

# MALMQUIST - TAKENAKA SYSTEMS AND EQUILIBRIUM CONDITIONS

Margit **Pap**

*University of Pécs, Ifjúság útja 6, H-7624 Pécs, Hungary*

Ferenc **Schipp**

*Department of Numerical Analysis, Eötvös L. University, Pázmány P. sétány I/D, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary; Computer and automation Research Institute of HAS, Kende u. 13-17, H-1502 Budapest*

**Dedicated to Professor Romano Isler on his 60th birthday**

*Received:* January 2001

*MSC 2000:* 33 A 65

*Keywords:* Discrete orthogonal systems, discrete Malmquist-Takenaka systems, electrostatic equilibrium.

**Abstract:** The Malmquist-Takenaka systems  $(\Phi_n^a, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$  form an orthonormal system on the unite circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . The restriction of the finite collection  $(\Phi_n^a, n = 1, \dots, N)$  to a subset  $\mathbb{T}_N^a$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  is a discrete orthonormal system with respect to the scalar product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_N$ . It is showed that the set  $\mathbb{T}_N^a$  can be interpreted as a solution of an electrostatic equilibrium problem. The zeros of Jacobi, Laguerre and Hermite polynomials admit a similar interpretation.

## 1. Introduction

In control theory the Malmquist-Takenaka systems  $(\Phi_n^a, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$  [5], [10] are often used to identify the transfer function of the system [1], [2], [3]. This orthonormal system is generated by a sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$  of complex numbers  $a_n \in \mathbb{D}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^* := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ) of the unite disc  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and can be expressed by the Blaschke-functions

$$(1.1) \quad B_b(z) := \frac{z - b}{1 - \bar{b}z} \quad (b \in \mathbb{D}, z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Namely (see [4]) the systems  $\Phi_n = \Phi_n^a$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) in question are defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_1(z) &:= \frac{\sqrt{1 - |a_1|^2}}{1 - \bar{a}_1 z}, \\ \Phi_n(z) &:= \frac{\sqrt{1 - |a_n|^2}}{1 - \bar{a}_n z} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} B_{a_k}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}, n = 2, 3, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

The Malmquist-Takenaka functions form an orthonormal system on the unite circle  $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , i.e.

$$\langle \Phi_n, \Phi_m \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_n(e^{it}) \overline{\Phi_m(e^{it})} dt = \delta_{mn} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*),$$

where  $\delta_{mn}$  is the Kronecker symbol (see [3], [4]).

In the special case if  $a_n = b$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ), then  $\Phi_n^a = L_n^b$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) is the discrete Laguerre system, and if  $a_{2k-1} = a, a_{2k} = b$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ), then  $(\Phi_n^a, n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$  is the Kautz-system, investigated in [2].

If  $b$  belongs to  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $B_b$  is a 1-1 map on  $\mathbb{D}$  and on  $\mathbb{T}$ , respectively. Moreover (see [2])  $B_b$ , can be written in the form

$$(1.3) \quad B_b(e^{it}) = e^{i\beta_b(t)} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, b = re^{i\tau} \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_b(t) &:= \tau + \gamma_s(t - \tau), & \gamma_s(t) &:= 2 \arctan \left( s \tan \frac{t}{2} \right) \\ & & & \left( t \in [-\pi, \pi) \right), \quad s := \frac{1+r}{1-r} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\gamma_s$  is extended to  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\gamma_s(t + 2\pi) = 2\pi + \gamma_s(t)$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

Thus the product  $\prod_{j=1}^N B_{a_j}$  is of the form

$$(1.4) \quad \prod_{j=1}^N B_{a_j}(e^{it}) = e^{i(\beta_{a_1}(t) + \dots + \beta_{a_N}(t))} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, N = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This implies that the solution of the equation

$$\frac{z - a_1}{1 - \bar{a}_1 z} \frac{z - a_2}{1 - \bar{a}_2 z} \dots \frac{z - a_N}{1 - \bar{a}_N z} = 1$$

can be written as

$$(1.5) \quad w_k := e^{it_k}, \quad t_k := \theta_N^{-1}(2\pi(k-1)/N) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

where  $\theta_N^{-1}$  is the inverse of the function

$$(1.6) \quad \theta_N(t) := \frac{1}{N}(\beta_{a_1}(t) + \dots + \beta_{a_N}(t)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

We introduce the weight function  $\rho_N$  by

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{1}{\rho_N(z)} := \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}_k z|^2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{T}, N = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and set

$$(1.8) \quad \mathbb{T}_N^a := \mathbb{T}_N^a := \{\theta_N^{-1}(2\pi(k-1)/N) : k = 1, 2, \dots, N\} \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots).$$

**Theorem 1.** *The finite collection of the functions  $\Phi_n$  ( $1 \leq n \leq N$ ) form a discrete orthonormal system with respect to the scalar product*

$$(1.9) \quad [F, G]_N := \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}_N} F(z) \overline{G(z)} \rho_N(z),$$

namely

$$[\Phi_n, \Phi_m]_N = \delta_{mn} \quad (1 \leq m, n \leq N).$$

In this paper we show that the points of  $\mathbb{T}_N^a$  are closely connected with an electrostatic equilibrium problem.

## 2. The equilibrium condition

For any complex number  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  set  $z^* := 1/\bar{z}$  and introduce the polynomials

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_1(z) &:= \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k), & \omega_2(z) &:= \prod_{k=1}^N (1 - \bar{a}_k z), \\ \omega(z) &:= \omega_1'(z)\omega_2(z) - \omega_2'(z)\omega_1(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\omega$  is a polynomial of degree  $2N-2$ . We show (see Lemma 1.) that if  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is a root of  $\omega$  then  $c^*$  is also a root of  $\omega$  with the same multiplicity. Denote by  $c_1, c_1^*, \dots, c_s, c_s^*$  the pairwise distinct roots of  $\omega$  with the multiplicity  $\nu_1, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_s, \nu_s$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The numbers  $z_n := w_n \in \mathbb{T}_N^a$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) are the solutions of the equilibrium equations*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=1, k \neq n}^N \frac{1}{z_n - z_k} = \sum_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{\nu_j}{2} \frac{1}{z_n - c_j} + \frac{\nu_j}{2} \frac{1}{z_n - c_j^*} \right) \quad (n = 1, \dots, N).$$

The points of  $\mathbb{T}_N^a$  can be interpreted as a solution of the following electrostatic equilibrium problem. If  $N$  unite "masses" at the variable points  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $-\nu_1/2, -\nu_1/2, \dots, -\nu_s/2, -\nu_s/2$  fixed masses at the fixed points  $c_1, c_1^*, \dots, c_s, c_s^*$  are given then  $z_1 = \dots, z_N = w_N$  is the equilibrium position of the electrostatic forces in question.

We remark that the zeros of Jacobi, Laguerre and Hermite polynomials admit a similar interpretation (see [9], pp. 140, 153).

The roots of  $\omega$  are described in the following

**Lemma 1.** *Denote  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  the pairwise distinct roots of  $\omega_1$  with the multiplicity  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ . Then  $\omega$  is of the form*

$$\omega(z) = \Omega(z) \prod_{j=1}^r (z - a_j)^{m_j-1} (1 - \bar{a}_j z)^{m_j-1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}),$$

where

$$\Omega(z) := \sum_{k=1}^r m_k (1 - |a_k|^2) \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^r (z - a_j) (1 - \bar{a}_j z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})$$

is a polynomial of degree  $2r - 2$ . Moreover, if  $c$  is a root of  $\Omega$  with multiplicity  $m$  then  $c^*$  is also a root of  $\Omega$  with the same multiplicity.

In the case of discrete Laguerre functions  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_N = b$  and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(z) &= N[(z - b)^{N-1}(1 - \bar{b}z)^N + \bar{b}(1 - \bar{b}z)^{N-1}(z - b)^N] = \\ &= N(1 - |b|^2)(z - b)^{N-1}(1 - \bar{b}z)^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the roots of  $\omega$  are  $b$  and  $b^*$  with multiplicity  $N - 1$  and we get the next claim proved in [6].

**Corollary 1.** *The numbers  $w_k = e^{i\tau_k}$  ( $\tau_k := \beta_b^{-1}(2\pi(k - 1)/N)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ ) are the solutions of the equilibrium equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1, k \neq n}^N \frac{1}{w_n - w_k} &= \frac{N - 1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{w_n - b} + \frac{1}{w_n - b^*} \right) \\ &(n = 1, \dots, N). \end{aligned}$$

In the case of Kautz system  $a_1 = a_3 = \dots = a_{2N-1} = a \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $a_2 = \dots = a_{2N} = b \in \mathbb{D}$  and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(z) &= \Omega(z)[(z - a)(z - b)(z - a^*)(z - b^*)]^{N-1}, \\ \Omega(z) &:= N[(1 - |a|^2)(z - b)(1 - \bar{b}z) + (1 - |b|^2)(z - a)(1 - \bar{a}z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $c$  and  $c^*$  the roots of the polynomial  $\Omega$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\theta_2(t) := (\beta_a(t) + \beta_b(t))/2$  be the argumentum transformation corresponding to the Kautz system. The numbers  $w_k = e^{i\tau_k}$  ( $\tau_k := \theta_{2N}^{-1}(\pi(k - 1)/N)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ ) are the solutions of the equilibrium equations*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1/2}{w_n - c} + \frac{1/2}{w_n - c^*} + \\ &+ \frac{N - 1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{w_n - a} + \frac{1}{w_n - a^*} + \frac{1}{w_n - b} + \frac{1}{w_n - b^*} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1, k \neq n}^{2N} \frac{1}{w_n - w_k} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, 2N). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Proofs

To prove Th. 1 we use the following closed form of the Dirichlet kernel of the system  $\Phi_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ).

**Lemma 2.** *The Dirichlet kernels of the system  $\Phi_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) can be written in the closed form (see [4])*

$$(3.1) \quad D_N(z, w) := \sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k(z) \overline{\Phi_k(w)} = \frac{1 - \prod_{j=1}^N B_{a_j}(z) \overline{B_{a_j}(w)}}{1 - z\bar{w}}.$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.** We show (3.1) by induction with respect to  $N$ . For  $N = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - B_{a_1}(z) \overline{B_{a_1}(w)}}{1 - z\bar{w}} &= \frac{(1 - \bar{a}_1 z)(1 - a_1 \bar{w}) - (z - a_1)(\bar{w} - \bar{a}_1)}{(1 - \bar{a}_1 z)(1 - a_1 \bar{w})(1 - z\bar{w})} = \\ &= \frac{(1 - |a_1|^2)(1 - z\bar{w})}{(1 - \bar{a}_1 z)(1 - a_1 \bar{w})(1 - z\bar{w})} = \Phi_1(z) \overline{\Phi_1(w)} \end{aligned}$$

and (3.1) holds for  $N = 1$ .

Suppose that (3.1) is true for  $N$ . Then by (1.2) and (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} D_{N+1}(z, w) &= \frac{1 - \prod_{j=1}^N B_{a_j}(z) \overline{B_{a_j}(w)}}{1 - z\bar{w}} + \\ &+ \frac{(1 - |a_{N+1}|^2) \prod_{j=1}^N B_{a_j}(z) \overline{B_{a_j}(w)}}{(1 - \bar{a}_{N+1} z)(1 - a_{N+1} \bar{w})} = \frac{1}{1 - z\bar{w}} - \\ &- \prod_{j=1}^N B_{a_j}(z) \overline{B_{a_j}(w)} \frac{(1 - \bar{a}_{N+1} z)(1 - a_{N+1} \bar{w}) - (1 - z\bar{w})(1 - |a_{N+1}|^2)}{(1 - z\bar{w})(1 - \bar{a}_{N+1} z)(1 - a_{N+1} \bar{w})} = \\ &= \frac{1 - \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} B_{a_j}(z) \overline{B_{a_j}(w)}}{1 - z\bar{w}} \end{aligned}$$

and (3.1) holds for  $N + 1$ .  $\diamond$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By (1.2) and (1.7)

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |\Phi_k(z)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1 - |a_k|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}_k z|^2} = \frac{1}{\rho_N(z)}.$$

Set

$$u_{k\ell} := \Phi_k(w_\ell) \sqrt{\rho_N(w_\ell)} \quad (1 \leq k, \ell \leq N).$$

In the case  $j \neq \ell$  by (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N u_{kj} \overline{u_{k\ell}} &= \sqrt{\rho_N(w_j) \rho_N(w_\ell)} \sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_k(w_j) \overline{\Phi_k(w_\ell)} = \\ &= \sqrt{\rho_N(w_j) \rho_N(w_\ell)} \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i N(\theta_N(t_j) - \theta_N(t_\ell))}}{1 - w_j \overline{w_\ell}} = \\ &= \sqrt{\rho_N(w_j) \rho_N(w_\ell)} \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i(j-\ell)}}{1 - w_j \overline{w_\ell}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously for  $j = \ell$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^N u_{kj} \overline{u_{k\ell}} = 1.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^N u_{kj} \overline{u_{k\ell}} = \delta_{j\ell} \quad (1 \leq j, \ell \leq N)$$

and consequently the matrix  $U = [u_{k\ell}]_{k,\ell=1}^N$  is unitary. This fact, (1.7) and (1.9) imply

$$\sum_{k=1}^N u_{jk} \overline{u_{\ell k}} = [\Phi_j, \Phi_\ell]_N = \delta_{j\ell} \quad (1 \leq j, \ell \leq N)$$

and Th. 1 is proved.  $\diamond$

**Proof of Lemma 1.** To prove Lemma 1 we introduce the following notion. We shall say that the polynomial  $P$  of degree  $n$  is an *inversion polynomial* if for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z \neq 0$

$$P(z^*) = \overline{z^{-n} P(z)}$$

is satisfied.

Obviously

$$Q_a(z) := (z - a)(1 - \bar{a}z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})$$

is an inversion polynomial. Indeed

$$Q_a(z^*) = \left( \frac{1}{\bar{z}} - a \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{z}} \right) = \overline{z^{-2} Q_a(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

It is clear that if  $c$  is a root of an inversion polynomial  $P$  and  $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}$  then  $P(c^*) = 0$ . Moreover, the multiplicity of  $c$  and  $c^*$  is the same.

Observe that if  $c$  is a root of the inversion polynomial  $P$  then for the polynomial  $P/Q_c$  we have

$$\frac{P(z^*)}{Q_c(z^*)} = \bar{z}^{-(n-2)} \frac{\overline{P(z)}}{\overline{Q_c(z)}}$$

and consequently  $P/Q_c$  is also an inversion polynomial. This implies that the roots  $c$  and  $c^*$  have the same multiplicity.

We show that  $\Omega$  is an inversion polynomial. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(z^*) &= \sum_{k=1}^r m_k (1 - |a_k|^2) \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^r Q_{a_j}(z^*) = \\ &= \bar{z}^{-2(r-1)} \sum_{k=1}^r m_k (1 - |a_k|^2) \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^r \overline{Q_{a_j}(z)} = \bar{z}^{-2(r-1)} \overline{\Omega(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Lemma 1 is proved.  $\diamond$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Denote

$$\varphi(z) := \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{z - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j z} - 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

By (1.1), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) it is clear that  $\varphi(z) = 0$  if and only if  $z = w_k := e^{it_k}$ ,  $t_k := \theta_N^{-1}(2\pi(k-1)/N)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, N$ ). Set

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &:= \prod_{k=1}^N (z - w_k), \\ g(z) &:= \prod_{j=1}^N (z - a_j) - \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - \bar{a}_j z) =: \omega_1(z) - \omega_2(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  have the same degree and roots, therefore  $f = \lambda g$  with a constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

It is easy to see that

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{g''(w_n)}{g'(w_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''(w_n)}{f'(w_n)} = \sum_{k=1, k \neq n}^N \frac{1}{w_n - w_k} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

By the definition of  $w_n$



$$(3.4) \quad \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{w_n - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j w_n} = \frac{\omega_1(w_n)}{\omega_2(w_n)} = 1 \quad (n = 1, \dots, N).$$

On the other hand by (2.1), (3.2) and (3.4) we get

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{g''(w_n)}{g'(w_n)} &= \frac{\omega_1''(w_n) - \omega_2''(w_n)}{\omega_1'(w_n) - \omega_2'(w_n)} = \\ &= \frac{\omega_2(w_n)\omega_1''(w_n) - \omega_1(w_n)\omega_2''(w_n)}{\omega_2(w_n)\omega_1'(w_n) - \omega_1(w_n)\omega_2'(w_n)} = \frac{\omega'(w_n)}{\omega(w_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 the roots of  $\omega$  are of the form  $c_1, c_1^*, \dots, c_s, c_s^*$  with the multiplicity  $\nu_1, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_s, \nu_s$ . Consequently in  $\omega'/\omega$  every root appears with multiplicity 1 and thus we have the partial decomposition

$$\frac{\omega'(z)}{\omega(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{A_j}{z - c_j} + \frac{\tilde{A}_j}{z - c_j^*} \right).$$

Write  $\omega(z) = (z - c_j)^{\nu_j} P_j(z)$ , where  $P(c_j) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\frac{\omega'(z)}{\omega(z)} = \frac{\nu_j P_j(z) + (z - c_j) P_j'(z)}{(z - c_j) P_j(z)}.$$

Consequently

$$A_j = \lim_{z \rightarrow c_j} (z - c_j) \frac{\omega'(z)}{\omega(z)} = \nu_j.$$

In a similar way  $\tilde{A}_j = \nu_j$  and by (3.3) and (3.5) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g''(w_n)}{g'(w_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega'(w_n)}{\omega(w_n)} = \sum_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{\nu_j/2}{w_n - c_j} + \frac{\nu_j/2}{w_n - c_j^*} \right)$$

and Th. 2 is proved.  $\diamond$

## References

- [1] BOKOR, J. and SCHIPP, F.:  $L^\infty$  system approximation algorithms generated by  $\varphi$  summation, *IFAC Automatica J.* **33** (1997), 2019–2024.
- [2] BOKOR, J. and SCHIPP, F.: Approximate linear  $H^\infty$  identification in Laguerre and Kautz basis, *IFAC Automatica J.* **34** (1998), 463–468.
- [3] BOKOR, J., SCHIPP F. and SZABÓ Z.: Identification of rational approximate models in  $H^\infty$  using generalized orthonormal basis *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* **44/1** (1999), 153–158.

- [4] LORENTZ G. G., GOLITSCHER M. and MAKOVOZ Y.: Constructive Approximation, Springer, Grundlehren math. Wiss., 304 Berlin, 1996.
- [5] MALMQUIST, F.: Sur la détermination d'une classe fonctions analytiques par leurs dans un ensemble donné de points, *Compte Rendus Six. Cong. math. scand.* Kopenhagen, Denmark (1925), 253–259.
- [6] SCHIPP F.: Discrete Laguerre functions and equilibrium conditions, (to appear).
- [7] SZABÓ Z.:  $L^p$ -norm convergence of rational operators on the unit circle, *Mathematica Pannonica* **9** (1998), 281–292.
- [8] SZABÓ Z.: Interpolation and quadrature formulae for rational systems on the unit circle, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sectio Comput.* (to appear).
- [9] SZEGŐ G.: Orthogonal polynomials, Colloquium Publications, Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 23.
- [10] TAKENAKA S.: On the orthogonal functions and a new formula of interpolations, *Japanese Journal of Mathematics* **2** (1925), 129–145.