x)$. The contribution of the terms in $T_y(x)$ satisfying $d_{i+1} - d_i > \rho(d_i)$ is less than

$$x\sum_{d>\rho(y)}f(\rho(d))1/d=o_y(1)x.$$

Now we consider the terms for which $d_{i+1} - d_i < \rho(d_i)$. If d_i , d_{i+1} are consecutive divisors of n such that $\sqrt{n} < d_i < d_{i+1}$, then n/d_{i+1} , n/d_i are consecutive divisors of n as well, furthermore $0 < \frac{n}{d_i} - \frac{n}{d_{i+1}} < d_{i+1} - d_i$. Consequently in the estimation of $T_y(x)$ the terms $d_i > \sqrt{n}$ can be cancelled.

Let us consider the contribution of those pairs d_i , d_{i+1} for which $d_i < \sqrt{n} < d_{i+1}$. Then $d_{i+1} = \frac{n}{d_i}$.

Since $0 < d_{i+1} - d_i < \rho(d_i)$, for a fixed d_i the number of distinct d_{i+1} is less than $O(\rho(d_i))$, consequently the contribution of them is less than

$$\sum_{d < \sqrt{x}} \frac{d}{\log d} = o(x) \qquad (x \to \infty).$$

It has remained to estimate the sum

$$\sum_{1} := \sum_{m} \sum_{\ell} f(\ell) \sum_{n} 1,$$

where m and ℓ run over the intervals $1 \leq \ell \leq \rho(m)$, $\rho(y) \leq m \leq \sqrt{x}$, $m + \ell \leq \sqrt{x}$, while $n \leq x$ and $m|n, m + \ell|n$. The innermost

sum is $\leq \frac{x}{[m, m+\ell]}$. Let $\rho = (m, m, +\ell) = (m, \ell), \ m = \delta m^*, \ \ell = \delta m^*$. Then we get

$$\sum_{1} \leq x \sum_{m} \sum_{\delta/m} \sum_{s < \frac{\rho(m)}{s}} \frac{f(\delta s)}{\delta m^{*}(m^{*} + s)} \leq x \sum_{m} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\delta, s} \frac{f(\delta s)}{m^{*}},$$

where in the inner sum $\delta | m, s\delta \leq \rho(m)$.

Summing for m in a subinterval of type [M, 2M], we get

$$\sum_{M \le m \le 2M} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s, \delta} \frac{f(\delta s)}{m^*} \le \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k \le \rho(2M)} f(k) \sum_{\delta/k} \left(\sum 1/s \right),$$

where in the innermost sum in the right-hand side s runs over the interval $\left[\frac{M}{\delta}, \frac{2M}{\delta}\right]$. So the right-hand side is less than

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k \le \rho(2M)} f(k) \tau(k).$$

Let now $M_1 = 2$, $M_{\nu+1} = 2M_{\nu}$ and we sum over those M_t for which $M_{t+1} \ge \rho(y)$. Then we get

(3.2)
$$\sum_{1} \ll x \sum_{M_{t}} \frac{1}{M_{t}} \sum_{k \leq \rho(M_{t+1})} f(k)\tau(k).$$

Let us change the summation in the right-hand side. The least value of M_t for which k occurs in the inner sum is of order $k \log k$. Since $\sum_{t \geq t_0} M_t^{-1} \ll M_{t_0}^{-1}$, we get that

(3.3)
$$\sum_{1} \ll x \sum_{k} f(k) \tau(k) \min \left(\frac{1}{\rho(y)}, \frac{1}{k \log k} \right).$$

Now we prove that

$$(3.4) \sum_{k} \frac{f(k)\tau(k)}{k\log k} < \infty$$

holds.

Let us sum (3.4) for $k \in [2^h, 2^{h+1}]$. The sum is less than

$$\frac{f(2^h)}{2^h \log 2^h} \sum_{k < 2h+1} \tau(k) \ll \frac{f(2^h)}{h},$$

and (3.4) can be overestimated by the convergent sum $\sum h^{-1}f(2^h)$.

Collecting our results we get that $T_y(x) = o_y(1)x$ as $x \to \infty$.

Now we deduce that H is an almost periodic function. For a prime $p \leq y$ let $\partial_p(y)$ be the least integer for which $p^{\partial_p(y)} \geq y$. Let $Q_q = \prod_{p \leq y} p^{\partial_p(y)}$, furthermore

$$q_y(n) = \sum_{d_{i+1} \le y} f(d_{i+1} - d_i).$$

It is obvious that $n \equiv \ell(\text{mod }Q_y)$ implies that $q_y(n) = q_y(\ell)$ so q_y is periodic mod Q_y . Furthermore $0 \leq H(n) \leq t_y(n) + R(n)$, where R(n) = 0 except when the largest divisor d of n which is not greater than y is $\leq y/\log y$. In this second case R(n) = f(k-d) where k is the smallest divisor of n that is greater than y. So we have $R(n) \leq f(y-y/\log y) \leq f(y/2)$. Consequently $R(n) = o_y(1)$ uniformly as $n \leq x$. Taking into account that $T_y(x) = o_y(1)x$ ($x \to \infty$), we get immediately that

(3.5)
$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \left(\limsup \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} |H(n) - q_y(n)| \right) = 0.$$

(3.5) means that H is almost periodic. Consequently the following assertion holds true.

Theorem 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $B(x)x^{-1}$ has a finite limit if and only if (1.6) holds. If (1.6) holds then H(n) is almost periodic in Besicovitch sense.

References

- [1] DE KONINCK, J. M. and IVIĆ, A.: On the distance between consecutive divisors of an integer, Canad. Math. Bull. 29 (2) (1986), 208-217.
- [2] POSTNIKOV, A. G.: Introduction into analytical number theory, Nauka, 1971 (in Russian).

A superior of the state of the

A second of the property and confidence of a politic content of the confidence of the content of the content

o na kultur (1964), popular pokratenska pokratika pokratika populari pokratika populari pokratika (1964), pokra Roman kultur (1964), pokratika pokratika pokratika (1964), pokratika (1964), pokratika (1964), pokratika (1964) Roman kultur (1964), pokratika (1964), pokratika (1964), pokratika (1964), pokratika (1964), pokratika (1964)

rance programme and the second contraction of the second contraction o

Assistance of the property of the splitters are also before an object with a first restriction of the property of the prope