

# PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF A HIGH ORDER EQUATION WITH DEVIATING ARGUMENTS

Wen-Xian Lin

*Department of Mathematics, Hanshan Normal University,  
Chaozhou, Guangdong 521041, P.R. China*

*Received:* October 2002

*MSC 2000:* 34 K 15; 34 C 25

*Keywords:* High order Rayleigh equation, deviating argument, periodic solution.

**Abstract:** In this paper, by using the coincidence degree theory, sufficient conditions are given for the existence of periodic solutions of the high order Rayleigh equation with deviating arguments

$$x^{(n)}(t) + f(x'(t - \sigma)) + \beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] = p(t).$$

In this paper, we will be concerned with a high order Rayleigh equation with deviating arguments

$$(1) \quad x^{(n)}(t) + f(x'(t - \sigma)) + \beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] = p(t),$$

where  $n \geq 2$  is a positive integer;  $\sigma$  is a constant;  $f, g, \beta, p$  and  $\tau$  are real continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $\beta, \tau$  and  $p$  are periodic with period  $2\pi$ ,  $\min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \beta(t) > 0$  and  $\int_0^{2\pi} p(t)dt = 0$ . Using coincidence degree theory, we establish a theorem for the existence of  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions of Eq. (1). When  $n = 2$ ,  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\beta(t) = 1$ , Eq. (1) has been studied in [2]. We also hope to extend the results in [2].

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose there are positive constants  $K, D$  and  $M$  such that*

- (i)  $|f(x)| \leq K$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (ii)  $xg(x) > 0$  and  $\beta(t)|g(x)| > K$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|x| \geq D$ ,
- (iii)  $g(x) \geq -M$  for  $x \leq -D$ .

*Then there exists a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of Eq. (1).*

**Example.** Consider the equation

$$x^{(5)}(t) + \exp\{-(x'(t-1))^2\} - 1 + e^{\sin t+1} \arctan(x(t-\pi)) = \sin t.$$

Take  $f(x) = \exp\{-u^2\} - 1$ ,  $g(t, x) = \arctan x$ ,  $\beta(t) = e^{\sin t+1}$ ,  $\sigma = 1$  and  $p(t) = \sin t$ ,  $\tau(t) = \pi$ . It is then easy to verify that all the assumptions in Th. 1 are satisfied with  $K = 1$ ,  $D > \pi/4$ , and  $M = \pi/2$ . Thus this equation has a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution.

For the proof we want some preliminaries. Set

$$X := \{x \in C^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \mid x(t+2\pi) = x(t)\},$$

and  $x^{(0)} = x$ ; define the norm on  $X$  as follows

$$\|x\| = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 2\pi} |x^{(j)}(t)|;$$

and set

$$Y := \{y \in C^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \mid y(t+2\pi) = y(t)\}.$$

Define the norm on  $Y$  by  $\|y\|_0 = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 2\pi} |y(t)|$ . Thus both  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_0)$  are Banach spaces. Define the operators  $L$  and  $N$  by

$$L : X \cap C^n(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow Y, \quad x(t) \mapsto x^{(n)}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$N : X \rightarrow Y, \quad x(t) \mapsto -f(x'(t-\sigma)) - \beta(t)g[x(t-\tau(t))] + p(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

respectively.

Let  $\text{Im } L$  and  $\text{Ker } L$  be, respectively, the image and kernel of the operator  $L$ . Clearly,  $\text{Ker } L = \mathbb{R}$ . Define the projections  $P : X \rightarrow \text{Ker } L$  and  $Q : Y \rightarrow Y/\text{Im } L$  by

$$(Px)(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} x(t)dt, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$(Qy)(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} y(t)dt, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

respectively, then  $\text{Ker } L = \text{Im } P$  and  $\text{Ker } Q = \text{Im } L$ . Consider the equation

$$(2) \quad x^{(n)}(t) + \lambda f(x'(t - \sigma)) + \lambda \beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] = \lambda p(t),$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . We have

**Lemma.** *Suppose that all conditions of Th. 1 are satisfied, then there exist positive constants  $D_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ), which are independent of  $\lambda$ , if  $x(t)$  is any  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of Eq. (2), such that*

$$(3) \quad |x^{(j)}(t)| \leq D_j \text{ and } |x(t)| \leq D + 2\pi D_1 \text{ (} t \in [0, 2\pi], 1 \leq j \leq n-1\text{)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $x = x(t)$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of Eq. (2). Since  $x^{(n-2)}(0) = x^{(n-2)}(2\pi)$ , there exists  $t_1 \in [0, 2\pi]$  such that  $x^{(n-1)}(t_1) = 0$ . In view of (1), we see that any  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} |x^{(n-1)}(t)| &= \left| \int_{t_1}^t x^{(n)}(s) ds \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |x^{(n)}(s)| ds \leq \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x'(s - \sigma))| ds + \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(s) |g[x(s - \tau(s))]| ds + \lambda \int_0^{2\pi} |p(s)| ds \leq \\ &\leq 2\pi K + \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(s) |g[x(s - \tau(s))]| ds + 2\pi \max_{0 \leq s \leq 2\pi} |p(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

We assert that

$$(5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(t) |g[x(t - \tau(t))]| dt \leq 2\pi K + 4\pi \beta_1 M_1$$

for some positive number  $M_1$ , where  $\beta_1 = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 2\pi} \beta(t)$ . Indeed, integrating Eq. (2) from 0 to  $2\pi$ , and noting condition (i), we see that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^{2\pi} \{\beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] - K\} dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \{\beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] - |f(x'(t - \sigma))|\} dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \{f(x'(t - \sigma)) + \beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))]\} dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus letting

$$E_1 = \{t \in [0, 2\pi] \mid x(t - \tau(t)) > D\}, \quad E_2 = [0, 2\pi] \setminus E_1,$$

we have

$$\int_{E_2} \beta(t)|g[x(t - \tau(t))]|dt \leq 2\pi\beta_1 \max \left\{ M, \sup_{x \in [-D, D]} |g(x)| \right\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_1} \{\beta(t)|g[x(t - \tau(t))]| - K\}dt &\leq \int_{E_1} |\beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] - K|dt = \\ &= \int_{E_1} \{\beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] - K\}dt \leq \\ &\leq - \int_{E_2} \{\beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] - K\}dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_{E_2} \beta(t)|g[x(t - \tau(t))]|dt + \int_{E_2} Kdt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \beta(t)|g[x(t - \tau(t))]|dt \leq 2\pi K + 4\pi\beta_1 \max \left\{ M, \sup_{x \in [-D, D]} |g(x)| \right\},$$

as required. Combining (4) and (5), we see that

$$(7) \quad |x^{(n-1)}(t)| \leq D_{n-1}, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi]$$

for some positive number  $D_{n-1}$ . Next, since  $x^{(n-3)}(0) = x^{(n-3)}(2\pi)$ , there is some  $t_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , such that  $x^{(n-2)}(t_2) = 0$ . In view of (1), we see that for any  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,

$$(8) \quad |x^{(n-2)}(t)| = \left| \int_{t_0}^t x^{(n-1)}(s)ds \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |x^{(n-1)}(t)|dt \leq 2\pi D_{n-1}.$$

Similarly, we conclude for any  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,

$$(9) \quad |x^{(n-1-i)}(t)| \leq (2\pi)^i D_{n-1} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2).$$

Let  $D_{n-1-i} = (2\pi)^i D_{n-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$ ). By (7) and (9), implies that

$$(10) \quad |x^{(j)}(t)| \leq D_j, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi] \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1).$$

Further, note that last equality in (6) implies

$$f(x'(t_0)) + \beta(t_0)g(x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) = 0$$

for some  $t_0$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Thus in view of condition (i),

$$|\beta(t_0)g[x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))]| = |f(x'(t_0))| \leq K,$$

and in view of (ii),

$$|x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))| < D.$$

Since  $x(t)$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic, we may infer that  $|x(t^*)| < D$  for some  $t^*$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Finally, we see that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} |x(t)| &= \left| x(t^*) + \int_{t^*}^t x'(s) ds \right| \leq D + \int_0^{2\pi} |x'(t)| dt \leq \\ &\leq D + 2\pi D_1, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\diamond$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $x(t)$  is any  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of Eq. (2). By Lemma, there exist positive constants  $D_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ), which are independent of  $\lambda$  such that (4) is true. For any fixed positive constant  $\bar{D} > \max\{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n-1}, D + 2\pi D_1\}$ , set

$$\Omega = \{x \in X \mid \|x\| < \bar{D}\}.$$

We know that the operator  $L$  is a Fredholm operator with index zero, and the operator  $N$  is  $L$ -compact on the closure  $\bar{\Omega}$  of  $\Omega$  (see, e.g., [1, p. 176]).

For any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and any  $x = x(t)$  in the domain of  $L$  which also belongs to  $\partial\Omega$ , we must have  $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$ . For otherwise in view of (4), we see that  $x$  belongs to the interior of  $\Omega$ , which is contrary to the assumption that  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Next, note that a function  $x = x(t)$  in the intersection of  $\text{Ker } L$  and  $\partial\Omega$  must be the constant functions  $x(t) \equiv \bar{D}$  or  $x(t) \equiv -\bar{D}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (QN)(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (-f(x'(t)) - \beta(t)g[x(t - \tau(t))] + p(t)) dt = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} g(\pm\bar{D}) \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(t) dt \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the mapping

$$H(x, s) = sx + \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 - s)g(x) \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(t) dt, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

Since for every  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $x$  in the intersection of  $\text{Ker } L$  and  $\partial\Omega$ , we have

$$xH(x, s) = sx^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 - s)xg(x) \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(t) dt > 0,$$

thus  $H(x, s)$  is a homotopy. This shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \deg\{QNx, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} = \\
& = \deg\left\{-\frac{1}{2\pi}g(x) \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(t)dt, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\right\} = \\
& = \deg\{-x, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} = \deg\{-x, \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}, 0\} \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By Mawhin continuing theorem [1, p. 40], we find that equation  $Lx = Nx$  has a solution in  $\text{dom } L \cap \overline{\Omega}$ , that is to say that there exists a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of Eq. (1). The proof is thus complete.  $\diamond$

## References

- [1] GAINES, R. E. and MAWHIN, J. L.: Coincidence degree and nonlinear differential equations, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 568, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [2] WANG, G. Q. and CHENG, S. S.: A priori bounds for periodic solutions of a delay Rayleigh equation, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **12** (1999), 41–44.