

Mathematica Pannonica

7/1 (1996), 97 – 111

ON COVERING PROPERTIES BY REGULAR CLOSED SETS

Dragan **Janković**

Department of Mathematics, East Central University, Ada, Oklahoma 74820, USA

Chariklia **Konstadilaki**

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54006 Thessaloniki, Greece

Received June 1994

MSC 1991: 54 D 20, 54 G 05.

Keywords: rc-compact, rc-Lindelöf, countably rc-compact, perfectly κ -normal, Luzin space, generalized ordered space.

Abstract: A topological space X is [countably] rc-compact (rc-Lindelöf) if every [countable] cover of X by regular closed sets has a finite (countable) subcover. It is established, among other results, that 1. A space is rc-compact iff its semiregularization is an extension of a compact extremally disconnected space; 2. An uncountable T_3 first countable crowded space is rc-Lindelöf iff it is a Luzin space, and 3. A countably rc-compact T_3 first countable or generalized ordered space is finite.

0. Introduction

In this paper separation axioms are not assumed without explicit mention. A topological space X is defined to be *rc-compact* (*rc-Lindelöf*) if every cover of X by regular closed sets has a finite (countable) subcover. In [22] rc-compact spaces were introduced and studied under the name of S-closed spaces. In order to have a uniform terminology for cov-

The main results of this paper were presented at the Topology Conference in honor of M. E. Rudin held in Madison, WI in June 1991.

The first author was partially supported by a grant from East Central University, Ada, Oklahoma.

ering properties by regular closed sets we have adopted the prefix *rc-* for obvious reasons. The concept of *rc-compactness* is related to extremal disconnectedness since regular or Hausdorff *rc-compact* spaces are extremally disconnected. These results due to T. Thompson [22] were strengthened by R. Hermann [10] who showed that *rc-compact* spaces with regular or T_1 semiregularizations are extremally disconnected. In Section 2 we exhibit the “real” nature of *rc-compact* spaces by showing that *rc-compact* spaces are precisely spaces whose semiregularizations possess a dense compact extremally disconnected subspace, or equivalently, a dense compact set consisting of points of extremal disconnectedness. Now it is not surprising that imposing a very weak separation property R_0 (a common generalization of regularity and T_1) on the semiregularization of an *rc-compact* space will force extremal disconnectedness. On the other hand, there are T_1 *rc-compact* spaces which are not extremally disconnected as shown by D. Cameron [4].

In Section 3 *rc-discrete* sets are introduced as an important tool in investigating *rc-Lindelöf* spaces. We point out that *rc-discrete* sets are independent interest. A collection of *rc-discrete* sets in a T_3 (i.e., regular T_1) space includes a collection of discrete sets and in T_1 crowded spaces (i.e., without isolated points) is included in the collection of nowhere dense sets. We show that in T_3 first countable spaces nowhere dense sets are *rc-discrete* and use this result to establish, via the existence of Luzin spaces, that the statement that there exists an uncountable T_3 first countable *rc-Lindelöf* space with countably many isolated points is independent of ZFC. The same conclusion is true in case of uncountable *rc-Lindelöf* generalized ordered spaces.

In the last section we study countably *rc-compact* spaces which are defined as spaces whose countable covers by regular closed sets have finite subcovers. It turns out that the intersection of this class of spaces with the class of T_3 first countable spaces as well as the class of generalized ordered spaces is precisely the class of finite spaces.

1. Definitions and notations

Throughout, X or (X, τ) will denote a topological space and $\text{Cl}A$ ($\text{Int} A, \text{Bd} A$) will denote the closure (interior, boundary) of a subset A of a space. A set A in a space is *regular open* (*regular closed*) if $A = \text{Int Cl}A$ ($A = \text{Cl Int} A$). We denote by $\text{RO}(X)$ ($\text{RC}(X)$) the family of regular open (regular closed) sets in a space X . The family of

regular open sets in (X, τ) is a base for a topology τ_S on X coarser than τ . The space (X, τ_S) is called the *semiregularization* of (X, τ) and (X, τ) is called *semiregular* if $\tau = \tau_S$. A topological property \mathcal{P} is said to be semiregular if a space (X, τ) has \mathcal{P} iff the space (X, τ_S) has \mathcal{P} . Since $\text{RC}(X, \tau) = \text{RC}(X, \tau_S)$, it is clear that both rc-compactness and rc-Lindelöfness are semiregular properties. Another example of a semiregular property is extremal disconnectedness. A space X is *extremally disconnected* if every open set in X has an open closure, or equivalently if $\text{RO}(X) = \text{RC}(X)$. A “pointed” version of extremal disconnectedness introduced in [7] may be described in the following way: A point x in a space X is a *point of extremal disconnectedness* (shortly, an *e.d. point*) if $x \notin \text{Bd} U$ for every $U \in \text{RO}(X)$. Note that boundaries of regular open sets in (X, τ) and (X, τ_S) coincide. Therefore, $x \in X$ is an e.d. point in (X, τ) iff it is an e.d. point in (X, τ_S) . We denote the set of e.d. points of a space X or its semiregularization by $\text{ED}(X)$. Interesting examples of extremally disconnected spaces are obtained by absolutes of spaces. Recall that with every T_3 space X we associate the space EX , called the Iliadis absolute, which is unique (up to homeomorphism) with respect to having these properties: EX is Tychonoff extremally disconnected and there exists a perfect continuous irreducible surjection $k_X : \text{EX} \rightarrow X$.

We denote by $Z(X)(\text{Coz}(X))$ the family of zero sets (cozero sets) in a space X . βX is the Stone-Ćech compactification of a Tychonoff space X , X^* denotes the Stone-Ćech remainder $\beta X - X$, and \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbf{I} denote the sets of natural numbers, rationals, reals and the unit interval usually equipped with the euclidean (subspace) topology. Cardinals are initial ordinals, ω is the first infinite ordinal and the cardinality of a set A is denoted by $|A|$. We refer the reader to [16] for undefined terms and notation.

2. rc-compact spaces

A set A in a space X is said to be *locally dense* if it is dense in an open set in X , or equivalently, if $A \subseteq \text{Int Cl} A$. The proof of our first result is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, τ) be a space and Y a locally dense set in (X, τ_S) .*

Then

- (a) $\text{RO}(Y) = \{A \cap Y : A \in \text{RO}(X)\};$
- (b) $\text{RC}(Y) = \{A \cap Y : A \in \text{RC}(X)\};$

- (c) $(\tau|Y)_S = \tau_S|Y$;
- (d) $\text{ED}(Y) = Y \cap \text{ED}(X)$;
- (e) Y is extremally disconnected iff $Y \subseteq \text{ED}(X)$.

Note that locally dense sets in (X, τ) are locally dense in (X, τ_S) while the converse is not true in general.

The following characterizations of rc-compact spaces are obtained in a standard way so we omit the proofs. A regular open filter base (filter, ultrafilter) in a space X is a filter base (filter, ultrafilter) in the lattice of regular open subsets of X .

Theorem 2.2. *A space X is rc-compact iff every regular open filter base (filter, ultrafilter) in X has a nonempty intersection.*

The fact that regular open ultrafilters in an rc-compact space have nonempty intersection shows how strong this property must be. This observation is better reflected in our main result in this section.

Theorem 2.3. *A space (X, τ) is rc-compact iff (X, τ_S) is an extension of a compact extremally disconnected space.*

Proof. The “if” part follows from the following facts: (1) compact extremally disconnected spaces are rc-compact; (2) if a space has a dense rc-compact subspace, then it is rc-compact; and (3) rc-compactness is a semiregular property. Now, in showing the “only if” part we shall assume that (X, τ) is a semiregular in order to simplify the notation. There is no loss of generality in light of Lemma 2.1 and the fact that the semiregularization of a space is semiregular. Let $Y = \bigcup\{\bigcap\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{S}(X)\}$, where $\mathcal{S}(X)$ denotes the set of regular open ultrafilters on X . We first show that Y is dense in X . Let $G \in \text{RO}(X)$ and $G \neq \emptyset$. Then $\{U : U \in \text{RO}(X) \text{ and } G \subseteq U\}$ is a regular open filter and hence is contained in some $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. This gives $G \in \mathcal{U}$, and consequently $\bigcap\mathcal{U} \subseteq G$. By Th. 2.2 $\bigcap\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$. So, $G \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and Y is dense in X . We now show that $Y \subseteq \text{ED}(X)$. Let $x \in Y$ and suppose that $x \in \text{Bd } G$ for some $G \in \text{RO}(X)$. Then $x \in \bigcap\mathcal{U}$ for some $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. Since $x \in \text{Cl } G$, $U \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence $G \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\bigcap\mathcal{U} \subseteq G$. This contradicts $x \notin G$ and establishes that x is an e.d. point. By Lemma 2.1, Y is an extremally disconnected subspace of X . To show that Y is compact, let $Y \subseteq \bigcup\{V_\alpha : V_\alpha \in \text{RO}(X) \text{ and } \alpha \in A\}$. We claim that $X = \bigcup\{\text{Cl } V_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$. Let $x \in X$ and $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ such that \mathcal{U} converges to x . Clearly, there is an $\alpha \in A$ such that $\bigcap\mathcal{U} \cap V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Since V_α meets every member of \mathcal{U} , $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ and hence $x \in \text{Cl } V_\alpha$ as \mathcal{U} converges to x . So, we have that $\{\text{Cl } V_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is a cover of X by regular closed sets. Since X is rc-compact there exist $\alpha(1), \alpha(2), \dots, \alpha(n) \in A$ such that $X =$

$= \cup\{Cl V_{\alpha(i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Now each point of Y is an e.d. point so it must belong to some $V_{\alpha(i)}$. Therefore, $Y \subseteq \cup\{V_{\alpha(i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and the proof is complete. \diamond

By Th. 2.3 it follows at once that rc-compact Hausdorff spaces are extremally disconnected since Hausdorffness is a semiregular property. To see how regularity type separation axioms produce the same effect and at the same time to extend the previous result we need the following common generalization of regularity and T_1 . A space X is called R_0 [5] if every open set in X contains the closure of each of its points. A useful characterization of spaces having R_0 semiregularizations is obtained by use of rc-closure. For a set A in a space X , the rc-closure of A , denote by $Cl_{rc} A$, is $\cap\{U \in RO(X) | A \subset U\}$. This concept was introduced in [6] under the name of s-closure. Note that for a space (X, τ) , (X, τ_S) is R_0 iff for every $F \in RC(X)$ whenever $x \in F$ then $Cl_{rc} \{x\} \subset F$ and that extremally disconnected spaces have regular semiregularizations.

Theorem 2.4. *An rc-compact space (X, τ) is extremally disconnected iff (X, τ_S) is R_0 .*

Proof. We show that (X, τ_S) is extremally disconnected. By Th. 2.3 there exists a dense compact set D in (X, τ_S) consisting of e.d. points. Let $x \in X - D$ and suppose that x is not an e.d. point. Then there exists a $V \in RO(X)$ with $x \in Bd V$. (Note that $\tau_S - Bd V = \tau - Bd V$ and $\tau_S - Cl V = \tau - Cl V$ for $V \in RO(X)$). Since (X, τ_S) is R_0 , $Cl_{rc} \{x\} \subset Bd V$. Also, $Bd V \cap D = \emptyset$ since D consists of e.d. points. Therefore, $Cl_{rc} \{x\} \cap D = \emptyset$. On the other hand, $U \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in RO(X)$ with $x \in U$. Since $Cl U \cap D = U \cap D$, $\{U \cap D | U \in RO(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$ is a closed filter base in D . The compactness of D implies $\cap\{U \cap D | U \in RO(X) \text{ and } x \in U\} \neq \emptyset$, and consequently $Cl_{rc} \{x\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$. This contradiction completes the proof. \diamond

Remark 2.5. In [14] T. Noiri defined locally S-closed spaces in a way which differs from a usual way of localizing a global property. A space X is *locally S-closed* if every $x \in X$ has an open S-closed neighbourhood. It is left to the reader to show that in Th. 2.4 “rc-compactness” may be replaced by “locally S-closed”.

3. rc-Lindelöf spaces

In this section we study the class of rc-Lindelöf spaces. The fact that this natural generalization of rc-compactness implies perfect κ -normality, or equivalently, that rc-Lindelöf spaces are in the class O_Z ,

and the following observation have motivated our study of rc-Lindelöf spaces.

In [22] it was observed that \mathbb{N}^* is not rc-compact since under the Continuum Hypothesis there exist P-points in \mathbb{N}^* . Clearly, the fact that \mathbb{N}^* is not extremally disconnected suffices. On the other hand, one may exhibit a regular closed cover of \mathbb{N} having no finite, moreover countable, subcover. We employ the well known facts that there is a continuous surjection from \mathbb{N}^* to the unit interval \mathbf{I} and that \mathbb{N}^* is a P' -space (i.e., its zero sets are regular closed). Now, consider the partition $\mathcal{P} = \{f^{-1}(x) \mid x \in \mathbf{I}\}$ of \mathbb{N}^* . Obviously, \mathcal{P} does not have a countable subcover, otherwise $|\mathbf{I}| \leq \omega$.

The previous observation that \mathbb{N}^* is not rc-Lindelöf may be generalized by showing in the same way that the remainder of the Stone-Čech compactification of a T_2 locally compact Lindelöf non countably compact space is not rc-Lindelöf. We will see later that this result generalizes further.

It is clear that regular rc-Lindelöf spaces are Lindelöf and also that countable as well as extremally disconnected Lindelöf spaces are rc-Lindelöf. As we have already seen a compact space is not necessarily rc-Lindelöf. Th. 3.8 implies that the unit interval is not rc-Lindelöf.

We first give a characterization of rc-Lindelöf spaces. A standard proof is omitted. Recall that a filter in a space has the *countable intersection property* if every countable family of elements of the filter has a nonempty intersection.

Theorem 3.1. *A space X is rc-Lindelöf iff every regular open filter in X with the countable intersection property has a nonempty intersection.*

We show next that rc-Lindelöfness is inherited by certain subspaces.

Proposition 3.2. *In a regular rc-Lindelöf space both regular open and regular closed sets are rc-Lindelöf.*

Proof. Let $U \in \text{RO}(X)$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be a cover of U by regular closed sets in U . It is easy to see that there exists an $F'_\alpha \in \text{RC}(X)$ such that $F_\alpha = U \cap F'_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Now, $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\} \cup \{X - U\}$ is a cover of X by regular closed sets and the result follows. Note that we did not use the assumption that X is regular. Now let $F \in \text{RC}(X)$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be a cover of F by regular closed sets in F . Then \mathcal{U} is a cover of F by regular closed sets in X . For each $x \in X - F$ let V_x be an open set with $x \in V_x \subset \text{Cl} V_x \subset X - F$. Then $\mathcal{U} \cup \{\text{Cl} V_x \mid x \in X - F\}$ is a cover of X by regular closed sets in X and the result follows. \diamond

Since \mathbb{N}^* is closed in the rc-compact space $\beta\mathbb{N}$, rc-Lindelöfness is not inherited by closed subspaces. Also, an uncountable discrete set

D is open and dense in the rc-compact space βD but not rc-Lindelöf. Therefore rc-Lindelöfness is not inherited neither by open nor dense subspaces. Finally, rc-Lindelöfness is not contagious, namely there are non rc-Lindelöf spaces with rc-Lindelöf dense subspaces. A non rc-Lindelöf extension of \mathbb{N} with the discrete topology suffices and also witnesses that there is no result analogous to Th. 2.3. So called anti-Michael line, \mathbb{R} with the usual topology and rational points declared open, is a non rc-Lindelöf (by Th. 3.8) metric separable, moreover hereditarily Lindelöf, space where \mathbb{Q} is a dense rc-Lindelöf subspace.

The following important class of spaces was introduced independently by R. Blair and E. Štěpín. A space X is said to be in *the class Oz* [3] or X is called *perfectly κ -normal* [19] if regular closed sets in X are zero sets, or equivalently, if (i) disjoint regular closed sets are separated by open sets, and (ii) regular closed sets are intersections of countably many regular open sets. Perfectly κ -normal spaces generalize perfectly normal spaces, extremally disconnected spaces and products of metric separable spaces. Also, compact Hausdorff topological groups are perfectly κ -normal and perfectly κ -normal uncountable products of compact Hausdorff spaces satisfy countable chain condition [18]. Several useful characterizations of spaces in the class Oz are given in [3]. R. Blair also showed that many important classes of spaces are not included in the class Oz. The following result enables us to use the known facts about perfectly κ -normal spaces. In the terminology of [3] it states that regular rc-Lindelöf spaces are regularly normal i.e., normal with regular closed sets being G_δ -sets.

Theorem 3.3. *Regular rc-Lindelöf spaces are perfectly κ -normal.*

Proof. Let X be a regular rc-Lindelöf space. Then X is Lindelöf and hence normal. Let $U \in \text{RO}(X)$. For each $x \in U$ there is an $U_x \in \text{RO}(X)$ such that $x \in U_x \subset \text{Cl}U_x \subset U$. Clearly, $\text{Cl}U_x \in \text{RC}(U)$. By Prop. 3.2, U is rc-Lindelöf and hence a countable union of regular closed sets in X . Therefore U is an open F_σ -set in the normal space X . So $U \in \text{Coz}(X)$ and the result follows. \diamond

By Th. 3.8, \mathbb{R} with the usual topology is an example of perfectly κ -normal but not rc-Lindelöf space.

In [2] it is shown that $X^* \notin \text{Oz}$ if X is a Tychonoff locally compact non pseudocompact space. This generalizes our observation from Introduction that X^* is not rc-Lindelöf if X is a Tychonoff locally compact Lindelöf non pseudocompact space.

Note also that none of the familiar spaces of ordinals is in the

class Oz [3]. R. Blair [3] also improved the well known result that $\beta\mathbb{N} \times \beta\mathbb{N}$ is not extremally disconnected by showing that $\beta\mathbb{N} \times \beta\mathbb{N} \notin \text{Oz}$. This shows that rc-Lindelöfness is not productive and also that the inverse image of rc-Lindelöf, moreover rc-compact, spaces under continuous open perfect surjections are not necessarily rc-Lindelöf as the projection $p : \beta\mathbb{N} \times \beta\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{N}$ witnesses. The last observation also answers a question posed by T. Noiri [15] whether rc-compactness is inversely preserved under continuous open perfect surjections. A different example answering Noiri's question is given in [21]. It is easily seen that rc-Lindelöfness is preserved under continuous open surjections since under these mappings the preimages of regular closed sets are regular closed. On the other hand, rc-Lindelöfness is not preserved by continuous surjections as the following example shows. Let D be a discrete space with $|D| = 2^\omega$. Then there exists a continuous surjection from βD to D^* . As we have already observed, D^* is not rc-Lindelöf.

We turn now our attention to rc-discrete sets. A point x in a subset A of a space X is called an *rc-discrete* point of A if there exists an $F \in \text{RC}(X)$ such that $F \cap A = \{x\}$. A set A is *rc-discrete* if each of its points is rc-discrete. It is clear that in a regular space an isolated point of A is an rc-discrete point of A . Therefore, discrete subspaces of regular spaces are rc-discrete. On the other hand, in an extremally disconnected space rc-discrete points of a set are isolated and rc-discrete sets are discrete. Prop. 3.4 below shows that the crowded Cantor set C in \mathbb{R} with the usual topology is rc-discrete. Moreover, by Prop. 3.4 and Lemma 4.7 of [8] in any crowded T_3 first countable space there exist a countably infinite crowded rc-discrete subset. Our next result generalizes, in case of T_3 spaces, the well known fact that in T_1 crowded spaces discrete sets are nowhere dense.

Proposition 3.4. *In a crowded T_1 space rc-discrete sets are nowhere dense.*

Proof. Let A be an rc-discrete set in a crowded T_1 space X and U be a nonempty open set in X . We show that there exists a nonempty set V such that $V \subset U$ and $V \cap A = \emptyset$. Assume that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and let $x \in U \cap A$. Since x is an rc-discrete point of A there exists an open set W such that $\text{Cl}W \cap A = \{x\}$. Now $V = W \cap U - \{x\} \neq \emptyset$, otherwise x would be an isolated point in X . Clearly, V is the desired open set. \diamond

To see that nowhere dense sets in crowded T_1 spaces are not necessarily rc-discrete consider the following example.

Example 3.5. Let I be the unit interval, C the Cantor set in I , and

$X = \mathbf{EI}$ the Iliadis absolute of \mathbf{I} . Since $k_X : X \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ is continuous closed irreducible surjection, $f^{-1}(C)$ is a closed nowhere dense set in the crowded compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space X . Obviously, $f^{-1}(C)$ is not rc-discrete, otherwise it would be discrete and finite, implying $|C| < \omega$.

Our next result is not only important in the present setting, but also in relation to cardinal functions.

Lemma 3.6. *In T_3 first countable spaces nowhere dense sets are rc-discrete.*

Proof. Let A be a closed nowhere dense set in a T_3 first countable space X and let $x \in A$. We show that x is an rc-discrete point of A . Obviously, we may assume that x is a limit point of A . Choose a decreasing open base $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ at x . Since X is T_3 and A is nowhere dense there exists a nonempty open set V_1 such that $\text{Cl}V_1 \subset U_1$ and $\text{Cl}V_1 \cap A = \emptyset$. Let $U_{n(2)} \in \mathcal{U}_x$ and $U_{n(2)} \subset U_1 - \text{Cl}V_1$. It is clear that with recursion on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can construct a decreasing sequence $\{U_{n(k)} | U_{n(k)} \in \mathcal{U}_x \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ($n(1) = 1$ and $n(k)$ is increasing) and a sequence of nonempty open sets $\{V_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ so that $U_{n(k+1)} \subset U_{n(k)} - \text{Cl}V_k$, $\text{Cl}V_k \subset U_{n(k)}$ and $\text{Cl}V_k \cap A = \emptyset$. Clearly, $x \in \text{Cl}V$ where $V = \cup\{V_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We claim that $\text{Cl}V \cap A = \{x\}$. Let $y \in A$ and $y \neq x$. There are disjoint open sets W and $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_x$ such that $y \in W$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $U_{n(k)} \subset U_n$ and let $W' = W - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{Cl}V_i$. Clearly, W' is open and $W' \cap V = \emptyset$. So, $y \notin \text{Cl}V$. \diamond

Recall that a T_3 space X is called an *accessibility space* [23] if for every limit point x of a set A there exists a closed set C such that x is a limit point of C and $C \subset A \cup \{x\}$. Note that T_3 first countable, moreover Frechét-Urysohn spaces, are accessibility spaces.

Lemma 3.7. *Nowhere dense sets in normal T_1 accessibility spaces with G_δ -points are rc-discrete.*

Proof. Let A be a closed nowhere dense set in a space X satisfying the conditions and let x be a limit point of A . Then x is a limit point of $(X - A) \cup \{x\}$, otherwise there would exist an open neighbourhood U of x contained in A contradicting the assumption that A is nowhere dense. Since X is an accessibility space, there exists a closed set C such that x is a limit point of C and $C \cap A = \{x\}$. Therefore, $A - \{x\}$ and $C - \{x\}$ are disjoint closed sets in the subspace $X - \{x\}$. Since $\{x\}$ is a G_δ -set, $X - \{x\}$ is an F_σ -set and hence normal as a subspace of a normal space. There exist disjoint open sets U and V in $X - \{x\}$

having disjoint closures in $X - \{x\}$ such that $A - \{x\} \subset U$ and $C - \{x\} \subset V$. Clearly U and V are open in X and $\text{Cl}U \cap \text{Cl}V = \{x\}$. So, $\text{Cl}V \cap A = \{x\}$ and the result follows. \diamond

We are now ready for main results in this section. Recall that a Hausdorff space X is called a *Luzin space* [12] if (a) Every nowhere dense set in X is countable, (b) X has at most countably many isolated points, and (c) X is uncountable. As shown in [12], Luzin spaces are zero dimensional and hereditarily Lindelöf. It is well known that the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) implies that \mathbb{R} with the usual topology is an extension of a Luzin space. On the other hand, Martin's axiom plus $\neg\text{CH}$ implies that there are no Luzin spaces [12].

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be an uncountable first countable T_3 space with at most countably many isolated points. Then X is rc-Lindelöf iff X is a Luzin space.*

Proof. The necessity follows from Lemma 3.6 and the simple fact that closed rc-discrete sets in T_3 rc-Lindelöf spaces are countable. To establish sufficiency let $\mathcal{U} = \{F_\alpha \in \text{RC}(X) \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be a cover of a Luzin space X . By Zorn's lemma there exists a pairwise disjoint open refinement \mathcal{V} of $\text{Int}\mathcal{U} = \{\text{Int} F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ such that $\bigcap \mathcal{V}$ is dense in $\bigcup \text{Int}\mathcal{U}$ and consequently dense in X . Hence $X - \bigcup \mathcal{V}$ is nowhere dense and $|X - \bigcup \mathcal{V}| \leq \omega$. Also, since X is hereditarily Lindelöf, $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \omega$. Now, it is easy to see that there is a countable subcover of \mathcal{U} . \diamond

Since T_3 rc-Lindelöf spaces are normal, by Lemma 3.7 we have the following generalization of the previous result.

Theorem 3.9. *Let X be an uncountable T_3 accessibility space with G_δ -points and at most countably many isolated points. Then X is rc-Lindelöf iff X is a Luzin space.*

It would be of interest to find an example of a T_3 uncountable crowded rc-Lindelöf space with G_δ -points.

We next consider linearly ordered topological spaces (LOTS) and generalized ordered spaces (GO-spaces). Recall that a GO-space is a space which can be embedded in a LOTS. We assume that GO-spaces are T_1 . In proving that the statement that there exists an uncountable rc-Lindelöf GO-space is independent of ZFC the crucial part is played by a result due to H. Bennett and D. Lutzer [1], namely, perfectly κ -normal GO-spaces are perfectly normal.

Theorem 3.10. *Let X be an uncountable GO-space. Then X is rc-Lindelöf iff X is a Luzin space.*

Proof. Since X is perfectly κ -normal, X is perfectly normal and hence first countable [1]. Being perfect and Lindelöf, X is hereditarily Lindelöf

and thus has at most countably many isolated points. By Th. 3.8, X is a Luzin space. \diamond

Our next result gives a sufficient condition for a closed subset of a T_3 rc-Lindelöf space to be rc-Lindelöf. In the proof we use the following interesting characterization of hereditarily normal spaces [17]. A space X is hereditarily normal iff whenever U is an open set in $A \subset X$ there exists an open set $V(U)$ such that $U = A \cap V(U)$ and $\text{Cl}_A U = A \cap \text{Cl}_X V(U)$.

Proposition 3.11. *Let X be a T_1 hereditarily normal rc-Lindelöf space. If $A \subset X$ is closed, then A is rc-Lindelöf.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{F_\alpha \in \text{RC}(A) \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be a regular closed cover of A . Clearly, $F_\alpha = \text{Cl}_A U_\alpha$ for some open U_α in A . Since X is hereditarily normal $\mathcal{V} = \{\text{Cl}_X V(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is a regular closed cover of A in X . For each $x \in X - A$ let V_x be an open set in X with $x \in V_x$ and $\text{Cl} V_x \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{V} \cup \{\text{Cl} V_x \mid x \in X - A\}$ is a cover of X by regular closed sets in X and thus the result follows because X is rc-Lindelöf. \diamond

Since perfect κ -normality is implied both by T_3 rc-Lindelöfness and perfect normality it is of interest to consider T_3 rc-Lindelöf perfect spaces. In this direction we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.12. *In T_1 perfectly normal rc-Lindelöf spaces compact sets are countable.*

Proof. It is enough to show that compact T_1 perfectly normal rc-Lindelöf spaces are countable. Let X be such a space. Suppose that X is not scattered (i.e., X possesses a nonempty crowded subset). This implies that there exists a continuous surjection from X to the unit interval \mathbf{I} [20]. Obviously, f is closed. Now there exists a closed subset A of X such that $f(A) = \mathbf{I}$ and $g = f|_A$ is irreducible [16]. Let C be the Cantor set in \mathbf{I} and set $B = g^{-1}(C)$. Since g is continuous closed and irreducible, B is closed and nowhere dense in A . Note that A is compact and perfectly normal and hence first countable. Therefore, B is rc-discrete by Lemma 3.6. From Prop. 3.11 it follows that A is rc-Lindelöf and hence $|B| \leq \omega$. This contradicts $|C| = 2^\omega$ and we conclude that X is scattered. But it is well known that compact Hausdorff scattered spaces with G_δ -points are countable. \diamond

4. Countably rc-compact spaces

In [22] T. Thompson proved that T_3 first countable rc-compact spaces are finite. As we will see this result holds if rc-compactness

is replaced by countable rc-compactness. Countably rc-compact spaces are also finite in some other important cases. A space X is defined to be *countably rc-compact* if every countable cover of X regular closed sets has a finite subcover. First of all, we observe that countable T_3 countably rc-compact spaces are finite. In case that a T_3 countable infinite space X is countably rc-compact it would be rc-compact since it is rc-Lindelöf. Therefore, X would be compact and extremally disconnected and consequently would contain a copy of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ contradicting $|X| = \omega$. This observation easily follows from a generalization of the mentioned Thompson's result. Recall now that a space X is *feebly compact* if every countable open cover of X has a finite subfamily whose union is dense in X and that a Tychonoff space is feebly compact iff it is pseudocompact [16]. It is evident that countably rc-compact spaces are feebly compact. The unit interval with the usual topology shows that the converse does not hold. On the other hand, extremally disconnected feebly compact spaces are countably rc-compact but not necessarily countably compact. As shown in [11] the Iliadis absolute of the deleted Tychonoff plank is such a space.

The proofs of the following two useful results are left to the reader.

Theorem 4.1. *A space X is countably rc-compact iff every countable regular open filter base in X has a nonempty intersection.*

Proposition 4.2. (a) *In countably rc-compact spaces, regular open sets and regular closed sets are countably rc-compact.*

(b) *Countable rc-compactness is contagious.*

(c) *A finite union of regular open countably rc-compact subspaces is countably rc-compact.*

(d) *Countable rc-compactness is not productive.*

(e) *Countable rc-compactness is preserved under continuous open surjections but not under continuous surjections. Also, countable rc-compactness is not inversely preserved under continuous open perfect surjections.*

Now we extend and prove differently Th. 3 from [22].

Theorem 4.3. *T_3 countably rc-compact spaces with G_δ -points are finite.*

Proof. Let X be a T_3 countably rc-compact space with G_δ -points. Since X is T_3 feebly compact, X is first countable. We will show that X is extremally disconnected and since T_2 extremally disconnected first countable spaces are discrete the result will follow. Suppose that there exist a $U \in \text{RO}(X)$ and $x \in \text{Bd}U$. Let $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a

decreasing base of regular open sets at x . Since $\mathcal{F} = \{U_n \cap U | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable filter base of regular open sets in U and U is countably rc-compact by Prop. 4.2 (a), it follows from Th. 4.1 that $U \cap \bigcap \{U_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts the assumption that $x \notin U$. \diamond

As a consequence of Th. 4.3 we have the mentioned result that countable T_3 countably rc-compact spaces are finite because every subset of a T_1 countable space is a G_δ -set.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a Tychonoff countably rc-compact space. Then X is extremally disconnected iff it is perfectly κ -normal.*

Proof. Assume that X is perfectly κ -normal and let $U \in \text{RO}(X)$. Then $U \in \text{Coz}(X)$ and hence U is a countable union of regular closed sets in X . Clearly, these sets are also regular closed in U . Since U is countably rc-compact by Prop. 4.2 (a), U is a finite union of regular closed sets in X . Therefore, U is closed and consequently X is extremally disconnected. \diamond

In Section 3 we have observed that \mathbb{N}^* is not rc-Lindelöf. Since there is a continuous surjection from \mathbb{N}^* to the one point compactification of \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N}^* is a P' -space, similar arguments show that \mathbb{N}^* is not countably rc-compact. Our next result generalizes this observation.

Theorem 4.5. *Tychonoff countably rc-compact P' -spaces are finite.*

Proof. Let X be a Tychonoff countably rc-compact space and let $U \in \text{Coz}(X)$. Since X is P' , $U \in \text{RO}(X)$ and hence by Prop. 4.2 (a), U is countably rc-compact. By the same argument as in the proof of Th. 4.4, U is closed. Therefore, X is a P -space i.e., cozero sets are closed. But T_3 feebly compact P -spaces are finite [16]. \diamond

In order to establish our final result we need a useful concept of a π -set in a space. A set A in a space X is called a π -set [24] if it is an intersection of finitely many regular closed sets. In [9] V. Fedorčuk showed that closed G_δ -sets in LOTS are π -sets.

Theorem 4.6. *Countably rc-compact GO-spaces are finite.*

Proof. First, we establish the result for LOTS. Let X be a countably rc-compact LOTS and let $U \in \text{Coz}(X)$. Since U is a complement of a π -set, U is a finite union of regular open sets and hence U is countably rc-compact by Prop. 4.2 (a) and (c). By the same argument as in the proof of Th. 4.4, U is closed and thus X is a P -space. By Th. 4.5, X is finite. Now, the well known result that a GO-space is densely embedded in a LOTS [13] and Prop. 4.2 (b) imply the result. \diamond

Finally we remark that in [9] it is shown that some other classes of spaces have a property that closed G_δ -sets are π -sets. The same

argument as in the proof of Th. 4.6 shows that these spaces are finite if countably rc-compact.

References

- [1] BENNETT, H. R. and LUTZER, D. J.: A note on perfect normality in generalized ordered spaces. In *Topology and Order Structures* (Part 2), H. R. Bennett and D. J. Lutzer, editors, (1983), 19-22. MC Tract 169, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam.
- [2] BLAIR, R. L.: Čech-Stone remainders of locally compact nonpseudocompact spaces, *Topology Proceedings* **4** (1979), 13-17.
- [3] BLAIR, R. L.: Spaces in which special sets are z-embedded, *Can. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 673-690.
- [4] CAMERON, D. E.: Properties of S-closed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 581-586.
- [5] DAVIS, A. S.: Indexed systems of neighbourhoods for general topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **68** (1961), 886-893.
- [6] DICKMAN, R. F. Jr. and KRYSTOCK, R. L.: S-sets and s-perfect mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980), 687-692.
- [7] van DOUWEN, E.: Existence and applications of remote points, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1978), 161-163.
- [8] van DOUWEN, E.: Remote points, *Diss. Math.* **188** (1981), 1-45.
- [9] FEDORČUK, V. V.: On π -sets, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **18** (1977), 572-576.
- [10] HERMANN, R.: RC-convergence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1979), 311-317.
- [11] JANKOVIĆ, D. S., KONSTADILAKI, Ch. and REILLY, I. L.: An answer to a question of Signal and Mathur, *Q & A in General Topology* **10** (1992), 39-40.
- [12] KUNEN, K.: Luzin Spaces, *Topology Proceedings* **1** (1976), 191-199.
- [13] LUTZER, D. J.: On generalized ordered spaces, *Diss. Math.* **89** (1971), 1-32.
- [14] NOIRI, T.: A note on extremally disconnected spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1980), 327-330.
- [15] NOIRI, T.: On S-closed spaces and functions, *Q & A in General Topology* **3** (1985/86), 83.
- [16] PORTER, J. R. and WOODS, R. G.: *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [17] ROSENSTEIN, G. M. and SLAUGHTER, F. G. Jr.: Topological operators, subspaces and hereditary normality, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **83** (1976), 271-272.
- [18] ŠČEPIN, E. V.: Real functions and canonical sets in Tikhonov products and topological groups, *Russian Math. Surveys* **31** (1976), 17-27.

- [19] ŠČEPIN, E. V.: On topological products, groups and a new class of spaces more general than metric spaces, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **17** (1976), 152-155.
- [20] SEMADENI, Z.: Banach Spaces of continuous functions, Vol. I, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1971.
- [21] SUN SHU-HAO and LIANG JI-HUA: On questions of T. Noiri, *Q & A in General Topology* **5** (1987), 259-260.
- [22] THOMPSON, T.: S-closed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **60** (1976), 335-338.
- [23] WHYBURN, G. T.: Accessibility spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24** (1970), 181-185.
- [24] ZAICEV, V. I.: Some classes of topological spaces and their bicompaifications, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **9** (1968), 192-193.