

SOME REMARKS ON A THEOREM OF H. DABOUSSI

Imre **Kátai**

*Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Computer Algebra, and
Research Group of Applied Number Theory of the Hungarian
Academy of Sciences, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, H-1117 Bu-
dapest, Hungary*

Dedicated to Professor Karl-Heinz Indlekofer on his 65th anniversary

Received: March 2008

MSC 2000: 11 A 63

Keywords: Exponential sums, uniform distribution mod 1 of sequences.

Abstract: Several generalizations of a famous theorem of H. Daboussi are surveyed.

1. Notation

\mathcal{A} = set of real valued additive arithmetical functions

\mathcal{M} = set of complex valued multiplicative arithmetical functions

$\mathcal{M}_1 := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid |f(n)| \leq 1 \ (n \in \mathbb{N})\}$

\mathcal{A}_q = set of q -additive functions

\mathcal{M}_q = set of q -multiplicative functions

$e(x) := e^{2\pi ix}$

\mathcal{L}^* = set of uniformly summable functions (introduced by K.-H. Indlekofer):

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to \mathcal{L}^* if

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \sup_x \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\substack{|f(n)| \geq y \\ n \leq x}} |f(n)| = 0.$$

2. The theorem of Daboussi

H. Daboussi proved that for every irrational $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, uniformly in $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(n\alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof is given in his paper [4] written jointly with H. Delange. Later Delange extended this result for $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$, i.e. for those $f \in \mathcal{M}$ for which

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} |f(n)|^2 = O(1).$$

Indlekofer proved (2.1) for a wider class, namely for $f \in \mathcal{L}^*$.

Daboussi deduced his theorem by using the “large sieve inequality”.

The speed of the convergence was treated by H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan [18]. They proved that the left-hand side of (2.1) is less than a constant times of

$$\frac{x}{\log x} + \frac{x \log R}{\sqrt{R}},$$

where $2 \leq r \leq \sqrt{x}$, $|\alpha - \frac{r}{s}| \leq \frac{R}{\Delta x}$, $R \leq \Delta \leq \frac{x}{R}$, $(r, s) = 1$. An immediate consequence of Daboussi’s theorem is the following:

If α is an irrational number and $F \in \mathcal{A}$, then the sequence

$$\xi_n = \xi_n(F) = F(n) + \alpha n$$

is uniformly distributed mod 1, and even the discrepancy

$$D_N(\xi_1(F), \dots, \xi_N(F))$$

tends to 0 uniformly as F runs over the class of additive functions.

Let \mathcal{T} be the set of those $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$\sup_{F \in \mathcal{A}} |D_N(\eta_1(F), \dots, \eta_N(F))| \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\eta_n(F) = F(n) + t(n)$.

3. Generalization of Daboussi’s theorem

I observed that (2.1) can be proved by using the Turán–Kubilius inequality instead of the large sieve inequality, and this method allows us to prove wide generalization of (2.1) ([13]).

Theorem 1. *Let $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let us assume that for every positive K there exists a finite set \mathcal{P}_K of primes $p_1 < \dots < p_R$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad A_{\mathcal{P}_K} := \sum_{i=1}^R \frac{1}{p_i} > K,$$

and for the sequences

$$\eta_{i,j}(m) = t(p_i m) - t(p_j m)$$

the relation

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} e(\eta_{i,j}(m)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds, whenever $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, R\}$. Then there exists a sequence $\rho_x (> 0)$ tending to zero such that

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} \left| \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n < x} f(n) e(t(n)) \right| \leq \rho_x.$$

Theorem 2. Let $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and \mathcal{P}_K be as in Th. 1. Assume that $\eta_{i,j}(m)$ are uniformly distributed modulo 1 for every $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, R\}$. Then $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

We give a proof of Th. 1. Let c, c_1, c_2, \dots be absolute positive constants, B, B_1, B_2, \dots be numbers, or functions which can be majorized by absolute constants. After fixing a K we put $\mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{P}$, and

$$\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) = \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} 1.$$

From the Turán–Kubilius inequality, we get immediately

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} |\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n) - A_{\mathcal{P}}| \leq c_1 x \sqrt{A_{\mathcal{P}}}.$$

Let

$$(3.6) \quad S(x) = S(x, f) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(t(n))$$

$$(3.7) \quad H(x) = H(x, f) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(t(n)) \omega_{\mathcal{P}}(n).$$

From (3.5) we obtain that

$$(3.8) \quad |H(x) - A_{\mathcal{P}}S(x)| \leq c_2x\sqrt{A_{\mathcal{P}}},$$

furthermore

$$(3.9) \quad H(x) = \sum_{\substack{pm \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} f(pm)e(t(pm)).$$

For $(p, m) = 1$ we can write $f(pm) = f(p)f(m)$. The contribution of the pairs $p|m$ on the right-hand side of (3.9) can be majorized by $x \sum \frac{1}{p_i^2}$, consequently

$$(3.10) \quad H(x) = \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{p_1}} f(m) \sum_{p_i \leq \frac{x}{m}} f(p_i)e(t(p_i m)) + B_1x = \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{p_1}} f(m)\Sigma_m + B_1x.$$

Since $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2+b^2)$ for real a, b , by using the Cauchy-inequality, we get

$$(3.11) \quad |H(x)|^2 \leq 2 \left\{ \left(\sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{p_1}} |f(m)|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{p_1}} |\Sigma_m|^2 \right) \right\} + 2B_1^2x^2 = 2UV + 2B_1^2x^2.$$

We have $U \leq x$. Furthermore,

$$(3.12) \quad V = \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{p_1}} \sum_{p_i, p_j \leq \frac{x}{m}} f(p_i)\bar{f}(p_j)e(t(p_i m) - t(p_j m)).$$

The contribution of the terms $p_i = p_j$ on the right-hand side of (3.12) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^R \left[\frac{x}{p_i} \right] < xA_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Consequently

$$(3.13) \quad V \leq xA_{\mathcal{P}} + \sum_{\substack{p_i, p_j \in \mathcal{P} \\ i \neq j}} \left| \sum_{m \leq \min\left(\frac{x}{p_i}, \frac{x}{p_j}\right)} e(\eta_{i,j}(m)) \right|.$$

Collecting our inequalities we get

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{|S(x)|^2 A_{\mathcal{P}}^2}{x^2} \leq c_2 A_{\mathcal{P}} + \sum_{\substack{p_i, p_j \in \mathcal{P} \\ i \neq j}} \left| \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq \min\left(\frac{x}{p_i}, \frac{x}{p_j}\right)} e((t(p_i m) - t(p_j m))) \right|.$$

Let $B(x) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} |S(x, f)|$.

Since the right-hand side of (3.14) does not depend on f , therefore (3.14) holds for $B(x)$ instead of $S(x, f)$. Consequently

$$(3.15) \quad \limsup \left(\frac{B(x)}{x} \right)^2 \leq \frac{c_3}{A_{\mathcal{P}}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_K$ can be chosen for an arbitrary K , and $A_{\mathcal{P}} > K$, therefore (3.15) equals to zero. The theorem is proved. \diamond

Remarks. 1. Let $t(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \dots + \alpha_1 n$ be a polynomial of n such that at least one of the coefficients $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ is irrational. Then the conditions of Ths. 1 and 2 hold.

2. If $t \in \mathcal{T}$, then $t(n)$ is uniformly distributed modulo one. The opposite assertion is not true. Let $\omega(n)$ be the number of prime divisors of n . It can be proved in several ways that $\alpha\omega(n)$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 for every irrational α . Then $\alpha\omega(n) = u(n)$ can not be in \mathcal{T} , since for $F(n) = -\alpha\omega(n) \in \mathcal{A}$, $P(n) + u(n) = 0$ identically.

Th. 1 can be extended to functions of $f \in L^*$. See [10].

4. Generalization to q -multiplicative functions

It is clear that $e(\alpha n)$ is a q -multiplicative function of module 1.

In a paper written jointly with Indlekofer [11] we proved the following assertion:

Theorem 3. *Let $f \in L^*$, and $g \in \mathcal{M}_q$, $|g(n)| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Assume that*

$$(4.1) \quad \limsup_x \frac{1}{x} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)g(n) \right| > 0.$$

Then $g(n)$ can be written as $g(n) = e(\frac{r}{D})h(n)$ with a suitable rational number $\frac{r}{D}$ and with a function $h \in \mathcal{M}_q$, $|h(n)| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^{q-1} \operatorname{Re}(1 - h(cq^j)) < \infty.$$

If the Bohr–Fourier spectrum of f is empty, then

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)g(n) \rightarrow 0$$

for each $g \in \mathcal{M}_q$, $|g(n)| = 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

It is known from a theorem of Kim, that $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_q$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1, if and only if either for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists such a j for which

$$\sum_{c=0}^{q-1} e(k\varphi(cq^j)) = 0,$$

or

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^{q-1} \|\varphi(cq^j)\|^2 = \infty.$$

Hence one can deduce that for $f \in \mathcal{A}_q$ the sequence $\varphi(nq^R)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is uniformly distributed modulo 1 for every R , if and only if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{c=0}^{q-1} \|\varphi(cq^j)\|^2 = \infty.$$

From Th. 3 one gets easily:

Theorem 4. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_q$ and $\varphi(nq^R)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) be uniformly distributed modulo 1 for every $R \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then for each additive functions $F(n)$, the sequence $F(n) + \varphi(nq^R)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is uniformly distributed modulo 1 for every $R \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

5. The analogue of Daboussi's theorem for some special subsets of integers

Let \mathcal{N}_k be the set of the integers the number of the prime powers of which is k . Let $N_k(x)$ be the size of $n \leq x$, $n \in \mathcal{N}_k$. In our paper [11] we proved

Theorem 5. *Let $0 < \delta (< 1)$ be an arbitrary constant, and α be an irrational number. Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\delta \leq \frac{k}{\log \log x} < 2-\delta} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} \frac{1}{N_k(x)} \left| \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ m \in \mathcal{N}_k}} f(m) e(m\alpha) \right| = 0.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Th. 1. It depends on an important assertion due to Dupain, Hall, Tenenbaum [6], namely that

$$\sup_{\frac{k}{\log \log x} \leq (2-\delta)} \frac{1}{N_k(x)} \left| \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ m \in \mathcal{N}_k}} e(m\alpha) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

6. Some other questions

Let

$$S(x, \alpha, X_p) := \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 < x \\ p_1 < p_2}} X_{p_1} X_{p_2} e(\alpha p_1 p_2)$$

where p_1, p_2 run over the set of primes,

$$\pi_2(x) = \sum_{\substack{p_1 p_2 < x \\ p_1 < p_2}} 1.$$

Conjecture 1. *If α is an irrational number, then*

$$(6.1) \quad \max_{|x_p| \leq 1} \frac{|S(x, \alpha, X_p)|}{\pi_2(x)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

In [14] I proved a weaker version of Conj. 1, namely

Condition $_\delta$. *Let α be an irrational number for which for all $x \geq x_0$ there exists a rational number $\frac{a}{q}$, $(a, q) = 1$, $x^{\frac{2}{3}+\delta} < q < x^{1-\delta}$, and for $\beta = \alpha - \frac{a}{q}$, $|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{q^2}$.*

Here δ is an arbitrary small positive number.

Theorem 6. *Let $\delta > 0$, and assume that Cond. $_\delta$ holds for α . Then (6.1) holds true.*

Huixue Lao [17] strengthened this theorem, proving that (6.1) holds true if the irrationality measure $\mu(\alpha)$ of α is finite.

Let $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ be the set of those positive real numbers μ for which

$$q^{\mu-1} \|q\alpha\| > 1$$

for every q larger than a constant $\chi_0 = \chi_0(\mu)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ is a halfline. Then the irrationality measure of α is defined as

$$\mu(\alpha) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)} \mu.$$

(If $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ is empty, then $\mu(\alpha)$ is defined to be $\mu(\alpha) = \infty$.) In our paper [12] written with K.-H. Indlekofer we proved the following assertion.

Let $\mathcal{M}_x = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_t\}$ be a set of integers depending on the parameter x , and let

$$\nu(\mathcal{M}_x) := \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{1}{m_j}.$$

We shall assume that $m_t \leq x^{\delta x}$, where $\delta x \rightarrow 0$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$). Let \mathcal{P} be the whole set of primes,

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \left\{ m_j p \mid p \in \mathcal{P}, \sqrt{x} \leq p \leq \frac{x}{m_j} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_x = \bigcup_{j=1}^t \mathcal{B}_j,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_j(x) := \#\mathcal{B}_j = \pi\left(\frac{x}{m_j}\right) - \pi(\sqrt{x}) = (1 + o_x(1)) \frac{\pi(x)}{m_j}$$

uniformly in $j = 1, \dots, t$. Then

$$H(x) := \#\mathcal{H}_x = \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{B}_j(x) = (1 + o_x(1)) \nu(\mathcal{M}_x) \pi(x).$$

Let

$$S(x|\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{m_j p \in \mathcal{B}_j} Y_{m_j} X_p e(m_j p \alpha),$$

where $|Y_{m_j}| \leq 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, t$), $|X_p| \leq 1$ ($p \in \mathcal{P}$).

Theorem 7. *Assume that $\delta > 0$, Cond._δ holds for α . Assume furthermore that $\nu(\mathcal{M}_x) \rightarrow \infty$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$). Then*

$$\max_{\substack{Y_m, X_p \\ |Y_{m_j}| \leq 1, |X_p| \leq 1}} \frac{|S(x|\alpha)|}{H(x)} =: \Delta(x, \alpha) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 8. *Assume that Cond._δ holds for α . Let $\rho_x \downarrow 0$, $2 \leq k \leq \rho_x \log \log x$. Let $\mathcal{P}_k = \{n \mid \omega(n) = k\}$, $P(n)$ be the largest prime factor of n , $\pi_k(x) = \#\{n \leq x \mid n \in \mathcal{P}_k\}$. Let us write every $n \in \mathcal{P}_k$ in the form $n = mp$, $P(n) = p$. Assume that Y_m, X_p are defined for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$ which occur in the representation of $n = mp$, and let $|Y_m| \leq 1$, $|X_p| \leq 1$.*

Let

$$S_k(x|\alpha) := \sum_{\substack{mp \leq x \\ \omega(mp) = k \\ p = P(mp)}} Y_m X_p e(mp \alpha).$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{2 \leq k \leq \rho_x \log \log x} \sup_{Y_m, X_p} \frac{|S_k(x|\alpha)|}{\pi_k(x)} = 0.$$

Lao noted that Ths. 7 and 8 remain true under the weaker condition that α is of finite irrationality measure.

7. On the distribution of modulo 1 of the values of $F(n) + \alpha \sigma(n)$

In our paper [16] written jointly with J. M. De Koninck we proved the following assertion.

Theorem 9. *Let α be a positive irrational number such that for each real number $\kappa > 1$ there exists a positive constant $c = c(\kappa, \alpha)$ for which the inequality*

$$\|\alpha q\| > \frac{c}{q^\kappa}$$

holds for every positive integer q .

Let h be an integer valued multiplicative function such that $h(p) = Q(p)$ for every prime p , and $h(p^a) = \mathcal{O}(p^{ad})$ for some fixed number d for every prime p and every integer $a \geq 2$, where

$$Q(x) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

$$k \geq 1, a_k > 0, a_j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the function $t(n) = \alpha h(n)$ belongs to \mathcal{T} .

Remark. The above assertion is true for $t(n) = \sigma^k(n)$, $t(n) = \varphi^k(n)$, ($k = 1, 2, \dots$).

8. On an analogue of Daboussi's theorem related to the set of Gaussian integers

Let $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ be the ring of Gaussian integers, $\mathbb{Z}^*[i] = \mathbb{Z}[i] \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative group of nonzero Gaussian integers.

Let χ be such an additive character on $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, for which $\chi(1) = e(A)$, $\chi(i) = e(B)$, and at least one of A and B is an irrational number.

Let W be the union of finitely many convex bounded domains in \mathbb{C} . In our paper [1] written jointly with N. L. Bassily and J. M. De Koninck we proved

Theorem 10. *Let \mathcal{K}_1 be the set g of multiplicative functions on $\mathbb{Z}^*[i]$ satisfying $|g(\alpha)| \leq 1$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^*[i]$). Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{K}_1} \frac{1}{|xW|} \left| \sum_{\beta \in xW} g(\beta) \chi(\beta) \right| = 0.$$

References

- [1] BASSILY, N. L., DE KONINCK, J. M. and KÁTAI, I.: On a theorem of Daboussi related to the set of Gaussian integers, *Mathematica Pannonica* **14/2** (2003), 267–272.
- [2] DABOUSSI, H.: On some exponential sums, in: *Analytic Number Theory, Proceedings of a Conference in honour of Paul T. Bateman*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990, 111–118.
- [3] DABOUSSI, H. and DELANGE, H.: On multiplicative arithmetical functions whose module does not exceed one, *J. London Math. Soc.* **26** (1982), 245–264.
- [4] DABOUSSI, H. and DELANGE, H.: Quelques propriétés des fonctions multiplicatives de module au plus égal 1, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A* **278** (1974), 657–660.
- [5] DELANGE, H.: Generalization of Daboussi’s theorem, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, 34. *Topics in Classical Number Theory* (Budapest, 1981), 305–318.
- [6] DUPAIN, Y., HALL, R. R. and TENENBAUM, G.: Sur l’équidistribution modulo 1 de certaines fonctions de diviseurs, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **26** (1982), 397–411.
- [7] GOUBAIN, L.: Sommes d’exponentielles et principe de l’hyperbole, *Acta Arith.* **73** (1995), 303–324.
- [8] INDLEKOFER, K.-H.: Properties of uniformly summable multiplicative functions, *Periodica Math. Hungar.* **17** (1986), 143–161.
- [9] INDLEKOFER, K.-H. and KÁTAI, I.: A note on a theorem of Daboussi, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **101** (3) (2003), 211–216.
- [10] INDLEKOFER, K.-H. and KÁTAI, I.: *Exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients*, **53** (3-4) (1989), 263–268.
- [11] INDLEKOFER, K.-H. and KÁTAI, I.: On a theorem of Daboussi, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **57** (1-2) (2000), 145–152.
- [12] INDLEKOFER, K.-H. and KÁTAI, I.: Some remarks on trigonometric sums, *Acta Math. Hungar.* (accepted).
- [13] KÁTAI, I.: A remark on a theorem of H. Daboussi, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **47** (1-2) (1986), 223–225.
- [14] KÁTAI, I.: A remark on trigonometric sums, **Acta Math. Hungar.** **112** (3) (2006), 227–231.
- [15] KÁTAI, I.: Uniform distribution of sequences connected with arithmetical functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **51** (3-4) (1988), 401–408.
- [16] DE KONINCK, J. M. and KÁTAI, I.: On the distribution modulo 1 on the values of $F(n) + \alpha\sigma(n)$, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **66** (2005), 121–128.
- [17] LAO, H.: A remark on trigonometric sums, *Acta Arithmetica* (accepted).
- [18] MONTGOMERY, H. L. and VAUGHAN, R. C.: Exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients, *Invent. Math.* **43** (1977), 69–82.