

## REMARKS ON LOCALLY CLOSED SETS

M. Ganster

*Department of Mathematics, Graz University of Technology,  
A-8010 Graz, Steyrergasse 30, Austria.*

I.L. Reilly

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Auckland,  
Auckland, New Zealand.*

M.K. Vamanamurthy

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Auckland,  
Auckland, New Zealand.*

*Received December 1991*

*AMS Subject Classification:* 54 A 05, 54 A 10; 54 D 15

*Keywords:* Locally closed set,  $\alpha$ -topology, semi-open set.

**Abstract:** This paper provides a useful characterization of  $LC(X, \tau^\alpha)$ , i.e. the family of locally closed subset of  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$ , where  $\tau^\alpha$  denotes the  $\alpha$ -topology of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . In addition, we consider various statements about the family of locally closed subsets of an arbitrary space and examine the relationships between these statements.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Recently there has been some interest in the notion of a locally closed subset of a topological space. According to Bourbaki [5] a subset  $S$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called locally closed if it is the intersection of an

open set and a closed set. Ganster and Reilly used locally closed sets in [7] and [8] to define the concept of LC-continuity, i.e. a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is LC-continuous if the inverse with respect to  $f$  of any open set in  $Y$  is locally closed in  $X$ . This enabled them to produce a decomposition of continuity for functions between arbitrary topological spaces. Later on, Jelić [9] extended their results to the bitopological setting by providing a decomposition of pairwise continuity and, quite recently, Balachandran and Sundaram studied several variations of LC-continuity in [4] and [13]. Finally, locally closed sets have been used by Aho and Nieminen [1] in their study of  $\alpha$ -spaces and irresolvability.

In this paper we begin by characterizing  $LC(X, \tau^\alpha)$ , i.e. the family of locally closed subsets of  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$  where  $\tau^\alpha$  denotes the associated  $\alpha$ -topology of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Our first result points out that the family  $LC(X, \tau^\alpha)$  has already been investigated by Kuratowski [10] in a different context and, moreover, that  $LC(X, \tau^\alpha)$  coincides with the collection of  $\delta$ -sets in  $(X, \tau)$  [6]. We then move on to consider various statements about the family of locally closed subsets of an arbitrary space  $(X, \tau)$  and examine the relationships between these statements.

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. For a subset  $S$  of  $X$ , the closure and the interior of  $S$  with respect to  $(X, \tau)$  will be denoted by  $\text{cl } S$  and  $\text{int } S$  respectively.

**Definition 1.1.** A subset  $S$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called

- (i) *semi open* if  $S \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ ;
- (ii) *semi-closed* if  $X - S$  is semi-open, or, equivalently, if  $\text{int}(\text{cl } S) \subseteq S$ ;
- (iii) an  $\alpha$ -set if  $S \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int } S))$ ;
- (iv) nwd (=nowhere dense) if  $\text{int}(\text{cl } S) = \emptyset$ .

The collections of semi-open sets, semi-closed sets and  $\alpha$ -sets in  $(X, \tau)$  will be denoted by  $SO(X, \tau)$ ,  $SC(X, \tau)$  and  $\tau^\alpha$  respectively. Njåstad [11] has shown that  $\tau^\alpha$  is a topology on  $X$  with the following properties:  $\tau \subseteq \tau^\alpha$ ,  $(\tau^\alpha)^\alpha = \tau^\alpha$  and  $S \in \tau^\alpha$  if and only if  $S = U - N$  where  $U \in \tau$  and  $N$  is nwd in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $\tau = \tau^\alpha$  if and only if every nwd set in  $(X, \tau)$  is closed. Clearly every  $\alpha$ -set is semi-open and every nwd set in  $(X, \tau)$  is semi-closed. Andrijević [2] has observed that  $SO(X, \tau^\alpha) = SO(X, \tau)$ , and that  $N \subseteq X$  is nwd in  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$  if and only if  $N$  is nwd in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A subset  $S$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is called

- (i) *locally closed* if  $S = U \cap F$  where  $U$  is open and  $F$  is closed, or,

equivalently, if  $S = U \cap \text{cl } S$  for some open set  $U$ ;

- (ii) *co-locally closed* if  $X - S$  is locally closed, or, equivalently, if  $S = U \cup F$  where  $U$  is open and  $F$  is closed and nwd.

We will denote the collections of all locally closed sets and co-locally closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  by  $\text{LC}(X, \tau)$  and  $\text{co-LC}(X, \tau)$  respectively. Note that Stone [12] has used the term *FG* for a locally closed subset. A dense subset of  $(X, \tau)$  is locally closed if and only if it is open. More generally, Ganster and Reilly [7] have pointed out that, if  $S \subseteq X$  is nearly open, i.e. if  $S \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl } S)$ , then  $S$  is locally closed if and only if  $S$  is open. It is easy to check that  $(X, \tau)$  is submaximal, i.e. every dense set is open, if and only if every subset of  $X$  is locally closed. Finally, spaces in which singletons are locally closed are called  $\text{T}_D$ -spaces [3].

No separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated.

## 2. Locally closed sets in $\alpha$ -spaces

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let us denote by  $J$  the ideal of nwd subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . On page 69 in [10] Kuratowski defined a subset  $A \subseteq X$  to be open mod  $J$  if there exists an open set  $G$  such that  $A - G \in J$  and  $G - A \in J$ .

**Proposition 2.1** (see page 69 in [10]). *Let  $J$  denote the ideal of nwd sets in a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then*

- 1) *open sets are open mod  $J$ ;*
- 2) *closed sets are open mod  $J$ ;*
- 3) *if  $A, B$  are open mod  $J$  then  $A \cap B$ ,  $A \cup B$  and  $X - A$  are open mod  $J$ ;*
- 4)  *$A \subseteq X$  is open mod  $J$  if and only if  $A = U \cup N$  where  $U$  is open and  $N$  is nwd in  $(X, \tau)$ .*

In order to state our main result in this section we need some more definitions. A subset  $S$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called semi-locally closed [13] if it is the intersection of a semi-open set and a semi-closed set. A subset  $S$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a  $\delta$ -set in  $(X, \tau)$  [6] if  $\text{int}(\text{cl } S) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of a space  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $J$  denote the ideal of nwd subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1)  $A \in \text{LC}(X, \tau^\alpha)$ ;
- 2)  $A$  is semi-locally closed ;
- 3)  $A$  is a  $\delta$ -set ;
- 4)  $A = U \cup N$  where  $U$  is open and  $N$  is nwd in  $(X, \tau)$  ;

5)  $A$  is open mod  $J$ .

**Proof.** 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2): This is obvious since every  $\alpha$ -set is semi-open.

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3): Let  $A = S \cap T$  where  $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$  and  $T \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$ , i.e.  $S \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$  and  $\text{int}(\text{cl } T) \subseteq T$ . Since  $\text{int}(\text{cl } A) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl } T) \subseteq T$ , we have  $\text{int}(\text{cl } A) \subseteq \text{int } T$ . Since  $A \subseteq S \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$  we have  $\text{int}(\text{cl } A) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } S)$ . Consequently,  $\text{int}(\text{cl } A) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } S) \cap \text{int } T \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } S \cap \text{int } T) = \text{cl}(\text{int } A)$ . Hence  $A$  is a  $\delta$ -set.

3)  $\Rightarrow$  4): Suppose that  $\text{int}(\text{cl } A) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int } A)$  and let  $U = \text{int } A$  and  $N = A - \text{int } A$ . We will show that  $N$  is nwd. Clearly  $\text{int}(\text{cl } N) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl } A)$ , and since  $N \cap \text{int } A = \emptyset$ , we have  $\text{int}(\text{cl } N) \cap \text{cl}(\text{int } A) = \emptyset$ . So  $\text{int}(\text{cl } N) = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $N$  is nwd.

4)  $\Rightarrow$  5): See Prop. 2.1.

5)  $\Rightarrow$  1): Let  $A$  be open mod  $J$ . By Prop. 2.1.,  $X - A$  is open mod  $J$ , so  $X - A = U \cup N$  where  $U \in \tau$  and  $N$  is nwd in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $A = (X - N) \cap (X - U) \in \text{LC}(X, \tau^\alpha)$  since  $X - N \in \tau^\alpha$  and  $X - U$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$  and thus closed in  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .  $\diamond$

**Corollary 2.3.**  $\text{SO}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{LC}(X, \tau^\alpha)$  and  $\text{SC}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{LC}(X, \tau^\alpha)$  for every space  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is quasi-continuous, i.e. the inverse image of every open set is semi-open, then  $f : (X, \tau^\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is LC-continuous.

**Remark 2.5.** In a recent paper [6], Chattopadhyay and Bandyopadhyay study the collection  $T^\delta$  of all  $\delta$ -sets of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Using Th. 2.2. one obtains straightforward proofs of many results in [6], e.g.

- 1)  $T^\delta$  is the discrete topology if and only if  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$  is submaximal (since 1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  3) in Th. 2.2.);
- 2)  $(\tau^\alpha)^\delta = T^\delta$  (since  $(\tau^\alpha)^\alpha = \tau^\alpha$ );
- 3)  $\tau = T^\delta$  if and only if every open set is closed.

Finally let us observe that  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$  is a  $T_D$  space if and only if every singleton is a  $\delta$ -set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

### 3. On the structure of $\text{LC}(X, \tau)$

The topic of this section is the relationship between the following properties of a space  $(X, \tau)$ :

- (A)  $\text{SO}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{LC}(X, \tau)$ ;
- (B)  $\text{co-LC}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{LC}(X, \tau)$ ;
- (C)  $\text{SC}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{LC}(X, \tau)$ ;

(D) Every nwd set in  $(X, \tau)$  is locally closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$  the following are equivalent:

- 1)  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies (A);
- 2)  $\tau = \tau^\alpha$ ;
- 3)  $\text{LC}(X, \tau) = \text{LC}(X, \tau^\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2): Let  $N$  be nwd in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X - N$  is dense and semi-open in  $(X, \tau)$ , hence, by assumption, locally closed. Thus  $X - N \in \tau$  and so  $N$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $\tau = \tau^\alpha$ .

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3): This is obvious.

3)  $\Rightarrow$  1): Let  $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ . By Cor.2.3. we have  $S \in \text{LC}(X, \tau^\alpha)$ . Thus  $S \in \text{LC}(X, \tau)$  and so  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies (A).  $\diamond$

**Corollary 3.2.** For every space  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(X, \tau^\alpha)$  satisfies (A).

Our next result follows immediately from Prop. 2.1. and Th. 3.1.

**Theorem 3.3.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$  the following holds:

- 1) (A) implies (B);
- 2) (A) implies (C) implies (D).

We now provide examples to show that none of the implications in Th.3.3. can be reversed.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$ . Then  $\text{LC}(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\} = \text{co-LC}(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies (B) but fails to satisfy (A) since  $\{a, c\} \in \text{SO}(X, \tau) - \text{LC}(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ . Then  $\text{LC}(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$  and  $\text{SC}(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies (C) but not (A) since  $\{a, c\} \in \text{SO}(X, \tau) - \text{LC}(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, x, y, z\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, x\}, \{a, b, x, y\}, \{a, b, x, z\}, X\}$ . If  $Z = \{x, y, z\}$  then  $Z$  is a closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$  and the subspace topology  $\tau|Z = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}, Z\}$  is submaximal. Now, if  $N \subseteq X$  is nwd in  $(X, \tau)$  then  $N \subseteq Z$  and  $N \in \text{LC}(Z, \tau|Z)$  and, since  $Z$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $N \in \text{LC}(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies (D).

On the other hand, if  $A = \{a, y\}$  then  $A \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$ . We have, however,  $x \in U \cap \text{cl } A$  for any open set  $U$  containing  $y$ , so  $A \notin \text{LC}(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $(X, \tau)$  does not satisfy (C).  $\diamond$

In order to state and prove our final result let us say that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_1^*$  space if every nwd subset is a union of closed sets. Clearly every  $T_1$  space is a  $T_1^*$  space, and the indiscrete topology on any set  $X$  having at least two points yields a  $T_1^*$  space which is not  $T_1$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$  the following are equivalent:

- 1)  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies (A) ;
- 2)  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies (B) and (D) ;
- 3)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_1^*$  and satisfies (B) .

**Proof.** 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2): See Th. 3.3.

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3): Let  $N$  be nwd in  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $x \in N$ . Then  $\{x\} \in \text{LC}(X, \tau)$  by (D). Since (B) holds,  $X - \{x\}$  is locally closed and dense and so open. Thus  $\{x\}$  is closed, and hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_1^*$ .

3)  $\Rightarrow$  1): By Th. 3.1. we have to show that every nwd subset  $N$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is closed. Let  $x \in \text{cl } N$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_1^*$  and  $\text{cl } N$  is nwd,  $\{x\}$  is closed and so  $\text{cl } N \cap (X - \{x\}) \in \text{LC}(X, \tau)$ . Since (B) holds,  $\{x\} \cup (X - \text{cl } N)$  is locally closed and dense, hence an open neighbourhood of  $x$ . Consequently  $N \cap (\{x\} \cup (X - \text{cl } N))$  is nonempty and so  $x \in N$ . Thus  $N$  is closed.  $\diamond$

**Corollary 3.8.** In general, the statements (B) and (C) are independent of each other.

**Corollary 3.9** [1]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_D$  space satisfying (B). Then  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies (A).

**Proof.** It is easy to show that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_1^*$ . Now apply Th. 3.6.  $\diamond$

## References

- [1] AHO, T. and NIEMINEN, T.: On  $\alpha$ -spaces, PS-spaces and related topics, Preprint.
- [2] ANDRIJEVIĆ, D.: Some properties of the topology of  $\alpha$ -sets, *Mat. Vesnik* 36 (1984), 1 - 10.
- [3] AULL, C.E. and THRON, W.J.: Separation axioms between  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ , *Indagationes Math.* 24 (1962), 26 - 37.
- [4] BALACHANDRAN, K. and SUNDARAM, P.: Generalized locally closed sets and GLC-continuous functions, Preprint.
- [5] BOURBAKI, N.: General Topology Part 1, Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass. 1966.
- [6] CHATTOPADHYAY, Ch. and BANDYOPADHYAY, Ch.: On structure of  $\delta$ -sets, Preprint.

- [7] GANSTER, M. and REILLY, I.L.: A decomposition of continuity, *Acta Math. Hungarica* **56** (3 - 4) (1990), 299 - 301.
- [8] GANSTER, M. and REILLY, I.L.: Locally closed sets and LC-continuous functions, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* **12** (3) (1989), 417 - 424.
- [9] JELIĆ, M.: A decomposition of pairwise continuity, *Jour. Inst. Math. & Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser.)* **3** (1) (1990), 25 - 29.
- [10] KURATOWSKI, K.: *Topology Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [11] NJÅSTAD, O.: On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math.* **15** (1965), 961 - 970.
- [12] STONE, A.H.: Absolutely FG spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980), 515 - 520.
- [13] SUNDARAM, P. and BALACHANDRAN, K.: Semi generalized locally closed sets in topological spaces, Preprint.