

## THE ISOTROPIC 3-SPHERE

Walter O. Vogel

*Mathematisches Institut II, Universität Karlsruhe, Englerstraße  
2, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Deutschland*

**Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Hans Vogler at the occasion of his 60th birthday**

*Received October 1994*

*MSC 1991: 53 B 30, 53 C 50*

*Keywords: Isotropic sphere, degenerate metrics, curve theory in isotropic manifolds*

**Abstract:** Let  $S^3$  be the 3-sphere which is canonically imbedded in the euclidean 4-space  $E^4$ . First we introduce a degenerate metric  $g$  of rank 2 on  $S^3$  and consider the metric properties of  $(S^3, g)$ , the so-called isotropic 3-sphere  $S^{3(1)}$ . Next we define a connection  $\nabla$  on  $S^{3(1)}$ , the so-called standard connection, which is symmetric and compatible with the degenerate metric  $g$ , and study some properties of the curvature of  $\nabla$ . The last chapter is devoted to the curve theory. We develop the fundamentals of this theory up to the derivation equations. There are some analogies to the well-known isotropic 3-space  $I_3^{(1)}$ .

### 1. The degenerate metric

We consider the 3-sphere  $S^3$  with its canonical imbedding in the euclidean 4-space  $E^4$ . Our aim is to establish a degenerate metric  $g$  of rank 2 on  $S^3$  and to give an introduction to the geometry of the so-called *isotropic 3-space*  $S^{3(1)} = (S^3, g)$ . There are some known examples of isotropic 3-manifolds, besides the isotropic submanifolds, e.g. the well-known 1-fold isotropic 3-space  $I_3^{(1)}$ , which was studied by K. Strubecker, H. Sachs and others, the 2-fold isotropic 3-space  $I_3^{(2)}$ , the geometry of which was developed by H. Brauner, the 1-fold isotropic manifold  $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , recently introduced by K. Spitzmüller, and the 1-fold isotropic conform space  $C_3^{(1)}$  by W. Vogel.

Let  $E^4$  denote the 4-space  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with euclidean metric  $\langle \rangle$  and suppose the 3-sphere  $S^3$  to be canonically imbedded in the euclidean space

$E^4$ . For a point  $p \in S^3 \subset E^4$  with position vector  $P = (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4)^\top$  we have

$$(1) \quad \langle P, P \rangle = 1.$$

Then the vectors  $X_1 = (-x^3, -x^4, x^1, x^2)^\top$ ,  $X_2 = (-x^4, x^3, -x^2, x^1)^\top$ ,  $X_3 = (-x^2, x^1, x^4, -x^3)^\top$  are, together with  $P$ , mutually orthonormal in  $E^4$ , i.e.

$$(2) \quad \langle X_i, X_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \langle P, X_i \rangle = 0; \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

$X_1, X_2, X_3$  span the tangent space  $T_p S^3$  when we identify the space  $T_p S^3$  with the corresponding 3-subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . They form a basis of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  of the Lie group  $G = S^3$ .

Now we define a degenerate metric  $g_p$  in  $T_p S^3$ ,  $p \in S^3$ , analogously as in the isotropic 3-space  $I_3^{(1)}$ , namely

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} g_p(X_a, X_b) &= \delta_{ab} & a, b \in \{1, 2\}, \\ g_p(X_i, X_3) &= 0 & i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

$X_3$  fixes the *isotropic direction* in the tangent space  $T_p S^3$ . Then for two vectors  $X, Y \in T_p S^3$ ,  $X = \xi^i X_i$ ,  $Y = \eta^i X_i$ , the *scalar-product* is given by

$$(4) \quad (X, Y) = g_p(X, Y) = \delta_{ab} \xi^a \eta^b.$$

Here and in the following we adopt Einstein's summation convention for the indices  $a, b, \dots$  and  $i, j, \dots$ . For the *length (norm)* of a vector  $X$  we have

$$(5) \quad |X| = \sqrt{(X, X)} \geq 0.$$

$X$  is called an *isotropic vector* if  $(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $Y \in T_p S^3$ . Thus  $X$  is isotropic iff  $|X| = 0$ . In this case  $X = \xi^3 X_3$ ;  $\xi^1 = \xi^2 = 0$ , and we call

$$(6) \quad ||X|| = \xi^3$$

the *colength (conorm)* of the isotropic vector  $X$ . This is the analogue to the notion „Spanne“ in the isotropic 3-space  $I_3^{(1)}$ . For two non-isotropic vectors  $X, Y$  we define the *angle*  $\varphi$  between  $X, Y$  in the usual manner as

$$(7) \quad \cos \varphi = \frac{(X, Y)}{|X||Y|}.$$

In the case  $(X, Y) = |X||Y| \neq 0$ ,  $\varphi = 0$ , we introduce the *coangle* between  $X, Y$  analogously as in the isotropic 3-space, and so on. This is possible because we are given three distinguished vectors  $X_1, X_2, X_3$

in  $T_p S^3$ . Finally we mention the *scalar triple product* of the three vectors  $X, Y, Z$ , that is

$$(8) \quad (X, Y, Z) = \det(X, Y, Z).$$

Now let  $g$  be the metric  $C^\infty$ -tensor field on  $S^3$  with  $g(p) = g_p$ , and  $S^{3(1)} = (S^3, g)$ .  $\mathcal{F}S^3, \mathcal{X}S^3, \mathcal{X}_0S^3$  denote the set of the  $C^\infty$ -scalar fields,  $C^\infty$ -vector fields,  $C^\infty$ -vector fields of isotropic vectors of  $S^3$  respectively. The Lie derivative of the metric  $g$  in the isotropic direction is given by

$$(9) \quad L_{Z_0}g(X, Y) = Z_0(g(X, Y)) - g([Z_0, X], Y) - g(X, [Z_0, Y]), \\ X, Y \in \mathcal{X}S^3, Z_0 \in \mathcal{X}_0S^3.$$

It is easy to see that in  $S^{3(1)}$

$$(10) \quad L_{Z_0}g = 0, \quad Z_0 \in \mathcal{X}_0S^3.$$

As is well-known a degenerate metric  $g$  of a manifold  $M$  is *absolutely reducible* iff (10) holds (Dautcourt [2], 320). That means to each point  $p \in S^{3(1)}$  there exists a chart  $(U, \varphi), p \in U, \varphi(p) = u = (u^1, u^2, u^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , such that the component matrix of  $g$  has the form

$$(g_{ij}(u^k)) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}(u^a) & g_{12}(u^a) & 0 \\ g_{21}(u^a) & g_{22}(u^a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \\ a \in \{1, 2\}$$

If for example the position vector  $P$  of a point  $p \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  is given by (12)

$$(12) \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \\ x^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos u^1 & \cos u^2 & \cos u^3 \\ \sin u^1 & \cos u^2 & \cos u^3 \\ \sin u^2 & \cos u^3 \\ \sin u^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \cos u^2 \neq 0, \cos u^3 \neq 0,$$

the components of the degenerate metric  $g$  read

$$g_{11} = \cos^2 u^2 \cos^2 u^3 (1 - \cos^2 u^2 \cos^2 u^3) \\ g_{12} = g_{21} = -\cos^3 u^2 \cos^3 u^3 \sin u^3 \\ g_{13} = g_{31} = \sin u^2 \cos^2 u^2 \cos^2 u^3 \\ g_{22} = \cos^2 u^3 (1 - \cos^2 u^2 \sin^2 u^3) \\ g_{23} = g_{32} = \cos u^2 \sin u^2 \cos u^3 \sin u^3 \\ g_{33} = \cos^2 u^2,$$

where  $\text{rank}(g_{ij}) = 2$ . Then we can introduce a new coordinate system  $(\bar{u}^1, \bar{u}^2, \bar{u}^3)$  such that the component matrix  $(\bar{g}_{ij})$  has the form (11),

namely

$$(14) \quad (\bar{g}_{ij}(\bar{u}^k)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos^2 \bar{u}^1 & \sin^2 \bar{u}^1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 2. The standard connection

Unfortunately the induced connection of  $S^3 \subset E^4$  is not a metric connection relative to  $g$ , i.e. it is not compatible with the degenerate metric  $g$ . But as is well-known a degenerate metric  $g$  admits a symmetric and metric connection  $\nabla$  iff  $g$  is absolutely reducible (Vogel [7], 107). On the other hand  $\nabla$  is, if it exists, not uniquely determined by the degenerate metric  $g$ . With the help of the vector fields  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  we define a linear connection  $\nabla$  as follows:

- (i)  $\nabla$  is the induced connection of  $S^3 \subset E^4$  relative to  $X_1, X_2$ ,
- (ii) the covariant derivative relative to  $X_3$  vanishes,
- (iii)  $\nabla$  is symmetric.

That means

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_1} X_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{X_1} X_2 &= X_3, & \nabla_{X_2} X_1 &= -X_3, & \nabla_{X_2} X_2 &= 0, \\ \nabla_{X_1} X_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{X_2} X_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{X_3} X_3 &= 0, \\ \nabla_{X_3} X_1 &= 2X_2, & \nabla_{X_3} X_2 &= -2X_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}S^3$ ;  $X = \xi^i X_i, Y = \eta^i X_i$ , we have

$$(16) \quad \nabla_X Y = (\xi^j X_j \eta^i) X_i + \xi^j \eta^i \nabla_{X_j} X_i \quad ; \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

$\nabla$  is a uniquely determined, symmetric and metric linear connection relative to  $g$ . We don't write down the components  $\Lambda_{jk}^i$  of the connection  $\nabla$  relative to a coordinate system  $(u^i)$  of  $S^{3(1)}$  because the formulas are a little complicated. We call  $\nabla$  the *standard connection* of  $S^{3(1)}$ .

Since  $\nabla$  is symmetric, the torsion vanishes:

$$(17) \quad T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y] = 0.$$

Next we consider the curvature tensor of  $\nabla$

$$(18) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

and the sectional curvature

$$(19) \quad K_\sigma = \frac{g(R(X, Y)Y, X)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - (g(X, Y))^2}$$

in the direction of the plane  $\sigma$  spanned by  $X, Y$ . For a given vector  $X = \xi^i X_i$  let  $\bar{X} = \xi^a X_a, a \in \{1, 2\}$ , denote the *horizontal projection* of  $X$ . Then we find

$$(20) \quad R(X, Y)Z = R(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = 4(g(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - g(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y}).$$

It is  $R(X, Y)Z = 0$  if any of the vectors  $X, Y, Z$  is an isotropic vector. Since  $g(X, Y) = g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$  we see that the denominator (and also the numerator) of  $K_\sigma$  vanishes if  $\sigma$  is an *isotropic plane* (contains the isotropic vector  $X_3$ ), and vice versa, and then  $K_\sigma$  is not defined. In case  $K_\sigma$  is defined we have  $K_\sigma = \text{const}$ , exactly

$$(21) \quad K_\sigma = 4.$$

The Ricci curvature tensor of  $\nabla$

$$(22) \quad \text{Ric}(Y, Z) = \text{trace}(X \rightarrow R(X, Y)Z)$$

is a constant multiple of the degenerate metric tensor  $g$ , namely

$$(23) \quad \text{Ric} = 4g.$$

### 3. Curve theory

Let  $c : I \rightarrow S^{3(1)}, t \mapsto c(t)$  be a curve in  $S^{3(1)}$  and  $X, Y$  two vector fields along  $c$ . In particular  $T : t \mapsto T(t)$  denotes the tangent vector field  $T = \dot{c}$ . The covariant derivative of  $X$  along  $c$  is defined as usual by

$$(24) \quad \frac{DX}{dt} = \nabla_{\dot{c}} \tilde{X},$$

where  $X = \tilde{X} \circ c$ , and  $\nabla$  means the standard connection on  $S^{3(1)}$ . Since  $\nabla$  is a metric connection relative to  $g$  we have the product formulas

$$(25) \quad \frac{D(g(X, Y))}{dt} = \frac{d(g(X, Y))}{dt} = g\left(\frac{DX}{dt}, Y\right) + g\left(X, \frac{DY}{dt}\right),$$

and especially

$$(26) \quad \frac{D|X|^2}{dt} = \frac{d|X|^2}{dt} = 2g\left(\frac{DX}{dt}, X\right).$$

If  $X$  is an isotropic vector then

$$(27) \quad \frac{D\|X\|}{dt} = \frac{d\|X\|}{dt} = \left\| \frac{DX}{dt} \right\|.$$

In the following we make the general assumption that  $T$  is not an isotropic vector:

$$(28) \quad |T(t)| \neq 0, \quad t \in I.$$

Then we can use the curve length of  $c$

$$(29) \quad s = \int_{t_0}^t \sqrt{g(\dot{c}(\tau), \dot{c}(\tau))} \, d\tau$$

as the parameter of the curve. In what follows we consider the mapping  $c : I \rightarrow S^{3(1)}$ ,  $s \mapsto c(s)$  and write  $c'$  instead of  $\dot{c}$ . Thus the tangent vector field  $T(s) = c'(s)$  is a unit vector field:

$$(30) \quad \boxed{|T(s)| = 1, \quad s \in I.}$$

$B(s) = X_3 \circ c(s)$  describes the isotropic unit vector field along  $c$ , hence

$$(31) \quad \boxed{\|B(s)\| = 1 \quad s \in I.}$$

At the point  $p = c(s)$  the derivative  $\frac{DT}{ds}(s)$  may be an isotropic or a non-isotropic vector. As in the isotropic 3-space  $I_3^{(1)}$  the theory splits into two cases according as the derivative of the unit tangent vector  $T$  along  $c$  is an isotropic vector or not.

First we consider

$$\text{case 1} \quad \left| \frac{DT}{ds}(s) \right| = 0, \quad s \in I.$$

Thus  $\frac{DT}{ds} = kB$ . The factor  $k$  is the colength of  $\frac{DT}{ds}$ ,

$$(32) \quad k = \left\| \frac{DT}{ds} \right\|,$$

and is called the *curvature* of the curve  $c$  in the case 1. As we have seen the covariant derivative of the isotropic vector field  $B$  along  $c$  vanishes. All together we obtain the derivation equations

$$(33) \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \frac{DT}{ds} = kB \\ \frac{DB}{ds} = 0 \end{array}}.$$

If we write the tangent vector  $T$  in the form

$$(34) \quad T = t^1 X_1 \circ c + t^2 X_2 \circ c + t^3 X_3 \circ c,$$

it follows

$$(35) \quad t^1 = \cos \varphi(s), t^2 = \sin \varphi(s), t^3(s),$$

where

$$(36) \quad \boxed{\begin{matrix} t^{3'} = k \\ \varphi' = -2t^3 \end{matrix}}.$$

The derivative of the third component  $t^3$  of  $T$  with respect to  $s$  is equal to the curvature  $k$ .

To find the curve  $P(s)$  in  $S^3 \subset E^4$  in the case 1 we have to integrate the differential equation  $P' = T$ ,  $T$  given by (34). In detail

$$(37) \quad P'(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dx^1}{ds} \\ \frac{dx^2}{ds} \\ \frac{dx^3}{ds} \\ \frac{dx^4}{ds} \end{pmatrix} = t^1 \begin{pmatrix} -x^3 \\ -x^4 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} + t^2 \begin{pmatrix} -x^4 \\ x^3 \\ -x^2 \\ x^1 \end{pmatrix} + t^3 \begin{pmatrix} -x^2 \\ x^1 \\ x^4 \\ -x^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $t^1, t^2, t^3$  are the functions (35), and  $\varphi(s), t^3(s)$  satisfy (36).  $P(s)$  can be described as follows. There exists an orthonormal frame in  $E^4$  with coordinates  $(y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4)$  such that

$$(38) \quad P(s) = \begin{pmatrix} y^1(s) \\ y^2(s) \\ y^3(s) \\ y^4(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_0 \cos \alpha_1(s) \\ r_0 \sin \alpha_1(s) \\ \bar{r}_0 \cos \alpha_2(s) \\ \bar{r}_0 \sin \alpha_2(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

$r_0 = \text{const}, \bar{r}_0 = \text{const}, r_0^2 + \bar{r}_0^2 = 1$ . The projections of the curve into the  $(y^1, y^2)$ -plane and the  $(y^3, y^4)$ -plane lie on circles. The radius  $r_0$  is allowed to be arbitrary. The angular velocities  $\alpha' = \frac{d\alpha}{ds}$  depend on the curvature  $k$  of the curve and are given by

$$(39) \quad \alpha' = t^3 \pm 1, \quad t^{3'} = k.$$

In the case of  $k = 0, \frac{DT}{ds} = 0$ , the angular velocities are constant  $\alpha' = t_0^3 \pm 1$ . There are closed and non-closed curves with vanishing curvature  $k$  (geodesics) according as  $t_0^3$  is a rational or an irrational number.

Now we suppose

case 2  $\left| \frac{DT}{ds}(s) \right| \neq 0, \quad s \in I.$

We define the principal normal vector  $H(s)$  of the curve by

$$(40) \quad H = \pm \left| \frac{DT}{ds} \right|^{-1} \frac{DT}{ds},$$

and the *binormal vector*  $B(s)$  by

$$(41) \quad B = X_3 \circ c.$$

As can be seen the triple product of the vectors  $T, H, B$  is equal to  $\pm 1$ . We choose the sign resp. orientation of  $H$  so that

$$(42) \quad (T, H, B) = 1.$$

The vectors  $T, H, B$  form the moving frame of the curve. The *derivation equations* can be found in the usual manner and read

$$(43) \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \frac{DT}{ds} = \quad \quad \kappa H \\ \frac{DH}{ds} = -\kappa T \quad \quad + \tau B \\ \frac{DB}{ds} = \quad \quad \quad 0 \end{array}}.$$

They have the same shape as in the isotropic 3-space  $I_3^{(1)}$  and are in some sense analogous to the formulas of Frenet in the euclidean space.  $\kappa$  is the *isotropic curvature* and  $\tau$  the *isotropic torsion* of the curve. The formulas for  $\kappa, \tau$  are

$$(44) \quad \kappa = \left( T, \frac{DT}{ds}, B \right), \quad \tau = \left| \frac{DT}{ds} \right|^{-2} \left( T, \frac{DT}{ds}, \frac{D^2T}{ds^2} \right).$$

If we write the tangent vector  $T$  in the form

$$(45) \quad T = t^1 X_1 \circ c + t^2 X_2 \circ c + t^3 X_3 \circ c$$

with

$$(46) \quad t^1 = \cos \varphi(s), t^2 = \sin \varphi(s), t^3(s),$$

the curvature  $\kappa$  and the torsion  $\tau$  can be calculated by

$$(47) \quad \boxed{\kappa = \varphi' + 2t^3, \tau = \frac{1}{\kappa} t^{3''} - \frac{\kappa'}{\kappa^2} t^{3'} + \kappa t^3 + 1}.$$

Conversely if  $\kappa$  and  $\tau$  are given the differential equations (47) for the functions  $\varphi(s), t^3(s)$  can be solved explicitly by integrals.

To find the curve  $P(s)$  in  $S^3 \subset E^4$  we have to integrate the differential equation  $P' = T, T$  given by (45), (46). Thus  $P(s)$  can be represented in the form

$$(48) \quad P(s) = \begin{pmatrix} x^1(s) \\ x^2(s) \\ x^3(s) \\ x^4(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r(s) \cos \alpha_1(s) \\ r(s) \sin \alpha_1(s) \\ \bar{r}(s) \cos \alpha_2(s) \\ \bar{r}(s) \sin \alpha_2(s) \end{pmatrix},$$



with  $r^2 + \bar{r}^2 = 1$ .  $r(s)$  is a solution of a differential equation of third order, involving the curvature  $\kappa$  but not the torsion  $\tau$  of the curve. The angular velocities  $\alpha' = \frac{d\alpha}{ds}$  are given by

$$(50) \quad \alpha' = t^3 - \frac{1}{2}\kappa \pm \sqrt{\frac{r''}{r} + \frac{1}{4}\kappa^2 + 1}.$$

If  $r = \text{const}$ , then  $\kappa = \text{const}$ . The converse is also true. If  $\kappa = \kappa_0 = \text{const}$ , there exists an orthonormal frame in  $E^4$  with coordinates  $(y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4)$  such that

$$(50) \quad P(s) = \begin{pmatrix} y^1(s) \\ y^2(s) \\ y^3(s) \\ y^4(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_0 \cos \alpha_1(s) \\ r_0 \sin \alpha_1(s) \\ \bar{r}_0 \cos \alpha_2(s) \\ \bar{r}_0 \sin \alpha_2(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $r_0^2 + \bar{r}_0^2 = 1$ . The projections of the curve into the  $(y^1, y^2)$ -plane and the  $(y^3, y^4)$ -plane lie on circles. The radius  $r_0$  is allowed to be arbitrary. The angular velocities  $\alpha'$  satisfy

$$(51) \quad \alpha' = t^3 - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_0 \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\kappa_0^2 + 1}.$$

There is a remarkable relation between the curve theories in  $S^{3(1)}$  and in  $I_3^{(1)}$ . In the isotropic 3-space  $I_3^{(1)}$  the curvature and the torsion of a curve have some nice geometric interpretations. Moreover they satisfy the equation (47.2) without the additional term  $+1$  and with  $t^3$  equals to the derivative of the third coordinate function of the curve (Strubecker [6], (2.69)). If we consider curves in  $S^{3(1)}$  and in  $I_3^{(1)}$  with the same curvature  $\kappa$  and the same function  $t^3$ , the torsions differ only by  $+1$ . This property yields both a geometric interpretation of the torsion in  $S^{3(1)}$  and a method for constructing a curve in  $S^{3(1)}$  with given curvature and torsion, via the curve theory in  $I_3^{(1)}$ . For example a curve with constant torsion  $\tau$  in  $S^{3(1)}$  corresponds to a curve with constant torsion  $\tau - 1$  in  $I_3^{(1)}$ , especially a curve with vanishing torsion in  $S^{3(1)}$  to a curve with torsion  $-1$  in  $I_3^{(1)}$ . In this context we can use the elegant theory of curves with constant torsion in  $I_3^{(1)}$  due to Strubecker [6] and Sachs [3].

## References

- [1] BRAUNER, H.: Geometrie des zweifach isotropen Raumes I, *Journ. f. reine und angew. Math.* **224** (1966), 118-146.

- [2] DAUTCOURT, G.: Zur Differentialgeometrie singulärer Riemannscher Räume, *Math. Nachrichten* **36** (1968), 311–322.
- [3] SACHS, H.: *Isotrope Geometrie des Raumes*, Friedr. Vieweg u. Sohn Verlag, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden 1990.
- [4] SPITZMÜLLER, K.: Isotrope Kurventheorie auf  $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , *Journ. of Geometry* **40** (1991), 186–197.
- [5] SPITZMÜLLER, K.: Flächen im isotropen  $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , *Journ. of Geometry* **47** (1993), 141–154.
- [6] STRUBECKER, K.: Differentialgeometrie des isotropen Raumes I: Theorie der Raumkurven. *Sitz.-Ber.Akad.Wiss. Wien, Math.-nat.Kl. Abt. IIa*, **150** (1941), 1–53.
- [7] VOGEL, W.O.: Über lineare Zusammenhänge in singulären Riemannschen Räumen, *Archiv d. Math.* **16** (1965), 106–116.
- [8] VOGEL, W.O.: Kurventheorie im konform isotropen Raum  $C_3^{(1)}$ , *Journal of Geometry* **50** (1994), 178–185.