

ON THE WEYL PROJECTIVE CURVATURE TENSOR OF AN $N(k)$ -CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLD

Ahmet **Yıldız**

Dept. of Mathematics, Dumlupınar University, Kütahya, Turkey

Uday Chand **De**

Dept. of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunje Circular Road, Kolkata 700019, West Bengal, India

Cengizhan **Murathan**

Dept. of Mathematics, Uludağ University, 16059 Bursa, Turkey

Kadri **Arslan**

Dept. of Mathematics, Uludağ University, 16059 Bursa, Turkey

Received: December 2008

MSC 2000: 53 C 25, 53 D 10

Keywords: Contact metric manifold, $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, Sasakian manifold, Weyl projective curvature tensor, concircular curvature tensor, Weyl projective recurrent manifold.

Abstract: In the present paper we classify $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds which satisfy $P(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$, $R(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$, $P(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, $P(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$ and $P(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$ where P is the Weyl projective curvature tensor and Z is the concircular curvature tensor.

E-mail addresses: ahmetyildiz@dumlupinar.edu.tr, uc_de@yahoo.com, cengiz@uludag.edu.tr, arslan@uludag.edu.tr

This paper is supported by the Dumlupınar University research found (Project No: 2004-9).

1. Introduction

A Riemannian manifold (M^{2n+1}, g) is said to be *semi-symmetric* if its curvature tensor R satisfies $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$, $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, where $R(X, Y)$ acts on R as a derivation (see [11] and [15]). In [16], S. Tanno showed that a semi-symmetric K -contact manifold M^{2n+1} ($2n + 1 > 3$) is locally isometric to the unit sphere $S^{2n+1}(1)$.

A contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} satisfying $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$, where ξ is the characteristic vector field of the contact structure, is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$ for $2n+1 > 3$ and flat in dimension 3 ([4] or see [5]). In [14], D. Perrone studied a contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} ($2n + 1 > 3$) satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$; he shows that under additional assumptions the manifold is either Sasakian (and of constant curvature $+1$) or $R(X, \xi)\xi = 0$.

Baikoussis and Koufogiorgos [2] showed that an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot C = 0$, is either locally isometric to $S^{2n+1}(1)$ or locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$, where C is the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of M^{2n+1} . This generalizes a result of Chaki and Tarafdar [8] that a Sasakian manifold M^{2n+1} satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot C = 0$ is locally isometric to $S^{2n+1}(1)$. In [13], Papanтониou showed that a semi-symmetric contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} ($2n + 1 > 3$) with ξ belonging to the (k, μ) -nullity distribution is either locally isometric to $S^{2n+1}(1)$ or locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$. Both Perrone and Papanтониou also studied contact metric manifolds satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, where S denotes the Ricci tensor of M^{2n+1} . In [14], Perrone showed that if ξ belongs to the k -nullity distribution, where k is a function, with $R(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, then M^{2n+1} is either Einstein–Sasakian manifold or locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$. De, Kim and Shaikh [9] studied contact metric manifolds with characteristic vector field ξ belonging to the (k, μ) -nullity distribution satisfying $R(X, \xi) \cdot C = 0$.

Recently, in [6], the authors studied contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} satisfying the curvature conditions $Z(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$, $Z(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ and $R(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$, where Z is the *concircular curvature tensor* of M^{2n+1} defined by

$$(1.1) \quad Z(X, Y)W = R(X, Y)W - \frac{\tau}{2n(2n+1)}(X \wedge_g Y)W,$$

and τ is the scalar curvature.

In the theory of the projective transformations of connections the Weyl projective curvature tensor plays an important role. The *Weyl projective curvature tensor* P in a Riemannian manifold (M^{2n+1}, g) is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad P(X, Y)W = R(X, Y)W - \frac{1}{2n}(X \wedge_S Y)W,$$

where S is the Ricci tensor.

In the present paper we give a full classification of the $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} satisfying the curvature conditions $P(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$, $R(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$, $P(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$, $P(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ and $P(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$. In the conclusive part, we prove that an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with non-vanishing recurrent Weyl curvature tensor does not exist.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M^{2n+1}, g) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold of class C^∞ . We denote *Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor* by

$$(2.1) \quad R(X, Y)W = \nabla_X \nabla_Y W - \nabla_Y \nabla_X W - \nabla_{[X, Y]}W,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, $\chi(M)$ being the Lie algebra of vector fields on M .

A contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} is said to be *Einstein* if its Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$(2.2) \quad S(X, Y) = \gamma g(X, Y),$$

for any vector fields X, Y , where γ is a constant on M^{2n+1} [3].

We next define the endomorphism $X \wedge_A Y$ of $\chi(M)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad (X \wedge_A Y)W = A(Y, W)X - A(X, W)Y,$$

where $X, Y, W \in \chi(M)$ and A is a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field.

Now, the homomorphisms $R(X, Y) \cdot R$, $R(X, Y) \cdot S$ and the endomorphisms $(X \wedge_A Y) \cdot R$, $(X \wedge_A Y) \cdot S$ are defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (R(X, Y) \cdot R)(U, V)W &= R(X, Y)R(U, V)W - R(R(X, Y)U, V)W \\ &\quad - R(U, R(X, Y)V)W - R(U, V)R(X, Y)W, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5) \quad (R(X, Y) \cdot S)(U, V) = -S(R(X, Y)U, V) - S(U, R(X, Y)V),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} ((X \wedge_A Y) \cdot R)(U, V)W = \\ = (X \wedge_A Y)R(U, V)W - R((X \wedge_A Y)U, V)W - \\ - R(U, (X \wedge_A Y)V)W - R(U, V)(X \wedge_A Y)W, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7) \quad ((X \wedge_A Y) \cdot S)(U, V) = -S((X \wedge_A Y)U, V) - S(U, (X \wedge_A Y)V),$$

respectively, where $X, Y, U, V, W \in \chi(M)$ and A is a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field on (M, g) . For the case $A = S$ the last equation vanishes, i.e.

$$(2.8) \quad ((X \wedge_A Y) \cdot S)(U, V) = 0.$$

From now on we assume that M^{2n+1} is an $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold of class C^∞ . The manifold M^{2n+1} is said to admit an *almost contact structure*, sometimes called a (ϕ, ξ, η) -*structure*, if it admits a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$ a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying

$$(2.9) \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0.$$

An almost contact structure is said to be *normal* if the induced almost complex structure J on the product manifold $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J \left(X, \lambda \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \left(\phi X - \lambda \xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt} \right)$$

is integrable, where X is tangent to M^{2n+1} , t the coordinate of \mathbb{R} and λ a smooth function on $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let g be a compatible Riemannian metric with (ϕ, ξ, η) , that is,

$$(2.10) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

or equivalently,

$$g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$$

for all $X, Y \in TM^{2n+1}$. Then, M becomes an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) .

An almost contact metric structure becomes a contact metric structure if

$$g(X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y).$$

The 1-form η is then a contact form and ξ is its characteristic vector field. It is well known that the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold admits a contact metric structure satisfying $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$ [4]. On the other hand, we have on a Sasakian manifold [3]

$$(2.11) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y.$$

In [4], Blair, Koufogiorgos and Papantoniou considered the (k, μ) -nullity condition on a contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} . The (k, μ) -nullity distribution of a contact manifold M is a distribution

$$N(k, \mu) : p \longrightarrow N_p(k, \mu) = \left\{ W \in T_p M \mid \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)W &= k [g(Y, W)X - g(X, W)Y] \\ &+ \mu [g(Y, W)hX - g(X, W)hY] \end{aligned} \right\},$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$, where $(k, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $k \leq 1$. For more details see also [4], [13].

In particular a contact metric manifold M is Sasakian if and only if $k = 1$ and, consequently, $\mu = 0$ [4].

Furthermore, in a (k, μ) -contact manifold

$$(2.12) \quad S(X, \xi) = 2nk\eta(X),$$

$$(2.13) \quad Q\xi = 2nk\xi,$$

$$(2.14) \quad h^2 = (k - 1)\phi^2,$$

$$(2.15) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = k\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\} + \mu\{\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY\},$$

$$(2.16) \quad R(\xi, X)Y = k\{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\} + \mu\{g(hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)hX\},$$

holds, where Q is the Ricci operator defined by $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$.

If $\mu = 0$, the (k, μ) -nullity distribution $N(k, \mu)$ is reduced to the k -nullity distribution [16], where k -nullity distribution $N(k)$ of a Riemannian manifold M is defined by

$$(2.17) \quad N(k) : p \longrightarrow N_p(k) = \{W \in T_p M \mid R(X, Y)W = k(X \wedge_g Y)W\}.$$

If $\xi \in N(k)$, then we call a contact metric manifold M an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. For a $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold the equations (2.15) and (2.16) reduce to

$$(2.18) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = k\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\},$$

$$(2.19) \quad R(\xi, X)Y = k \{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\},$$

respectively. If $k = 1$ then an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is Sasakian and if $k = 0$ then an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$ for $n > 1$ and flat for $n = 1$. In [1], $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds were studied in some detail. In particular, if $k < 1$, the scalar curvature is $\tau = 2n(2n - 2 + k)$.

Using (1.1), (1.2), (2.18) and (2.19) for an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold we have the followings:

$$(2.20) \quad P(\xi, X)Y = kg(X, Y)\xi - \frac{1}{2n}S(X, Y)\xi,$$

$$(2.21) \quad P(X, Y)\xi = 0,$$

$$(2.22) \quad Z(\xi, X)Y = \left(k - \frac{\tau}{2n(2n+1)}\right)\{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\},$$

$$(2.23) \quad Z(X, Y)\xi = \left(k - \frac{\tau}{2n(2n+1)}\right)\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\}.$$

The standard contact metric structure on the tangent sphere bundle T_1M satisfies the (k, μ) -nullity condition if and only if the base manifold M is of constant curvature. In particular if M has constant curvature c , then $k = c(2 - c)$ and $\mu = -2c$.

We also recall the notion of a \mathcal{D} -homothetic deformation. For a given contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) , this is the structure defined by

$$\bar{\eta} = a\eta, \quad \bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{a}\xi, \quad \bar{\varphi} = \varphi, \quad \bar{g} = ag + a(a-1)\eta \otimes \eta,$$

where a is a positive constant. While such a change preserves the state of being contact metric, K -contact, Sasakian or strongly pseudo-convex CR , it destroys a condition like $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$ or

$$R(X, Y)\xi = k \{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\}.$$

However the form of the (k, μ) -nullity condition is preserved under a \mathcal{D} -homothetic deformation with

$$\bar{k} = \frac{k + a^2 - 1}{a^2}, \quad \bar{\mu} = \frac{\mu + 2a - 2}{a}.$$

Given a non-Sasakian (k, μ) -manifold M , E. Boeckx [7] introduced an invariant

$$I_M = \frac{1 - \frac{\mu}{2}}{\sqrt{1 - k}}$$

and showed that for two non-Sasakian (k, μ) -manifolds $(M_i, \varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, we have $I_{M_1} = I_{M_2}$ if and only if up to a \mathcal{D} -homothetic deformation, the two manifolds are locally isometric as contact metric manifolds. Thus we know all non-Sasakian (k, μ) -manifolds locally as soon as we have for every odd dimension $2n + 1$ and for every possible value of the invariant I , one (k, μ) -manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ with $I_M = I$. For $I > -1$ such examples may be found from the standard contact metric structure on the tangent sphere bundle of a manifold of constant curvature c , where we have $I = \frac{1+c}{|1-c|}$. E. Boeckx also gives a Lie algebra construction for any odd dimension and value of $I \leq -1$.

In Th. 6 of the present paper we need the following example.

Example 1 [7]. Since the Boeckx invariant for a $(1 - \frac{1}{n}, 0)$ -manifold is $\sqrt{n} > -1$, we consider the tangent sphere bundle of an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold of constant curvature c , so chosen that the resulting \mathcal{D} -homothetic deformation will be a $(1 - \frac{1}{n}, 0)$ -manifold. That is for $k = c(2 - c)$ and $\mu = -2c$ we solve

$$1 - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{k + a^2 - 1}{a^2}, \quad 0 = \frac{\mu + 2a - 2}{a}$$

for a and c . The result is

$$c = \frac{(\sqrt{n} \pm 1)^2}{n - 1}, \quad a = 1 + c$$

and taking c and a to be these values we obtain an $N(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ -contact metric manifold.

3. $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions

In the present section we consider $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} satisfying the curvature conditions $P(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$, $R(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$, $P(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, $P(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$ and $P(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$. First we recall the following result:

Theorem 1 [14]. *Let M be a contact Riemannian manifold. If*

- a) $R(X, \xi) \cdot S = 0$,
- b) $R(X, Y)\xi = k \{ \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \}$,

then M is either locally isometric to the Riemannian product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$ or M is an Einstein-Sasakian manifold.

Now we give the following main results:

Theorem 2. *Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold that satisfies*

$$P(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$$

then M is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$ or is an Einstein manifold. Furthermore if M is an Einstein manifold then $P(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$.

Proof. Let M^{2n+1} be an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying $P(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$.

By (2.4), we can write

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (P(\xi, X) \cdot R)(U, V)W &= \\ &= P(\xi, X)R(U, V)W - R(P(\xi, X)U, V)W - \\ &\quad - R(U, P(\xi, X)V)W - R(U, V)P(\xi, X)W = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $X, U, V, W \in \chi(M)$. Developing the right-hand side of (3.1) and using the hypothesis and (2.18), (2.19), (2.12), (1.2), (2.3) we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &kg(R(U, V)W, X)\xi - \frac{1}{2n}S(X, R(U, V)W)\xi - k^2g(X, U)g(V, W)\xi + \\ &+ k^2g(X, U)\eta(W)V + \frac{k}{2n}S(X, U)g(V, W)\xi - \frac{k}{2n}S(X, U)\eta(W)V + \\ &+ k^2g(X, V)g(U, W)\xi - k^2g(X, V)\eta(W)U - \frac{k}{2n}S(X, V)g(U, W)\xi + \\ &+ \frac{k}{2n}S(X, V)\eta(W)U + k^2g(X, W)\eta(U)V - k^2g(X, W)\eta(V)U + \\ &+ \frac{k}{2n}S(X, W)\eta(V)U - \frac{k}{2n}S(X, W)\eta(U)V = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product with ξ in (3.2), again using (2.18) and (2.19), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &kg(R(U, V)W, X) - \frac{1}{2n}S(X, R(U, V)W) - \\ &\quad - k^2g(X, U)g(V, W) + k^2g(X, U)\eta(W)\eta(V) + \\ &\quad + \frac{k}{2n}S(X, U)g(V, W) - \frac{k}{2n}S(X, U)\eta(W)\eta(V) + \\ &\quad + k^2g(X, V)g(U, W) - k^2g(X, V)\eta(W)\eta(U) - \\ &\quad - \frac{k}{2n}S(X, V)g(U, W) + \frac{k}{2n}S(X, V)\eta(W)\eta(U) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting U by ξ in (3.3) and using (2.18), we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{k}{2n}S(X, V)\eta(W) - k^2g(X, V)\eta(W) = 0.$$

If $k = 0$ then M^{2n+1} is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$. If $k \neq 0$, again substituting W by ξ in (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) \quad S(X, V) = 2nkg(X, V).$$

Thus M^{2n+1} is an Einstein manifold.

Conversely, if M is an Einstein manifold then (3.5) holds by virtue of (2.13). Hence we substitute (3.5) in (2.20) and get $P(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$. Our theorem is thus proved. \diamond

Theorem 3. *Let a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold M satisfies*

$$R(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$$

then either M^{2n+1} is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$ or M^{2n+1} is an Einstein manifold.

Proof. Let M^{2n+1} be an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold such that $R(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$. By (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi, X)P(U, V)W - P(R(\xi, X)U, V)W - \\ - P(U, R(\xi, X)V)W - P(U, V)R(\xi, X)W = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2), (2.18) and (2.19), we get

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} kg(P(U, V)W, X)\xi - k\eta(P(U, V)W)X - kg(X, U)P(\xi, V)W + \\ + k\eta(U)P(X, V)W - kg(X, V)P(U, \xi)W + k\eta(V)P(U, X)W - \\ - kg(X, W)P(U, V)\xi + k\eta(W)P(U, V)X = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.21), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} kg(P(U, V)W, X)\xi - k\eta(P(U, V)W)X - kg(X, U)P(\xi, V)W + \\ + k\eta(U)P(X, V)W - kg(X, V)P(U, \xi)W + k\eta(V)P(U, X)W + \\ + k\eta(W)P(U, V)X = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product with ξ in (3.7), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} kg(P(U, V)W, X) - k\eta(P(U, V)W)\eta(X) - kg(X, U)\eta(P(\xi, V)W) + \\ + k\eta(U)\eta(P(X, V)W) - kg(X, V)\eta(P(U, \xi)W) + \\ + k\eta(V)\eta(P(U, X)W) + k\eta(W)\eta(P(U, V)X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.12) and (2.19) in (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & kg(R(U, V)W, X) - k^2g(X, U)\eta(W)\eta(V) + \\
 & + k^2g(X, V)\eta(W)\eta(U) - \frac{k}{2n}S(X, V)\eta(W)\eta(U) + \\
 & + \frac{k}{2n}S(X, U)\eta(W)\eta(V) - k\eta(X)g(R(U, V)W, \xi) + \\
 & + k\eta(U)g(R(X, V)W, \xi) + k\eta(V)g(R(U, X)W, \xi) + \\
 & + k\eta(W)g(R(U, V)X, \xi) - kg(X, U)g(R(\xi, V)W, \xi) + \\
 & + kg(X, V)g(R(\xi, U)W, \xi) - \frac{k}{2n}S(X, W)\eta(U)\eta(V) + \\
 & + \frac{k}{2n}S(W, U)\eta(X)\eta(V) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\tilde{e}_i : i = 1, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ be an orthonormal ϕ -basis of vector fields in M^{2n+1} . If we put $V = W = \tilde{e}_i$ in (3.9) and sum up with respect to i and using (2.12), (2.13), (2.18) and (2.19), then we get

$$(3.10) \quad k \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right) S(U, X) - (2n + 1)kg(U, X) \right] = 0.$$

If $k = 0$ then M is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$. If $k \neq 0$, from (3.10), we have

$$(3.11) \quad S(U, W) = 2nkg(U, W),$$

which means that M^{2n+1} is an Einstein manifold.

Theorem 4. *If a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold M satisfies*

$$P(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$$

then either M is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$ or M is an Einstein-Sasakian manifold.

Proof. Let M^{2n+1} be an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. By the equations (2.8) and (1.2) the condition $P(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ turns into

$$(R(\xi, X) \cdot S)(U, V) = \frac{1}{2n}((\xi \wedge_S X) \cdot S)(U, V) = 0.$$

Hence using Th. 1, we get the result. Thus, our theorem is proved. \diamond

Theorem 5. *If a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold M satisfies*

$$P(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$$

then the condition

$$S^2(X, U) = 4nkS(X, U) - 4n^2k^2g(X, U),$$

holds on M .

Proof. Let M^{2n+1} be an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying $P(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$. Then we get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (P(\xi, X) \cdot P)(U, V)W &= P(\xi, X)P(U, V)W - P(P(\xi, X)U, V)W - \\ &\quad - P(U, P(\xi, X)V)W - P(U, V)P(\xi, X)W = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4), (2.18) and (2.19) in (3.12), and taking the inner product with ξ we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} kg(X, R(U, V)W) - \frac{1}{2n}S(X, P(U, V)W) + \frac{k}{2n}g(X, V)S(U, W) - \\ - k^2g(X, U)g(V, W) + \frac{k}{2n}S(X, U)g(V, W) + k^2g(X, V)g(U, W) - \\ - \frac{k}{2n}g(X, V)S(U, W) - \frac{k}{2n}S(X, V)g(U, W) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\tilde{e}_i : i = 1, \dots, 2n + 1\}$ be a orthonormal ϕ -basis of vector fields in M^{2n+1} . If we put $V = W = \tilde{e}_i$ in (3.13) and sum up with respect to i and using (2.12) and (2.13), then we get

$$(3.14) \quad S^2(X, U) = 4nkS(X, U) - 4n^2k^2g(X, U).$$

Lemma 1 [10]. *Let A be a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor at point x of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $\dim(M) \geq 3$, and let $T = g \bar{\wedge} A$ be the Kulkarni–Nomizu product of g and A . Then, the relation*

$$T \cdot T = \alpha Q(g, T), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

is satisfied at x if and only if the condition

$$A^2 = \alpha A + \lambda g, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

holds at x .

Corollary 1. *Let M^{2n+1} be a $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying the condition $P(\xi, X) \cdot P = 0$ then $T \cdot T = \alpha Q(g, T)$, where $T = g \bar{\wedge} S$ and $\alpha = 4nk$.*

Regarding the concircular curvature tensor we have:

Theorem 6. *If a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional non-Sasakian $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold M satisfies*

$$P(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$$

then either M is locally isometric to the manifold of Ex. 1 or M is an Einstein manifold.

Proof. Let M^{2n+1} be an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying $P(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$. Then we can write

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (P(\xi, X) \cdot Z)(U, V)W &= \\ &= P(\xi, X)Z(U, V)W - Z(P(\xi, X)U, V)W \\ &\quad - Z(U, P(\xi, X)V)W - Z(U, V)P(\xi, X)W = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $X, U, V, W \in \chi(M)$. Using (2.18) in (3.15), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} kg(X, Z(U, V)W)\xi - \frac{1}{2n}S(X, Z(U, V)W)\xi - \\ - kg(X, U)Z(\xi, V)W + \frac{1}{2n}S(X, U)Z(\xi, V)W - \\ - kg(X, V)Z(U, \xi)W + \frac{1}{2n}S(X, V)Z(U, \xi)W - \\ - kg(X, W)Z(U, V)\xi + \frac{1}{2n}S(X, W)Z(U, V)\xi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product with ξ in (3.16) and substituting V by ξ and using (2.22) and (2.23), we get

$$(3.17) \quad \tilde{A}kg(X, U)\eta(W) - \frac{\tilde{A}}{2n}S(X, U)\eta(W) = 0,$$

where $\tilde{A} = k - \frac{\tau}{2n(2n+1)}$. Again substituting W by ξ in (3.17), we get

$$\tilde{A}[kg(X, U) - \frac{1}{2n}S(X, U)] = 0.$$

Therefore either $\tilde{A} = 0$ or

$$S(X, U) = 2nkg(X, U).$$

Thus M^{2n+1} is an Einstein manifold if $\tilde{A} \neq 0$.

If $\tilde{A} = 0$, then we have $\tau = 2n(2n + 1)k$. Thus, we recall that the scalar curvature of an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is $\tau = 2n(2n - 2 + k)$. Comparing $k = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and hence M is locally isometric to the manifold of Ex. 1 for $n > 1$ and to the flat case if $n = 1$.

4. Weyl projective recurrent contact metric manifolds

A non-flat Riemannian manifold M is said to be *Weyl projective recurrent* if the Weyl projective curvature tensor P satisfies the condition

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla P = A \otimes P,$$

where A is an everywhere non-zero 1-form [12].

Then, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 7. *An $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with non-vanishing recurrent Weyl curvature tensor does not exist.*

Proof. If possible, let M^{2n+1} be an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with non-vanishing recurrent Weyl projective curvature tensor. Then from (4.1), we get

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y P = (XA(Y) + A(X)A(Y))P,$$

which implies that

$$(4.2) \quad R(X, Y) \cdot P = 2dA(X, Y)P.$$

We define a function f on M^{2n+1} by $f^2 = g(P, P)$, where g is the usual extension to the inner product between the tensor fields. Since Riemannian metric tensor is parallel, by (4.1) and (4.2) it follows that

$$f(Xf) = f^2 A(X),$$

or,

$$(4.3) \quad Xf = fA(X).$$

By (4.3), it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 2dA(X, Y)f &= (XA(Y) - YA(X) - A([X, Y]))f \\ &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]})f \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is non-vanishing by assumption, the 1-form A has to be closed. Thus, by (4.2) and (4.3) we get $R(X, Y) \cdot P = 0$, which in view of Th. 3 and the assumption non-vanishing of P , shows that M^{2n+1} is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$. But $E^{n+1}(0) \times S^n(4)$ satisfies $\nabla P = 0$ [5], hence our assumption is not possible. \diamond

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions in the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] BAIKOUSSIS, C., BLAIR, D. E. and KOUFOGIORGOS, T.: A decomposition of the curvature tensor of a contact manifold satisfying $R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$, Mathematics Technical Report, University of Ioannina, 1992.
- [2] BAIKOUSSIS, C. and KOUFOGIORGOS, T.: On a type of contact manifolds, *J. Geom.* **46** (1993), 1–9.
- [3] BLAIR, D. E.: Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lectures Notes in Mathematics 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [4] BLAIR, D. E., KOUFOGIORGOS, T. and PAPANTONIOU, B. J.: Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, *Israel Journal of Math.* **91** (1995), 189–214.
- [5] BLAIR, D. E.: Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progress in Mathematics, 203, Birkhäuser, Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [6] BLAIR, D. E., KIM, J. S. and TRIPATHI, M. M.: On the concircular curvature tensor of a contact metric manifold, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **42** (2005), 883–892.
- [7] BOECKX, E.: A full classification of contact metric (k, μ) -spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* **44** (2000), 212–219.
- [8] CHAKI, M. C. and TARAFDAR, M.: On a type of Sasakian manifolds, *Soochow J. Math.* **16** (1990), 23–28.
- [9] DE, U. C., KIM, Y. H. and SHAIKH, A. A.: Contact metric manifolds with ξ belonging to the (k, μ) -nullity distribution, *Indian J. of Math.* **47** (2005), 295–304.
- [10] DESZCZ, R., VERSTRAELEN, L. and YAPRAK, Ş.: Warped Products realizing a certain condition of Pseudosymmetry type imposed on the Weyl curvature tensor, *Chinese J. Math.* **22** (1994), no. 2, 139–157.
- [11] KOWALSKI, O.: An explicit classification of 3-dimensional Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **46** (1996), 427–474.
- [12] MISHRA, R. S. and POKHARIYAL, G. P.: Curvature tensors in Riemannian manifold, *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math.* **2** (1971), 529–532.
- [13] PAPANTONIOU, B. J.: Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ and $\xi \in (k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution, *Yokohama Math. J.* **40** (1993), 149–161.
- [14] PERRONE, D.: Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying $R(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$, *Yokohama Math. J.* **39** (1992), no. 2, 141–149.
- [15] SZABO, Z. I.: Structure theorems on Riemannian manifolds satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$, I, Local version, *J. Diff. Geo.* **17** (1982), 531–582.
- [16] TANNO, S.: Ricci Curvatures of Contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* **40** (1988), 441–448.